

Risk factors for sporadic salmonellosis: a systematic review and meta-analysis

Laurent Guillier, Anne Thébault, Philippe Fravalo, Lapo Mughini-Gras, Nathalie Jourdan-da Silva, Julie David, Pauline Kooh, Vasco Cadavez, Ursula Gonzales-Barron

▶ To cite this version:

Laurent Guillier, Anne Thébault, Philippe Fravalo, Lapo Mughini-Gras, Nathalie Jourdan-da Silva, et al.. Risk factors for sporadic salmonellosis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Microbial Risk Analysis, 2021, 17, pp.100138. 10.1016/j.mran.2020.100138. anses-03436993

HAL Id: anses-03436993 https://anses.hal.science/anses-03436993v1

Submitted on 9 May 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

1 Risk factors for sporadic salmonellosis: a systematic review and meta-analysis

2 Authors

- 3 Laurent Guillier¹, Anne Thébault¹, Philippe Fravalo², Lapo Mughini-Gras³, Nathalie Jourdan
- 4 daSilva⁴, Julie David⁵, Pauline Kooh¹, Vasco Cadavez⁶, Ursula Gonzales-Barron⁶

¹ Risk Assessment Department, French Agency for Food, Environmental and Occupational
Health & Safety (Anses), 14 rue Pierre et Marie Curie Maisons-Alfort, France.
laurent.guillier@anses.fr

² Veterinary School, University of Montreal, 3200 Sicotte Saint-Hyacinthe J2S7C6 Qc
Ganada. 33+(0) 695644798. Present address: Cnam, 2 Rue Camille Guérin, 22400
Ploufragan, France. philippe.fravalo@lecnam.net

³ National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM), Centre for Infectious
 Disease Control (Clb), PO Box 1 - 3720 BA Bilthoven, the Netherlands, e-mail:
 lapo.mughini.gras@rivm.nl, and Utrecht University, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Yalelaan
 2, De Uithof - 3584 CL Utrecht, the Netherlands, e-mail: lapo.mughini.gras@rivm.nl

- ⁴ Santé Publique France, 12 Rue du Val d'Osne, Allée Vacassy, 94410 Saint-Maurice,
 France. Nathalie.JOURDAN-DASILVA@santepubliquefrance.fr
- ⁵ Anses, Ploufragan-Plouzané laboratory, Beaucemaine, 22440 Ploufragan, France.
 juliedavidp@hotmail.com
- ⁶ Centro de Investigação de Montanha (CIMO), Instituto Politécnico de Bragança, Campus
- 20 de Santa Apolónia, 5300-253 Bragança, Portugal; vcadavez@ipb.pt; ubarron@ipb.pt
- 21
- 22 **Corresponding author:** laurent.guillier@anses.fr 33+(0) 149772648

23

- 24 Short Title
- 25 Meta-analysis on risk factors for sporadic salmonellosis

26	Highlights (maximum 85 characters, including spaces, per bullet point).
27	- Meta-analysis of 62 case-control studies about sporadic human salmonellosis
28	- Travel, environmental, animal and food exposures were significant risk factors
29	- Recent use of anti-acids or antibiotics and underlying disease increased the risk
30	- Known risk factors such as eggs and meat products were confirmed
31 32	- The role of consumption of raw or undercooked eggs and undercooked poultry is underlined
33	- Produce and milk formula are risk factors of salmonellosis for children
34 35	Keywords: Research synthesis; Case-control studies; Meta-regression; Salmonella
36	Abstract
37	Non-typhoidal Salmonella is an important causative agent of diarrheal illness worldwide. A
38	systematic review and meta-analysis of case-control studies were performed to determine
39	the main risk factors associated with sporadic salmonellosis. Suitable scientific articles
40	published up to 2017 were identified through a systematic literature search and subject to
41	methodological quality assessment. From each study, odds ratios (OR) were extracted or
42	calculated, as well as study characteristics such as population type, design, type of model
43	used and risk factor categorization. Mixed-effects meta-analytical models were adjusted by
44	population type to appropriate data partitions. From 3858 identified references, the quality
45	assessment stage was passed by 62 case-control studies focusing on sporadic
46	salmonellosis which provided 1154 ORs for meta-analysis.
47	The meta-analysis identified host-specifics factors, travel, environmental, animal and food
48	exposures as significant risk factors of salmonellosis in the mixed population. For the mixed

50 composite foods, and meat (pork, red meats other than beef and poultry meats). In the child

49

population, foods significantly associated with salmonellosis were eggs and egg products,

population, the main risk factors were found for person-to-person transmission, recent use of gastric anti-acids or antibiotics, contact with pets and farm animals, environmental, and food vehicles. Breastfeeding was found to be a protective factor for children. The food vehicles identified in children comprised dairy (milk formula), produce, meat and eggs products. Untreated drinking water was a risk factor for the children and mixed population. The result of the meta-analysis conducted at the international level is very important in the context of increasing international trade in foodstuffs and changes in food consumption patterns.

58 Introduction

Non-typhoid *Salmonella enterica* subsp. *enterica* is a major foodborne pathogen that causes mild to moderate self-limiting gastroenteritis (WHO, 2018). Salmonellosis is usually characterized by acute onset of fever, abdominal pain, diarrhea, nausea and sometimes vomiting. The onset of disease symptoms occurs 6–72 hours (usually 12–36 hours) after the ingestion of *Salmonella*, and the illness lasts 2–7 days (WHO, 2018). Patients will make a recovery without specific treatment in most cases.

65 Salmonellosis is the second most frequently reported bacteriologically related zoonosis in 66 many European countries (EFSA and ECDC, 2018). In France, the annual community 67 incidence rate was estimated at 307 cases per 100,000 for salmonellosis (Van Cauteren et 68 al., 2015; Van Cauteren et al., 2017). Microbiological and epidemiological investigations 69 make it possible to identify outbreaks and to trace back the food at the origin of the human 70 cases (Inns et al., 2015; Ung et al., 2019). Although Salmonella outbreaks are identified, a 71 majority of salmonellosis cases is not associated with any known outbreak (Glass et al., 72 2015) and are classified as sporadic cases. The question of specific food exposures and 73 respective weight for these specific forms arise.

There are several methodological approaches for salmonellosis source attribution (Pires et al., 2010, Mughini-Gras et al., 2018), and the most appropriate approach depends on the data availability and research question to be addressed. A large variety of approaches has been explored, including microbial subtyping method (David et al., 2013 a,b; Barco et al., 2013 & 2015; Mikkelä et al., 2019), genomics approach (Zhang et al., 2019), comparative exposure assessment (Christidis et al., 2020), outbreak data analysis (Pires et al., 2010; Painter et al., 2013; IFASC, 2019), or expert elicitation (Havelaar et al., 2008).

Another way of identifying the sources of these sporadic cases is case-control studies (Domingues et al., 2012). In case-control studies, the association of cases with various food exposures is usually measured through odds ratios (ORs) (Pires et al., 2009). Meta-analyses of these studies can provide information on exposure pathways of interest (Devleesschauwer et al., 2019). Domingues et al (2012) conducted a meta-analysis comprising thirty-five *Salmonella* case-control studies identified through a literature search conducted in February2008.

The aim of the present study is to perform an updated systematic review of case-control studies for human salmonellosis published internationally up to May 2017 and perform a meta-analysis to synthesize data on factors associated with sporadic *Salmonella* infection, combining the odds ratio from a selection of relevant studies (Gonzales-Barron et al., 2019).

92

93 Methods

94 The protocol of the systematic review and the meta-analysis model are described in depth in 95 the methodological paper of this special issue (Gonzales-Barron et al., 2019). The screening 96 criteria followed the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses 97 (PRISMA).

98 Systematic review

99 The keywords were defined attending the review question which is to evaluate the 100 association between a (risk) factor and sporadic salmonellosis risk in a population exposed 101 to it. This review question was identified to have a typical PECO structure (Population, 102 Exposure, Comparator and Outcome as key elements). The literature search was conducted 103 in March 2017 using a combination of keywords related to (1) "*Salmonella* OR 104 salmonellosis", (2) "case-control" OR "risk factor" OR "cohort (3) "infection" OR disease", 105 joined by the logical connector AND.

Systematic searches using a combination of suitable keywords were conducted using the
bibliographic engines Science Direct, PubMed, Scielo, ISI Web of Science and Scopus. The
literature search was limited to the English, French, Portuguese and Spanish languages.

109 Each reference record was screened for relevance for inclusion in the meta-analysis study, 110 and subsequently, the methodological quality of the "candidate" studies was assessed using 111 preset quality criteria. Five criteria for inclusion were related to the definition of disease. First, 112 it had to refer to confirmed humans salmonellosis. The second criterion refers to the study 113 design whereby only case-control studies were considered. The third criterion is the 114 presence of information on cases definition. Finally, the quality and the completeness of 115 statistical analysis were assessed. Primary studies that passed the screening for relevance 116 were marked as having the potential for bias if they failed to meet at least one of the 117 methodological quality assessment criteria. Studies related to S. Typhi and Paratyphi were 118 excluded from the review.

119 Data from primary studies were then extracted using a standardised spreadsheet. Data 120 extracted included the relevant study characteristics (location, period, population, serotype, 121 case definition, design, sample size of the groups, type of model, etc.), the categorized risk 122 factors, the setting, the handling practices and the outcome of the study (Odds Ratio (OR)). 123 A data categorisation scheme was established to hierarchically group the risk factors into 124 travel, host-specific factors and pathways of exposure (see the methodological paper of this 125 issue Gonzales-Barron et al., 2019). The variable "population" was stratified into mixed 126 (adults, or undefined age), and children.

127 Data synthesis

128 As described in Gonzales-Barron et al. (2019). The joint meta-analytical data was first 129 described using basic statistics. Next, data was partitioned into subsets of categories of risk 130 factors. Meta-analysis models were then fitted to each of the data partitions or subsets in 131 order to estimate the overall OR for travel, host-specific factors and transmission pathways 132 related to person-to-person transmission, animal contact, environmental and food exposures. 133 The meta-analytical models were fitted separately by population type. For some food 134 classes, the effects of handling (i.e., eating raw, undercooked) and setting (i.e., eating out) 135 on the overall ORs were assessed by calculating the ratio of the mean ORs when food was 136 mishandled (or when food was prepared outside the home) to the base ORs.

137 The statistical analysis was designed to assess the effect of the geographical region, the 138 study period and the analysis type (univariate/multivariate) on the result. The objective of the 139 region-specific meta-analysis was to inform the decision on the geographical regions that 140 should be kept for the subsequent pooling of ORs. All meta-analytical models were 141 essentially weighted random-effects linear regression models. Once a meta- analytical model 142 was fitted, influential diagnostics statistics based on Cook's distance were assessed to 143 remove any influential observation originating from studies marked as having potential-for-144 bias. Publication bias was assessed by funnel plots and statistical tests investigating the 145 effect of the study sample size on the ORs (Gonzales-Barron et al., 2019). Heterogeneity

between studies was assessed by three indicators, the between-study variability (τ^2), the QE test investigating residual heterogeneity, the variance of residuals and the intra-class correlation I² (Gonzales-Barron et al., 2019).

All analyses were conducted in the R software implemented with the *metafor* package(Viechtbauer, 2010).

Pooled ORs were considered as significant when the lower bound of the 95% CI was equal or greater than one, except for breastfeeding where the upper bound of the confidence interval had to be below one for it to be deemed as significant (protective).

154

155 **Results**

Descriptive statistics of the case-control studies

From 3858 identified and analyzed references, 460 passed the relevance screening and 62 passed the quality assessment stage (Fig. 1). Appendix 1 summarizes the 62 bibliographic references. Appendix 2 summarizes the main features (country, population, period, design, model, number of cases/controls, quality and numbers of ORs) of the 62 case-control studies used in this meta-analysis. These case-control studies constitute a set of 1,154 ORs from risk factors (above 0.5 except for breastfeeding).

These published studies were conducted in years spanning from 1987 and 2013 (51.6 % are after 2000). Most publications concerned Europa (29 publications), North America (25 publications), followed by Oceania (5 publications), Asia (2 publications) and South America (1 publication). Europe provided 51% of the ORs (588/1154) while North America (United States, Canada) is also highly represented with 40% of ORs (455/1154).

Most studies investigated exposures in the mixed population (adults or non-precise age, 48 publications), followed by child population (16 publications) and susceptible (HIV infected patients) (1 publication) (Appendix 1) (Taconelli et al., 1998). This last publication only contributes to the analysis with one OR concerning antibiotics in host-specific factors. Except for one study (Bellido-Blasco et al., 2007), salmonellosis cases were confirmed by isolation of the bacterium. A diversity of serotypes is considered in the 62 studies but the majority (50%) retained only *S*. Enteritidis and *S*. Typhimurium.

The risk factors studied concerned the following routes of exposure: person-to-person (19 OR), environmental (84 OR), contact with animals (175 OR), and food or handling practices (700 OR). Host-specific factor (122 OR) or travel (54 OR) are also studied in this work.

After methodological quality assessment, 13 case-control studies were marked as being below standards (as example controls have campylobacteriosis) and corresponding ORs were removed from the meta-analysis if sensitivity analysis found an influence on the pooled estimate (Gonzales-Barron et al., 2019).

182 Meta-analysis

For every data partition, the meta-analysed risk factors are presented in summary tables only when significant (Tables 1, 2 and 3). Non-significant results or significant pooled ORs coming from a single study for main risk factors are given in Table 4.

186 Significant risk factors associated with travel, host-related factors, contact with the

187 environment and animals

Table 1 presents the significant risk factors for these main factors explored. In all geographical regions, except for case-control studies carried out in Asia, travel was a significant risk factor for salmonellosis. Thus, for the estimation of the travel subcategories, the data points from Asia were removed. Both inside and abroad travels were found to be associated with an increased risk of sporadic salmonellosis (pooled OR of 3.612 95% CI [2.839 - 4.593] and 2.195 95% CI [1.054 - 4.572] respectively. It is worth noticing that before the year 2000, the travel associated OR was significantly higher (p=0.005).

Several host-specific factors were associated with the risk of salmonellosis. The use of gastric anti-acids or antibiotics had ORs above 3.0 in the mixed population (Table 1) (antiacids: pooled OR 3.184 95% CI [2.449 - 4.139]). Patients with chronic diseases (i.e. allergies, stomach ulcer, cancer, diabetes, endocrine disease, heart disease, kidney disease, 199 intestinal disorders, alcoholism, autoimmune disease, and blood disorder) had also 200 significantly higher odds of acquiring salmonellosis (pooled OR=3.289 95% CI [2.449 -201 4.416]). The same level of odds was observed in patients receiving other medications, such 202 as NSAID, corticosteroids, thyroid and hormone drugs (pooled OR=4.655 95% CI [3.458 -203 6.266]). When focusing on children, the use of anti-acids (pooled OR=3.413 95% CI [1.851 -204 6.296]) and antibiotics (pooled OR=2.376 95% CI [1.618 - 3.489]) produced a similar degree 205 of salmonellosis risk. In the population of infants, breastfeeding was proven to be protective 206 against Salmonella infection. Combining the results from seven case-control studies, 747 207 infants of age lower than 2 years had a pooled OR of 0.249 95% CI [0.165 -0.377] times the 208 odds of becoming ill than those who were not breastfed.

Person-to-person transmission was a significant risk factor for both the mixed population and children, but it was much higher in the latter, with a pooled OR of 1.692 95% CI [1.145 -2.500] and 3.811 [1.977 - 7.348], respectively. Person-to-person transmission was described in the mixed population as contact with patient or contact household member with diarrhea (or confirmed cases). For the child population, contacts were described as contact with diarrhea in household or creche with an ill child.

215 Contact with animals (farm, pet or wild) for children as well as in the mixed population was an 216 exposure pathway widely explored in the different studies (127 ORs for 30 studies in the 217 mixed population, and 44 ORs from 9 studies for children). In the mixed population, the 218 pooled ORs for this route of exposure ranged from 1.471 to 2.619 (contact with pets, on-farm 219 activities, or professional activities related to animals and carcasses, and contact with wild 220 animals). Farm environment was also found to be a risk factor in the mixed population 221 (pooled OR 1.900 95% CI [1.251 - 2.885]). For children, the pooled ORs associated with 222 contact with pets and farm animals were 3.035 95% CI [1.938 - 4.756] and 2.658 95% CI 223 [1.431 - 4.938], respectively. When exploring in more detail the pet factor, for both 224 populations, the diversity of animals was important (reptiles, dogs, cats, pets with diarrhea, 225 etc.). The categories of farm animals and wild animals were also very diverse.

226 For the mixed population, salmonellosis cases occurred more frequently among people 227 exposed to water-related activities (fishing, swimming, sailing, etc.) (pooled OR=2.221 95% 228 CI [1.339 - 3.681]). Drinking water practice was also significantly associated with increased 229 salmonellosis risk. Within this category, the consumption of untreated water was associated 230 with salmonellosis for the mixed population (pooled OR=1.753 95% CI [1.109 - 2.770]) and 231 represented a risk factor specifically for children too (pooled OR=2.130 95% CI [1.285 -232 3.532]) (Figure 2). For children, outdoor activities (activities in parks, playground sandboxes, 233 contact with soil, etc.) were strongly associated with salmonellosis (pooled OR=3.055 95% CI 234 [2.243 - 4.160]). Childcare attendance was significantly associated with salmonellosis 235 (pooled OR=2.738 95% CI [2.243 - 4.160]) in children, but strength or ORs were very 236 different in different childcare settings (e.g. school, daycare).

237 Significant risk factors associated with food-related transmission pathways

238 Significant factors associated with food are given in Tables 1, 2 and 3. The studies explored 239 a wide range of foods and food preparation/consumption practices (e.g. hygiene, cooking, 240 and place of consumption). For the mixed population foods significantly associated with 241 salmonellosis were eggs and egg products (pooled OR=1.774 95% CI [1.351 - 2.329]), 242 composite foods (pooled OR=1.731 95% CI [1.258 -2.381]), and meat (pooled OR=1.489 243 95% CI [1.137 - 1.951]) (Table 1). Within eggs category, higher pooled OR values were 244 obtained for egg products (pooled OR=2.736 95% CI [1.502 - 4.987]) compared to eggs 245 (pooled OR= 1.976 95% CI [1.138 - 3.431].

Among meats, poultry (pooled OR=1.474 95% CI [1.167 - 1.861]), pork (pooled OR=1.563 95% CI [1.111 - 2.200), red meats other than beef (pooled OR=1.680 95% CI [1.044 -2.703])(as lamb, mutton or venison meat), and other meats (pooled OR = 1.938 95%CI [1.215 - 3.091]) were the most important risk factors in the mixed population (Table 2).The category "others" is very heterogeneous containing meats of non-specified origin. The food vehicles identified in children also comprised meat and eggs categories (Table 1). For meat products, pork had the highest pooled OR (pooled OR=3.089 95% CI [1.348 - 7.078]) followed by processed meat products (pooled OR=2.122 95% CI [1.538 - 2.928]) and beef (pooled OR=1.623 [1.072 - 2.473]). Produce and dairy were also significantly associated with increased risk of salmonellosis in children (Table 1). The association with dairy, here described as milk formula, was explained by a pooled OR of 3.324 95% CI [2.136 - 5.172] (Figure 3 and Table 2).

The consumption of undercooked pork and poultry meat multiplied the basic ORs by a factor of 3.038 and 3.986, respectively (Table 3). Consumption of raw or undercooked eggs increased the basic ORs by a factor of 3.642 and 2.182, respectively. Out-of-home consumption of poultry, eggs or processed meats increased the basic ORs by factors ranging from 1.5 to 2 (Table 3).

263 For most of the meta-analytical models (models) reported in legends of Tables 1, 2 and 3, 264 the statistical tests indicated the absence of potential significant publication bias is above 265 5%. An exception is observed in the partitions related to host-specific and food in the mixed 266 population (Table 1), dairy in children (Table 2), and the effect of handling on the 267 consumption of poultry and processed meat (Table 3). For better assessing the publication 268 bias (above 5%), the funnel plot for those models is given in Figure 4. All of them exhibited 269 asymmetry, as lack of non-significant studies with smaller ORs which could lead to OR overestimation. Moreover, the intraclass correlation I^2 were for all partitions below high 270 271 heterogeneity (<75%). However, residual between-study heterogeneity (p-value often below 272 0.05 for Q or QE) was observed for the data partitions.

273 **Discussion**

274 In this study, the aim was to perform an updated systematic review of case-control studies 275 for human salmonellosis and to synthesize data in order to reveal the weight of common 276 factors associated with sporadic Salmonella infection, among them the relative importance of 277 travel, host-related factors, contact with animals and the environment, as well as food-related 278 factors. Based on the results of the meta-analysis, the ORs associated with non-food risk 279 factors (ORs between 2 and 6) were higher than those associated with exposures to main foods categories (ORs between 1.4 and 2.9). In agreement with the scientific literature 280 281 (Domingues et al., 2012; Johnson et al., 2011), the present study identified international 282 travel as a significant risk factor for salmonellosis. Although the number of studies was 283 relatively small, the meta-analysis also allowed for the identification of within-country travel 284 as a significant risk factor; the considered case-control studies concerned large countries, i.e. 285 Australia (Ashbolt and Kirk, 2006) and the US (Passaro et al, 1996, Trepka et al, 1999). 286 Another hypothesis to explain this risk factor is associated with the consumption habits 287 during travelling. Indeed, this risk factor might be a reflection of more frequent eating out 288 practices, which have been also found to be a significant risk factor.

The present meta-analysis also confirmed the findings of Domingues et al. (2012) in the relation to the importance of medication and chronic diseases. Concerning the latter factor, it is worth mentioning that Teunis et al. (2010) did not observe significant differences between the salmonellosis dose-response relations obtained for general and susceptible populations.

The evidenced strong effects of non-food exposures in this meta-analysis suggest that the risk of acquiring salmonellosis is multi-factorial and that other sources than the foodborne ones, such as contact with animals (Hoelzer et al., 2011; Lambertini et al., 2016) and environmental waters (Guillier et al. 2020), are worth investigating too.

The food risk factors identified in the meta-analysis were corroborated by the analysis of epidemiological data. Consumption of raw eggs, undercooked pork, poultry, beef, and milk formula regularly cause outbreaks (Guillier et al., 2013; Dallman et al., 2016; Jones et al., 2016; Dewey-Mattia et al., 2018). The data collected by the European RASFF (Rapid Alert 301 System for Food and Feed) system also confirm the importance of these categories. Of the 302 170 notifications from the EU Member States, poultry meat ranks first in terms of number of 303 alerts, followed by other meat and eggs and egg products (RASFF 2017). Similar to 304 Domingues et al. (2012), the consumption of dairy products was not found to be significant in 305 the mixed population despite the frequent link between raw milk cheese consumption 306 and *Salmonella* outbreaks (Yoon et al., 2016).

307 A potential difficulty to interpret the results of the meta-analysis could be due to the strong 308 host specificity of some serovars (Vila-Nova et al., 2019). Indeed, the salmonellosis cases in 309 the considered case-control studies are either caused by specific and frequently occurring 310 serovars (i.e. Typhimurium and Enteritidis, in particular) or by many different serovars. While 311 some serovars are not strongly associated with a unique animal reservoir (e. g. Typhimurium 312 or Agona), others display strong associations with reservoirs, such as Enteritidis and layers, 313 Derby and pigs, Dublin and cattle (Langridge et al., 2014). This may partly explain the 314 variability in ORs in the different case-control studies, and the remaining heterogeneity 315 between studies. For example, the ORs associated with egg consumption in studies focusing 316 only on Enteritidis were likely to be higher than those from studies of other serovars. The 317 importance of serovar in risk factor analysis has been shown recently (MacDonald et al., 318 2018); their results supported the notion that the epidemiology of S. Typhimurium may differ 319 from other Salmonella types leading to differences in risk factors.

320 Besides, the relative importance of serovars in sporadic cases may differ between continents 321 and reflect very different epidemiological situations (Ferrari et al., 2019). For example, the 322 Heidelberg and Newport serovars are important and original in their representation in 323 salmonellosis cases for the North American continent (Jackson et al., 2013), while they are 324 not very important in the EU. Moreover, the different food consumption behaviors and habits 325 in the countries where the case-control studies were carried out may have had an impact, as 326 e.g. some kinds of meat may be mostly consumed undercooked by some, but not by others. 327 For example, in France, the consumption of undercooked beef is a common 328 practice (ANSES, 2017) and this consumption practice has been described as a major risk 329 factor for Typhimurium and Enteritidis serovars (Delarocque-Astagneau et al., 2000; 330 Delarocque-Astagneau et al., 1998). Snary et al. (2016) have shown that there is large 331 variability concerning the type and frequency of consumption of pork products within the EU. 332 These consumption patterns greatly impact the level of risk of pork products. On the other 333 hand, the results of the meta-analysis conducted at the international level is very important in 334 the context of increasing international trade in foodstuffs (Ercsey-Ravasz et al., 2012; Garre 335 et al., 2019) and changes in food consumption patterns (Smith and Fazil, 2019). Indeed, this 336 internationalization of trade and changes in consumer attitudes may lead to a change in risk. 337 In this context, the identification of risk factors at the international level is, therefore, an 338 important element for epidemiologists who often have a historical view of the different routes 339 of transmission and specific to their country.

At this stage, the ORs cannot be used to estimate the population attributable fraction in a risk assessment. Indeed, the overall values of ORs might have been modulated by the different regulatory measures at the international level (e.g. for the table egg sector in Europe versus other continents) or within Europe (e.g. Sweden applies more stringent management measures for cattle herds than those adopted by European regulations (Ågren et al., 2015)). 345 The published case-control studies included this meta-analysis are stored in a database, 346 which will be updated with other relevant studies published in the future. This opens the way 347 for further analyses, providing a basis for comparison between different countries about the 348 risk for salmonellosis associated with exposure to a given risk factor. Analysis of ORs 349 observed in different countries in relation to their regulations might also indicate the 350 appropriateness of management measures to be adopted. This basis should also make it 351 possible to carry out various studies focusing on specific serovars, in particular Enteritidis 352 and Typhimurium, in the future. Examination at this level would be a key element for tracing 353 the sources of sporadic cases.

354 Conclusion

The results of this meta-analysis confirm the outcomes obtained in a previous systematic review of case-controls studies of salmonellosis (Domingues et al., 2012). Moreover, they help going beyond the usual suspect of egg products being always the source and stress the importance of refining the assessment of other food vehicles and consumption practices, and routes such as environmental and animal contact.

The contribution of environmental or animal contact to the risk of sporadic salmonellosis should be better documented in dedicated studies. It may be appropriate to include factors related to professional activities, and those relating to contact with pets. Finally, risk factors should be investigated by *Salmonella* serovars. Such investigations could enable for the identification of source-specific risk factors and underlying transmission pathways.

365

366 Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Anses staff and the members of the Anses Working Group
on Source Attribution of Foodborne Diseases: Moez Sanaa, Jean Christophe Augustin,
Frédéric Carlin, Alexandre Leclercq, Nicole Pavio, Isabelle Villena, and Laurence Watier.
U. Gonzales-Barron and V. Cadavez are grateful to the Foundation for Science and

Technology (FCT, Portugal) for financial support through national funds FCT/MCTES to
CIMO (UIDB/00690/2020). U. Gonzales-Barron acknowledges the national funding by FCT,
P.I., through the institutional scientific employment program contract.

374 **References**

- 375 Ågren, E.C.C., Johansson, J., Frössling, J., Wahlström, H., Emanuelson, U., Sternberg-
- 376 Lewerin, S., 2015. Factors affecting costs for on-farm control of *Salmonella* in Swedish dairy
- 377 herds. Acta Veterinaria Scandinavica 57. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13028-015-0118-y
- Ashbolt, R., Kirk, M. D., 2006. *Salmonella* Mississippi infections in Tasmania: the role of native Australian animals and untreated drinking water. Epidemiology & Infection, 134(6),
- 380 1257-1265. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0950268806006224
- ANSES, 2017. Third national study of individual food consumption: Changes in consumption
- 382 habits and patterns, new issues in the areas of food safety and nutrition.
- 383 https://www.anses.fr/en/content/inca-3-changes-consumption-habits-and-patterns-new-
- 384 issues-areas-food-safety-and-nutrition.
- Barco, L., Barrucci, F., Olsen, J. E., Ricci, A., 2013. *Salmonella* source attribution based on
 microbial subtyping. International Journal of Food Microbiology, 163(2-3), 193-203.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2013.03.005
- Barco, L., Barrucci, F., Cortini, E., Ramon, E., Olsen, J.E., Luzzi, I., Lettini, A.A., Ricci, A.,
 2015. Ascertaining the relationship between *Salmonella* Typhimurium and *Salmonella*4,[5],12:i:- by MLVA and inferring the sources of human salmonellosis due to the two
 serovars in Italy. Frontiers in Microbiology 6. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2015.00301
- 392 Bellido-Blasco, J.B., González-Cano, J.M., Galiano-Arlandis, J.V., Herrero-Carot, C., Tirado-
- 393 Balaguer, M.D., Arnedo-Pena, A., Safont-Adsuara, L., Romeu-García, M.A., 2007. Risk
- 394 factors for the occurrence of sporadic *Campylobacter*, *Salmonella* and rotavirus diarrhea in
- 395 preschool children. Anales de pediatria 66(4), 367-374. https://doi.org/10.1157/13101241

Christidis, T., Hurst, M., Rudnick, W., Pintar, K. D., Pollari, F. (2020). A comparative
exposure assessment of foodborne, animal contact and waterborne transmission routes of *Salmonella* in Canada. Food Control, 109, 106899.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2019.106899

400 Dallman, T., Inns, T., Jombart, T., Ashton, P., Loman, N., Chatt, C., Messelhaeusser, U., Rabsch, W., Simon, S., Nikisins, S., Bernard, H., le Hello, S., Jourdan-da-Silva, N., 401 402 Kornschober, C., Mossong, J., Hawkey, P., de Pinna, E., Grant, K., Cleary, P., 2016. 403 Phylogenetic structure of European Salmonella Enteritidis outbreak correlates with national 404 and international egg distribution network. Microbial Genomics 2. 405 https://doi.org/10.1099/mgen.0.000070

David, J. M., D. Guillemot, N. Bemrah, A. Thebault, A. Brisabois, M. Chemaly, F. X. Weill, P.
Sanders and Watier, L. 2013a. The Bayesian microbial subtyping attribution model:
robustness to prior information and a proposition. <u>Risk Analysis</u> 33(3): 397-408.
https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1539-6924.2012.01877.x

David, J.M., Sanders, P., Bemrah, N., Granier, S. A., Denis, M., Weill, F.X., Guillemot,
D.,Watier, L., 2013. Attribution of the French human salmonellosis cases to the main foodsources according to the type of surveillance data. Preventive veterinary medicine, *110*(1),
12-27. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.prevetmed.2013.02.002

Delarocque-Astagneau, E., Bouillant, C., Vaillant, V., Bouvet, P., Grimont, P.A.D.,
Desenclos, J.-C., 2000. Risk Factors for the Occurrence of Sporadic *Salmonella enterica*serotype Typhimurium infections in children in France: a national case-control study. Clinical
Infectious Diseases 31, 488–492. https://doi.org/10.1086/313990

Delarocque-Astagneau, E., Desenclos, J.-C., Bouvet, P., Grimont, P.A.D., 1998. Risk factors
for the occurrence of sporadic *Salmonella enterica* serotype Enteritidis infections in children
in France: a national case-control study. Epidemiology and Infection 121, 561–567.
https://doi.org/10.1017/s0950268898001460

- Devleesschauwer, B., Pires, S.M., Young, I., Gill, A., and, S.E.M., 2019. Associating sporadic
 foodborne illness caused by Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* with specific foods: a
 systematic review and meta-analysis of case-control studies. Epidemiology and Infection
 147. https://doi.org/10.1017/s0950268819001183
- 426 Dewey-Mattia, D., Manikonda, K., Hall, A.J., Wise, M.E., Crowe, S.J., 2018. Surveillance for
- 427 foodborne disease outbreaks United States 2009-2015. MMWR. Surveillance Summaries 67,
- 428 1–11. https://doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.ss6710a1
- Domingues, A. R., Pires, S. M., Halasa, T., Hald, T., 2012. Source attribution of human
 salmonellosis using a meta-analysis of case-control studies of sporadic infections.
 Epidemiology & Infection, 140(6), 959-969. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0950268811002172
- 432 EFSA, ECDC, 2018. The European Union summary report on trends and sources of 433 zoonoses, zoonotic agents and food-borne outbreaks in 2017. Efsa Journal 16.
- 434 Ercsey-Ravasz, M., Toroczkai, Z., Lakner, Z., Baranyi, J., 2012. Complexity of the
- international agro-food trade network and its impact on food safety. PLoS ONE 7, e37810.
- 436 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0037810
- 437 Ferrari, R.G., Rosario, D.K.A., Cunha-Neto, A., Mano, S.B., Figueiredo, E.E.S., Conte-Junior,
- 438 C.A., 2019. Worldwide epidemiology of *Salmonella* serovars in animal-based foods: a meta-
- 439 analysis. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 85. https://doi.org/10.1128/aem.00591-19
- Garre, A., Fernandez, P.S., Brereton, P., Elliott, C., Mojtahed, V., 2019. The use of trade
 data to predict the source and spread of food safety outbreaks: An innovative mathematical
 modelling approach. Food Research International 123, 712–721.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2019.06.007
- 444 Glass, K., Fearnley, E., Hocking, H., Raupach, J., Veitch, M., Ford, L., Kirk, M.D., 2015.
- 445 Bayesian source attribution of salmonellosis in South Australia. Risk Analysis 36, 561–570.
- 446 https://doi.org/10.1111/risa.12444

447 Gonzales-Barron, U., Thébault, A., Kooh, P., Watier, L., Sanaa, M., Cadavez, V., 2019. 448 Strategy for systematic review of observational studies and meta-analysis modelling of risk 449 factors for sporadic foodborne diseases. Microbial Risk Analysis 100082. 450 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mran.2019.07.003

Guillier, L., Danan, C., Bergis, H., Delignette-Muller, M.-L., Granier, S., Rudelle, S., Beaufort,
A., Brisabois, A., 2013. Use of quantitative microbial risk assessment when investigating
foodborne illness outbreaks: The example of a monophasic *Salmonella* Typhimurium
4,5,12:i:- outbreak implicating beef burgers. International Journal of Food Microbiology 166,
471–478. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2013.08.006

456 Guillier, L., Gourmelon, M., Lozach, S., Cadel-Six, S., Vignaud, M.-L., Munck, N. S., Hald, T.,

457 Palma, F., 2020. AB SA: Accessory genes-Based Source Attribution – tracing the source of

458 Salmonella enterica Typhimurium environmental strains. Microbial Genomics.
459 https://doi.org/10.1099/mgen.0.000366

Havelaar, A.H., Ángela Vargas Galindo, Kurowicka, D., Cooke, R.M., 2008. Attribution of
foodborne pathogens using structured expert elicitation. Foodborne Pathogens and Disease
5, 649–659. https://doi.org/10.1089/fpd.2008.0115

Hoelzer, K., Switt, A.M., Wiedmann, M., 2011. Animal contact as a source of human nontyphoidal salmonellosis. Veterinary Research 42, 34. https://doi.org/10.1186/1297-9716-4234

466 IFSAC (Interagency Food Safety Analytics Collaboration), 2019. Foodborne illness source 467 attribution estimates for 2017 for Salmonella, Escherichia coli O157, Listeria monocytogenes, 468 and Campylobacter using multi-year outbreak surveillance data, United States. GA and D.C.: 469 U.S. Department Health of and Human Services, CDC. FDA, USDA-FSIS. 470 https://www.cdc.gov/foodsafety/ifsac/pdf/P19-2017-report-TriAgency-508.pdf

Inns, T., Lane, C., Peters, T., Dallman, T., Chatt, C., McFarland, N., Crook, P., Bishop, T.,
Edge, J., Hawker, J., Elson, R., Neal, K., Adak, G.K., Cleary, P., on behalf of the Outbreak

- 473 Control T, 2015. A multi-country *Salmonella* Enteritidis phage type 14b outbreak associated
 474 with eggs from a German producer: 'near real-time' application of whole genome sequencing
 475 and food chain investigations United Kingdom, May to September 2014. Eurosurveillance 20.
- 476 https://doi.org/10.2807/1560-7917.es2015.20.16.21098
- Jackson, B.R., Griffin, P.M., Cole, D., Walsh, K.A., Chai, S.J., 2013. Outbreak-associated *Salmonella enterica* serotypes and food commodities United States, 1998-2008. Emerging
 Infectious Diseases 19, 1239–1244. https://doi.org/10.3201/eid1908.121511
- Johnson, L.R., Gould, L.H., Dunn, J.R., Berkelman, R., Mahon, B.E., 2011. *Salmonella*infections associated with international travel: A Foodborne Diseases Active Surveillance
 Network (FoodNet) Study. Foodborne Pathogens and Disease 8(9), 1031-1037.
- Jones, G., Pihier, N., Vanbockstael, C., Hello, S.L., Six, S.C., Fournet, N., Jourdan-da Silva,
 N., 2016. Outbreak of *Salmonella* Enteritidis linked to the consumption of frozen beef burgers
 received from a food bank and originating from Poland: northern France December 2014 to
 April 2015. Eurosurveillance 21. https://doi.org/10.2807/1560-7917.es.2016.21.40.30363
- 487 Jourdan-da Silva, N., Fabre, L., Robinson, E., Fournet, N., Nisavanh, A., Bruyand, M., 488 Mailles, A., Serre, E., Ravel, M., Guibert, V., Issenhuth-Jeanjean, S., Renaudat, C., 489 Tourdjman, M., Septfons, A., de Valk, H., Le Hello, S., 2018. Ongoing nationwide outbreak of 490 Salmonella Agona associated with internationally distributed infant milk products, France, 491 December 2017. Eurosurveillance, https://doi.org/10.2807/1560-23(2). 492 7917.ES.2018.23.2.17-00852
- Lambertini, E., Buchanan, R.L., Narrod, C., Ford, R.M., Baker, R.C., Pradhan, A.K., 2016.
 Quantitative assessment of human and pet exposure to *Salmonella* associated with dry pet
 foods. International Journal of Food Microbiology 216, 79–90.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2015.09.005
- Langridge, G.C., Fookes, M., Connor, T.R., Feltwell, T., Feasey, N., Parsons, B.N., SethSmith, H.M.B., Barquist, L., Stedman, A., Humphrey, T., Wigley, P., Peters, S.E., Maskell,

499 D.J., Corander, J., Chabalgoity, J.A., Barrow, P., Parkhill, J., Dougan, G., Thomson, N.R., 500 2014. Patterns of genome evolution that have accompanied host adaptation in Salmonella. 501 Proceedings of the National Academy Sciences 112. 863-868. of https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1416707112 502

MacDonald, E., White, R., Mexia, R., Bruun, T., Kapperud, G., Brandal, L.T., Lange, H.,
Nygård, K., Vold, L., 2018. The role of domestic reservoirs in domestically acquired *Salmonella* infections in Norway: epidemiology of salmonellosis 2000-2015, and results of a
national prospective case-control study, 2010-2012. Epidemiology and Infection 147.
https://doi.org/10.1017/s0950268818002911

508 Mikkelä, A., Ranta, J., Tuominen, P., 2019. A modular bayesian *Salmonella* source 509 attribution model for sparse data. Risk Analysis. https://doi.org/10.1111/risa.13310

510 Mughini-Gras, L., Franz, E., van Pelt, W., 2018. New paradigms for *Salmonella* source 511 attribution based on microbial subtyping. Food Microbiology 71, 60–67. 512 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fm.2017.03.002

Painter, J.A., Hoekstra, R.M., Ayers, T., Tauxe, R.V., Braden, C.R., Angulo, F.J., Griffin,
P.M., 2013. Attribution of foodborne illnesses, hospitalizations, and deaths to food
commodities by using outbreak data, United States, 1998-2008. Emerging infectious
diseases, 19(3), 407. https://doi.org/10.3201/eid1903.111866

Passaro, D.J., Reporter, R., Mascola, L., Kilman, L., Malcolm, G.B., Rolka, H., Werner, S.B.,
Vugia, D.J., 1996. Epidemic *Salmonella* Enteritidis infection in Los Angeles county,
California. The predominance of phage type 4. Western journal of medicine, 165(3), 126.

Pires, S.M., Vigre, H., Makela, P., Hald, T., 2010. Using outbreak data for source attribution
of human salmonellosis and campylobacteriosis in Europe. Foodborne pathogens and
disease, 7(11), 1351-1361. https://doi.org/10.1089/fpd.2010.0564

- 523 Pires, S. M., Vieira, A. R., Hald, T., Cole, D., 2014. Source attribution of human
 524 salmonellosis: an overview of methods and estimates. Foodborne pathogens and disease,
 525 11(9), 667-676. https://doi.org/10.1089/fpd.2014.1744
- Pires, S.M., Evers, E.G., van Pelt, W., Ayers, T., Scallan, E., Angulo, F.J., Havelaar, A.,
 Hald, T., 2009. Attributing the human disease burden of foodborne infections to specific
 sources. Foodborne Pathogens and Disease 6, 417–424.
 https://doi.org/10.1089/fpd.2008.0208
- Smith, B.A., Fazil, A., 2019. How will climate change impact microbial foodborne disease in
 Canada? Canada Communicable Disease Report 45, 108–113.
 https://doi.org/10.14745/ccdr.v45i04a05
- Snary, E.L., Swart, A.N., Simons, R.R.L., Domingues, A.R.C., Vigre, H., Evers, E.G., Hald,
 T., Hill, A.A., 2016. A Quantitative Microbiological Risk Assessment for *Salmonella* in Pigs for
- the European Union. Risk Analysis 36, 437–449. https://doi.org/10.1111/risa.12586
- Teunis, P.F., Kasuga, F., Fazil, A., Ogden, I.D., Rotariu, O., Strachan, N.J., 2010. Dose–
 response modeling of *Salmonella* using outbreak data. International journal of food
 microbiology, 144(2), 243-249. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2010.09.026
- Ung, A., Baidjoe, A.Y., Cauteren, D.V., Fawal, N., Fabre, L., Guerrisi, C., Danis, K., Morand,
 A., Donguy, M.-P., Lucas, E., Rossignol, L., Lefèvre, S., Vignaud, M.-L., Cadel-Six, S.,
 Lailler, R., Jourdan-da Silva, N., Hello, S.L., 2019. Disentangling a complex nationwide *Salmonella* Dublin outbreak associated with raw-milk cheese consumption France, 2015 to
 2016. Eurosurveillance 24. https://doi.org/10.2807/1560-7917.es.2019.24.3.1700703
- 545 2010. Eurosulveillance 24. https://doi.org/10.2007/1500-7917.es.2019.24.5.1700705
- Trepka, M. J., Archer, J. R., Altekruse, S. F., Proctor, M. E., Davis, J.P., 1999. An increase in
- 545 sporadic and outbreak-associated *Salmonella* Enteritidis infections in Wisconsin: the role of
- 546 eggs. The Journal of infectious diseases, 180(4), 1214-1219. https://doi.org/10.1086/314984

- Van Cauteren, D., Strat, Y.L., Sommen, C., Bruyand, M., Tourdjman, M., Jourdan-da Silva,
 N., Couturier, E., Fournet, N., de Valk, H., Desenclos, J.-C., 2017. Estimated annual
 numbers of foodborne pathogen-associated illnesses, hospitalizations, and deaths, France,
 2008-2013. Emerging Infectious Diseases 23, 1486–1492.
 https://doi.org/10.3201/eid2309.170081
- Van Cauteren, D., Valk, H.D., Sommen, C., King, L.A., Jourdan-da Silva, N., Weill, F.-X.,
 Hello, S.L., Mégraud, F., Vaillant, V., Desenclos, J.C., 2015. Community incidence of
 campylobacteriosis and non-typhoidal salmonellosis France, 2008-2013. Foodborne
 Pathogens and Disease 12, 664–669. https://doi.org/10.1089/fpd.2015.1964
- 556 Viechtbauer, W., 2010. Conducting meta-analyses in R with the metaphor package. Journal
 557 of Statistical Software 36. https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v036.i03
- Vila-Nova, M., Durimel, K., La, K., Felten, A., Bessières, P., Mistou, M.-Y., Mariadassou, M.,
 & Radomski, N., 2019. Genetic and metabolic signatures of *Salmonella enterica* subsp. *enterica* associated with animal sources at the pangenomic scale. BMC genomics, *20*(1),
 814. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-019-6188-x
- Yoon, Y., Lee, S., & Choi, K.H. (2016). Microbial benefits and risks of raw milk cheese. Food
 Control, 63, 201-215. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2015.11.013
- Zhang, S., Li, S., Gu, W., den Bakker, H., Boxrud, D., Taylor, A., Roe, C., Driebe, E.,
 Engelthaler, D.M., Allard, M., Brown, E., McDermott, P., Zhao, S., Bruce, B.B., Trees, E.,
 Fileds, P.I., Deng, X., 2019. Zoonotic source attribution of *Salmonella enterica* serotype
 Typhimurium using genomic surveillance data, United States. Emerging infectious diseases,
 25(1), 82. https://doi.org/10.3201/eid2501.180835

569 Appendix

- 570 Appendix-1. Bibliographic references of the case-control studies for *Salmonella* spp
- 571 Appendix- 2. Characteristics of the 62 case-control studies investigating sources of
- 572 sporadic human salmonellosis included in the meta-analysis

573 Figure captions

Figure 1. PRISMA flow chart of the literature search for case-control studies of human
 salmonellosis

576

577 Figure 2. Forest plot of the associations of salmonellosis with consumption of 578 untreated /not enough treated drinking water in the mixed population (horizontal axis 579 is expressed as OR in logarithm scale).

580

- 581 Figure 3. Forest plot of the associations of salmonellosis with consumption of dairy
- 582 products in children (OR in logarithm scale)

583

- 584 Figure 4: Funnel plots of studies investigating categorized risk factors (host specific
- 585 in mixed population, food in mixed population, dairy in children, handling poultry and
- 586 handling processed meat)

587

588

589 **Tables**

590 Table 1. Results of the meta-analysis for the main risk factors

Population	Geographical area	Risk factor	Pooled OR [IC95%]	N/n*	p-value of risk factor	Publication bias p-value	Points removed **	Heterogeneity analysis***
	•			Travel			•	•
Mixed(y)	Asia excluded	Abroad	3.612 [2.839 - 4.593]	18/47	<.0001	0.408	1	T2=0.0624 QE(df = 49) = 123.6, p-val <
		Inside	2.195 [1.054 - 4.572]	3/3	0.036			.0001 s ² =0.925 ; l ² =6.319%
	1			t specific		•		1
Mixed(y)	All	Antiacids	3.184 [2.449 - 4.139]	12/31	<.0001	<.0001	0	т2=0.6956 QE(df = 88) = 866.970, p-val
		Antibiotics	3.348 [2.657 - 4.219]	14/30	<.0001			< .0001 s ² =0.533; l ² =56.626%
		Chronic diseases	3.289 [2.449 - 4.416]	8/21	<.0001			
		Other medical conditions	4.655 [3.458 - 6.266]	5/11	<.0001			
Children	All	Antiacids	3.413 [1.851- 6.296]	2/3	<.0001	0.501	0	τ2=0.278 QE(df = 24) = 31.053, p-val =
		Antibiotics	2.376 [1.618 - 3.489]	6/12	<.0001			0.152 s ² =0.500; l ² =35.724%
		Breastfeeding	0.249 [0.165 - 0.377]	7/12	<.0001			
			Perso	n to perso	n			
Mixed	All		1.692 [1.145- 2.500]	7/10	0.008	0.056	0	T2=0.557 QE(df = 17) = 58.734, p-val < .0001 ; s ² =0.82; ² =40.425%
Children			3.811 [1.977- 7.348]	7/9	<.0001			
			A	nimals				
Mixed	All	Pets	1.471 [1.189 - 1.821]	26/71	<.0001	0.283	1	т2=1.1449 QE(df = 123) =
		Exotic (new) pets	2.619 [1.763 - 3.894]	7/25	<.0001			464.3872, p- val < .0001
		Farm animals	1.937 [1.394 - 2.693]	9/19	0.0004			; s ² =0.605; l ² =65.420
		Occupational exposure	1.737[1.175 - 2.567]	5/12	0.0056			
Children	All	Pets	3.035 [1.938 - 4.756]	9/36	<.0001	0.731	1	τ2=0.369 QE(df = 41) =
		Farm animals	2.658 [1.431 - 4.938]	2/5	0.002			76.2961, p-val = 0.0007; s ² =0.540; l2=40.63%
			Env	ironment		•		
Mixed	All	Recreational water	2.221 [1.339 - 3.681]	9/12	0.0020	0.088	0	τ2=0.7714 QE(df = 45) =
		Untreated drinking water	1.753 [1.109 - 2.770]	11/19	0.0162			132.6221, p- val < .0001;
		Farm environment	1.900 [1.251- 2.885]	8/17	0.0026	0.465	-	s ² =0.594; l ² =56.48%
Children (at)	All	Untreated drinking water	2.130 [1.285 - 3.532]	5/8	0.0034	0.199	0	T2= 0 QE(df = 27) = 31.5105, p-val
		Daycare attendance	2.738 [1.658 - 4.522]	5/10	<.0001			= 0.2507 ;s ² =0.431 l ² = 0

		Playground	3.055 [2.243 - 4.160]	6/13	<.0001						
	Food										
Mixed	All	Meat	1.489 [1.137 - 1.951]	37/231	0.0039	0.035	8	T2=0.215 QE(df = 537) = 1971.5989, p- val < .0001 ; s ² =0.792; l ² =21.34%			
		Eggs	1.774 [1.351 - 2.329]	35/139	<.0001						
		Composite	1.731 [1.258 - 2.381]	16/66	0.0008						
Children	All	Meat	1.794[1.548 - 2.079]	8/34	<.0001	0.072	2	т2= 0.6705			
		eggs	1.669[1.295 - 2.150]	7/20	<.0001			QE(df = 76) = 93.1415, p-val			
		Dairy	2.828[2.067 - 3.870]	6/10	<.0001			= 0.0884 s ² =0.482 ;			
		Produce	2.099[1.169 - 3.768]	2/13	0.0130			l ² =58.178			

591

*N/n Number of studies/number of OR;** points removed by sensitivity analysis, all results are given after
 removing data concerned; ***Between-study variability (τ²), test for residual heterogeneity (QE), variance of

residuals (s²), intra-class correlation (l²). (y): year is significant (before/after 2000) in this model and the

595 estimates are taking this effect into account; (at) analysis type is significant

596

597 Table 2: Results of the meta-analysis on disaggregated risk factors (food)

Risk Factor	Population	Geographi cal area	Risk factor precise	Pooled OR [IC95%]	N/n*	p- value of risk facto r	Publicati on bias p-value	Points remove d **	Heterogenei ty analysis***
Meat	Mixed	Asia and south America	Poultry	1.474[1.1 67 - 1.861]	29/93	0.001 1	0.202	2	$\begin{array}{l} $T2=1.93$\\ QE(df=218)\\ = 917.4944,\\ p\val < \\ .0001\\ s^2=0.812;\\ l^2=70.408\% \end{array}$
		removed	Other red Meats	1.680 [1.044 - 2.703]	5/10	0.032 5			
			Pork	1.563 [1.111- 2.200]	8/19	0.010 3			
			Others	1.938 [1.215 - 3.091]	7/20	0.005 4			
Meat (y)	Children	All	Beef	1.623 [1.072 - 2.473]	5/12	0.022	0.117	0	T2=0.567 QE(df = 28) = 38.496, p-
			Processe d Meat	2.122 [1.538 - 2.928]	2/5	<.000 1			val = 0.089 s ² =0.54941 l ² =50.788
			Pork	3.089 [1.348 - 7.078]	2/4	0.007			
Eggs (at,y)	Mixed	Asia and south America removed	Eggs	1.976[1.138 - 3.431]	32/11 5	0.015 5	0.592	0	T2= 0.219 QE(df = 127) = 386.5163, p-val <
		Territoved	Egg products	2.736[1.502 - 4.987]	7/16	0.001 0			.0001 ; s ² =0.769 l ² =22.197%
Eggs (at)	Children	All	Multivaria te analysis	1.557 [1.233 - 1.967]	3/5	0.000	0.915	0	T2=0 QE(df = 18) = 10.733, p- val = 0.905
			Univariat e Analysis	1.464[1.272 - 1.685]	6/15	<.000 1			s ² =0.228 I ² =0
Dairy	Children	All	Milk formula	3.324 [2.136 - 5.172]	5/8	<.000 1	0.014	0	$\begin{array}{l} \tau 2 = 0.111 \\ Q(df = 7) = \\ 9.665, p \text{-val} \\ = 0.208 \\ s^2 = 0.22810 \\ l^2 : 0.228 \end{array}$
Produce	Children	All		1.545 [1.314 - 1.819]	2/10	<.000 1	0.535	0	$\begin{array}{l} r2{=}0\\ Q(df=9)=\\ 8.4746, p{-}val\\ = 0.4871\\ s^2{=}0.805\ ;\\ l^2{=}0 \end{array}$
Composi te	Children+mix ed (all)	Asia removed	Dishes	1.609 [1.206 - 2.147]	16/46	0.001 2	0.121	0	τ2=0.742 QE(df = 64) = 201.7201,
			Fast Food	1.443[1.0 53 - 1.977]	7/16	0.022 6			p-val < .0001 s ² =0.569 l ² =56.574%
BBQ	All	All		1.952 [1.003 - 3.798]	4/7	0.049 1	0.221	0	$\begin{array}{l} \mbox{t2=0.274} \\ \mbox{Q(df = 6) =} \\ \mbox{15.967, p-val} \\ \mbox{= 0.0139} \\ \mbox{s^2=0.669 ;} \\ \mbox{l^2=29.059\%} \end{array}$

- 598 *N/n Number of studies/number of OR;** points removed by sensitivity analysis, all results are given
- 599 after removing data concerned; ***Between-study variability (τ²), test for residual heterogeneity (QE),
- 600 variance of residuals (s²), intra-class correlation (l²). (y): year is significant (before/after 2000) in this
- 601 model and the estimates are taking this effect into account; (at) analysis type is significant.

Risk Factor	Risk factor precise	Pooled OR [IC95%]	N/n*	p- value of risk factor	OR ratios and Cl95%	Points removed **	Publication bias p-value	Heterogeneity analysis***
Poultry (at)	Eating Out	3.038 [1.965 - 4.697]	12/24	<.0001	1.961 [1.583- 2.429]	3	0.032	r2=0.124 QE(df = 102) = 243.7902, p-val < .0001 s ² =0.593; l ² =17.311%
	Undercooked	3.986[1.641 - 9.681]	6/8	0.0054	2.572[1.322 - 5.005]			
	Poultry (base)	1.549[1.241 - 1.934]	32/82 (ref eating out) 34/98 (ref handling)	0.0001	-			
Processed Meat	Eating out	1.919 [0.922 - 3.994]	7/13	0.021	1.635 [1.074 - 2.487]	2	0.009	τ2=0.303 QE(df = 58) = 207.632, p-val < .0001
	Processed Meat (base)	1.174 [0.858 - 1.606]	17/48	0.315	-			s ² =0.715 l ² =29.755%
Eggs (at)	Eating Out	2.575 [1.465 - 4.527]	10/29	0.0011	1.575 [1.199 - 2.069]	3	0.787	T2=0.174 QE(df = 135) = 423.730, p-val < .0001 s2=0.818 l2=17.574%
	Undercooked	2.182 [1.388 - 3.428]	22/49	0.0004	1.335 [1.136 - 1.567]			
	Raw	3.642[1.776 - 7.469]	10/12	0.0002	2.228 [1.454 - 3.414]			
	Base eggs	1.635 [1.221- 2.188]	39/111(s) 31/79(h)	0.0009	_			
Pork meat	Undercooked	3.039[1.289- 7.163]	5/12	<.0001	3.578 [2.132- 6.002]	0	p= 0.259	τ2=0.1051 QE(df = 20) = 36.145, p-val = 0.0148
	Base	0.849 [0.605 - 1.193]	7/11	0.3475	-			s ² =0.440 l ² =19.274%
Vegetables	Unwashed	1.542 [0.773- 3.073]	2/4	0.112	1.551[1.002 - 2.399]	0	p= 0.378	т2=0.136 QE(df = 36) = 113.719, p-val
	Base	0.994 [0.772- 1.281]	10/27	0.964	_			< .0001 s ² =0.477 l ² =22.273%

602 Table 3. Effect of food handling on the pooled OR

*N/n Number of studies/number of OR;** points removed by sensitivity analysis, all results are given
after removing data concerned; ***Between-study variability (τ²), test for residual heterogeneity (QE),
variance of residuals (s²), intra-class correlation (l²). (y): year is significant (before/after 2000) in this
model and the estimates are taking this effect into account; (at) analysis type is significant

611 Table 4.Non significant or isolated *ORs* (1 publication) in main risk factors.

Population	Geographical area	Risk factor	Pooled OR [IC95%]	N/n*
	·	Ti	ravel	
Mixed(y)	Asia excluded	Any	0.909[0.528- 1.565]	2/3
	·	An	imals	
Children	All	Exotic (new) pets	1.433[1.046- 1.962]]	1/3
		F	ood	
Mixed	All	Produce	1.228[0.892- 1.690]	12/63
		Seafood	1.224 [0.795- 1.884]	6/10
		Dairy	1.187[0.850- 1.658]	13/31
		Beverages	1.535[0.887- 2.655]	3/4
Children	All	Seafood	1.387[0.637- 3.019]	2/4

612 N/n Number of studies/number of OR; if N=1 isolated studies: the result is not considered of significant

613 due to the lack of studies







