

Method for tick-borne encephalitis virus detection in raw milk products

Catherine Hennechart-Collette, Gaëlle Gonzalez, Lisa Fourniol, Audrey Fraisse, Cécile Beck, Sara Moutailler, Laure Bournez, Nolwenn M. Dheilly, Sandrine Lacour, Sylvie Lecollinet, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

Catherine Hennechart-Collette, Gaëlle Gonzalez, Lisa Fourniol, Audrey Fraisse, Cécile Beck, et al.. Method for tick-borne encephalitis virus detection in raw milk products. Food Microbiology, 2022, 104, pp.104003. 10.1016/j.fm.2022.104003. anses-03648882

HAL Id: anses-03648882 https://anses.hal.science/anses-03648882

Submitted on 22 Jul 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Copyright

1 Method for tick-borne encephalitis virus detection in raw milk 2 products 3 4 5 6 7 Catherine Hennechart-Collette ¹, Gaëlle Gonzalez ², Lisa Fourniol ¹, Audrey Fraisse ¹, Cécile 8 Beck ², Sara Moutailler ³, Laure Bournez ⁴, Nolwenn M Dheilly², Sandrine A Lacour², Sylvie 9 Lecollinet ^{2,5,6}, Sandra Martin-Latil ¹, Sylvie Perelle ^{1 *} 10 11 12 13 ¹ Université Paris-Est, Anses, Laboratory for food safety, F-94700 Maisons-Alfort, France. ² Anses, INRAE, Ecole Nationale Vétérinaire d'Alfort, UMR VIROLOGIE, Laboratoire de Santé 14 Animale, F-94700, Maisons-Alfort, France. 15 ³ Anses, INRAE, Ecole Nationale Vétérinaire d'Alfort, UMR BIPAR, Laboratoire de Santé 16 Animale, F-94700, Maisons-Alfort, France. 17 ⁴ Nancy Laboratory for Rabies and Wildlife, The French Agency for Food, Environmental and 18 Occupational Health & Safety (ANSES), CS 40009, 54220 Malzéville, France 19 20 ⁵ Current position CIRAD, UMR ASTRE, 97170 Petit Bourg, France. ⁶ Current position ASTRE, CIRAD, INRAE, Univ Montpellier, 34000 Montpellier, France. 21 22 23 24

* Corresponding author. Tel: +33 (0)1 49 77 27 99; fax: +33 (0)1 43 68 97 62. E-mail address: sylvie.perelle@anses.fr **Abstract** The transmission of tick-borne encephalitis virus (TBEV) through food is rare, but can occur through the consumption of raw milk products from animals infected by tick bites. In 2020, France faced a TBEV outbreak linked to the consumption of unpasteurized goat cheese. The aim of this study was to develop and characterize a molecular method for the detection of TBEV in raw milk products based on the recent international standard PR ISO/DIS 16140-4. The TBEV recovery rates varied with the inoculation level and settings. The LOD₅₀ and LOD₉₅ of TBEV were 6.40x10³ genome copies per g or per mL and 2.84x10⁴ genome copies per g or per mL, respectively. The percentages of RT-qPCR inhibitions were lower than 75% and the murine norovirus (MNV-1), used as process control, was detected in all samples with a recovery rate higher than 1%, as recommended in ISO 15216. We conclude that the described method is appropriate to detect TBEV in raw milk products for routine diagnosis, and to assess potential health risks. Keywords: Tick-borne encephalitis virus; raw dairy products; RT-qPCR detection; process control; RT-qPCR inhibition

1. Introduction

52

Tick-borne encephalitis (TBE) is an emerging tick-borne zoonotic disease affecting the central 53 54 nervous system of humans. The typical manifestations of TBE include meningitis, encephalitis or meningoencephalitis, with potentially fatal outcomes. The causative agent, tick-borne 55 encephalitis virus (TBEV) is an enveloped, positive single-stranded RNA virus belonging to the 56 Flavivirus genus within the Flaviviridae family. TBE virus is divided into five subtypes: the Far 57 eastern, Siberian, Baikalian, Himalayan and European subtypes. The European subtype is the 58 only prevalent subtype in western Europe. TBE is endemic across Europe and has been a 59 notifiable disease in the European Union (EU) since 2012 (ECDC, 2019). TBE is an important 60 61 zoonotic infection for many countries in central, northern and eastern Europe. The EU notification rate for TBE has fluctuated between 0.4 and 0.7 cases per 100000 population from 62 2015 to 2019. In France, between 4 and 25 confirmed cases per year were reported from 2015 63 to 2019 (ECDC, 2021). 64 TBEV is predominantly transmitted to humans by Ixodes tick bites in endemic areas. 65 Occasionally, the infection can also occur following the consumption of raw, unpasteurized 66 dairy products from infected animals such as goats, sheep and cows (Balogh et al., 2010; 67 68 Brockmann et al., 2018; Donchenko et al., 2005; Holzmann et al., 2009; Hudopisk et al., 2013; 69 Kohl et al., 1996; Markovinovic et al., 2016). Animals can excrete TBEV in milk for 3 to 14 days, beginning as early as the second or third day post-infection (Beck et al., 2020; Gresikova et al., 70 1975, 1958a, 1958b). After infection via the alimentary route, the incubation period, 71 estimated at 3–4 days, is shorter than following a tick bite (Fischer et al., 2020). From April to 72 73 June 2020, France recorded a human outbreak of TBEV infections through food contamination. The outbreak took place in the Ain department, Rhône-Alpes region. A total 74 75 of 43 suspect TBEV cases were reported. The outbreak investigations carried out by the French 76 regional health agency and the National Center for Arboviruses showed that 41 positive human samples were confirmed, and 40 people reported consuming unpasteurized goat's 77 78 cheese sourced from the same goat farm (Beck et al., 2020; Gonzalez et al., submitted) 79 A sensitive and reliable method for the detection of TBEV in dairy products is needed to ensure the safety of raw milk products. The general strategy for the detection of viruses in food 80 samples consists of three steps: virus extraction, purification of viral RNA, and quantitative 81 molecular detection of purified viral RNA. The ISO 15216 standard proposes molecular 82

methods for the detection of enteric viruses (noroviruses and hepatitis A virus (HAV)) in highrisk food categories, such as fruits, vegetables, water, and bivalve molluscs (Anonymous, 2017,

2019). But, a wide variety of foodstuffs including dairy products have also been implicated in

viral disease outbreaks, and standardized molecular methods are needed.

A method based on the ISO 15216 procedure has been developed to detect noroviruses in milk products (Hennechart-Collette et al., 2017). Due to the complexity of the methods and the presence of substances that can inhibit PCR amplification, a comprehensive set of controls is used, including controls for RT-qPCR inhibition and extraction efficiency (Lee et al., 2012; Maunula et al., 2013). This method has not yet been validated for the detection of TBEV in

92 raw milk products.

The aim of this study was to characterize a RT-qPCR method for the detection of TBEV in raw milk products based on the recent international standard PR ISO/DIS 16140-4:2018 (Microbiology of the food chain – Method validation-Part 4: Protocol for method validation in a single laboratory).

97

98

99

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

93

94

95

96

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Viruses and cells

100 The TBEV Hypr strain was provided by the Laboratory for Animal Health, Anses (Maisons-Alfort, France). The strain was isolated in 1953 in the Czech Republic from the blood of a 10-101 102 year-old child. The complete genome sequence is available in GenBank (accession number: U39292.1). A working viral stock was generated on Vero NK cells (ATCC: CCL-81™) cultured in 103 Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) (ThermoFisher), supplemented with 1% 104 105 penicillin-streptomycin, 1% sodium pyruvate, and 2.5% fetal calf serum. Virus titer was determined by standard plague assay on Vero NK cells (ATCC: CCL81 ™) and 106 107 expressed as plaque forming units per milliliter (PFU/mL). Briefly, 10-fold dilutions of TBEV were prepared (from 10⁻¹ to 10⁻⁸) and inoculated into wells of 12-well plates containing a 108 confluent monolayer of Vero cells. After a 1h30 incubation at 37°C with 5% CO₂, the viral 109 inoculum was removed and the cell monolayers were washed twice in Dulbecco's Phosphate-110 Buffered Saline (DPBS) 1X (ThermoFisher) and overlaid with Minimum Essential Media (MEM) 111 2X (ThermoFisher)-Avicel 2.4% (volume:volume). Following a 5-day incubation time at 37°C 112 with 5% CO₂, the infected monolayers were washed three times with DPBS 1X before fixation 113

114 with 4% paraformaldehyde and staining with 0.4% crystal violet. The TBEV stock titer was $6.30x10^8 \text{ TCID}_{50}/\text{mL}.$ 115 Quantification of TBEV RNA genome copies per millilitres was performed against a standard 116 curve of an *in-vitro* transcribed TBEV-Hypr RNA molecule. Based on this method, the viral stock 117 of TBEV had a titer of 5.19x10⁸ genome copies/mL. 118 119 The murine norovirus MNV-1 (CW1 strain) was provided by the Fougères Laboratory - Anses (Fougères, France) (strain obtained from Dr. H. Virgin from Washington University (Saint Louis, 120 121 MO, USA)), and was propagated on a mouse leukemic monocyte macrophage cell line (RAW 264.7, ATCC TIB-71[™]) (Cannon et al., 2006). RAW 264.7 was grown at 37°C in an atmosphere 122 containing 5% CO₂ in DMEM supplemented with GlutaMAX™, 1% non-essential amino acids, 123 and 10% fetal bovine serum (Life Technologies, Saint-Aubin, France). The production stock of 124 MNV-1 had a titer of approximately 3.09x10⁷ TCID₅₀/mL. The number of MNV-1 RNA copies 125

was estimated by RT-qPCR using the standard curve obtained with ten-fold dilutions of the in

vitro RNA transcripts as previously described (Fraisse et al., 2017). Based on this method, the

129

130

126

127

128

2.2. Experimental design and artificial contamination of raw dairy products

The experimental design described in PR ISO/DIS 16140-4:2018 was used. A total of 14 raw dairy product types (including cow and goat raw milk and/or cow, goat and sheep raw cheese) were purchased from a local supermarket.

production stock of MNV-1 had a titer of approximately 9.00x10¹¹ genome copies/mL.

- Table 1 describes the raw milk dairy samples. A ten-fold serial dilution of TBEV inocula was prepared in DMEM with concentrations ranging from 5.19x10² to 5.19x10⁵ genome copies/sample.
- 137 The 14 dairy samples were randomly allocated to four different settings (R1, R2, R3 and R4).
- 138 Four different dairy products were allocated in random order to each setting (R1 to R4).
- 139 Emmental de Savoie cheese and Goat's raw milk were included in two settings. Table 2
- describes the allocation of the food matrices to the different settings, along with inoculum
- levels of TBEV used for artificial contamination. In short, four settings (R1 to R4) with four
- TBEV inoculation levels were tested, corresponding to 16 analyses in total.

Each sample was co-inoculated with 9.00x10⁸ genome copies of MNV-1, used as an internal control virus, just before adding elution buffer. One un-inoculated food sample was used as negative control.

2.3. Sample processing

The method used to recover TBEV was previously adapted from the ISO 15216 (Anonymous, 2019, 2017) shellfish method, as described for the detection of noroviruses in raw milk products (Hennechart-Collette et al. 2017). Briefly, milk and cheese (2.5 mL or 2.5 g) were homogenized in 7.5 mL of 100 mM Tris-HCl, 50 mM glycine, and 1% beef extract, pH 9.5 (TGBE) by quickly inverting the tube several times, before addition of 1.5 mg of proteinase K (0.2 mg of proteinase K/mL) (Roche Diagnostics). Following an incubation of 15 min at 37°C, proteinase K was cold-inactivated by placing the sample in an ice bath for 15 min. The food sample was then centrifuged at $8,500 \times g$ for 15 min at 4°C to pellet debris, and 1 mL of the clarified sample was processed for nucleic acid extraction.

2.4. Viral RNA extraction

- 157 Total nucleic acid extraction was carried out using the NucliSENS® easyMag™ platform with
- the "off-board Specific A" protocol, according to the manufacturer's instructions (bioMérieux).
- Nucleic acids were eluted in 100 μ L of elution buffer and stored at -80°C.

2.5. Primers and probes

Primers and probes used to detect TBEV have already been described in the literature by Gondard et al. (2018). The primers and the TaqMan® probe targeting the ORF1 polyprotein of the murine norovirus (MNV-1), which were designed using Beacon Designer software (Bio-Rad, Marnes-la-Coquette, France), have also been described in the literature (Martin-Latil et al., 2012b). The TBEV and MNV-1 probes were labeled with the FAM reporter dyes at the 5′-end, and a BHQ1 at the 3′-end. The sequence of the primer pairs and the TaqMan probes used were as follows: for TBEV, the sense primer (TBE-Euro-F) was: 5′-TCCTTG AGCTTGACA AGA CAG-3′, the antisense primer (TBE-Euro-R) was: 5′-TGTTTC CAT GGC AGAGCCAG-3′ and the TaqMan probe (TBE-Euro-P) was: 5′-FAM-GGAACACCTTCCAACGGCTTGGCA-BHQ1-3′. For MNV-1, the sense primer (MNV-3193-F) was 5′-CCGCCATGGTCCTGGAGAATG-3′, the antisense primer (MNV-3308-R) was 5′-GCACAACGGCACTACCAATCTTG-3′ and the TaqMan probe (MNV-

3227-P) was 5'-FAM—CGTCGTCGCCTCGGTCCTTGTCAA-BHQ1-3'. All the primers and probes were purchased from Eurofins MWG Operon (Les Ulis, France).

2.6. RT-PCR conditions

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

One-step quantitative real-time RT-PCR amplifications were performed in duplicate on the CFX96™ real-time PCR detection system (Bio-Rad). Reactions were performed in a 25 µL reaction mixture containing 1X RNA UltraSense™ master mix and 1.25 μL of RNA UltraSense™ enzyme mix, which are components of the RNA UltraSense™ One-Step Quantitative RT-PCR System (Life Technologies), 2 U RNase inhibitor (Life Technologies), 1.25 μg of bovine serum albumin (Life Technologies), 500 nM of forward primer, 900 nM of reverse primer, 250 nM of probe and 5 µL of RNA extract. Positive controls containing RNA extracted from virus suspensions and a negative control containing all the reagents but the RNA template, were included with each set of reaction mixtures. The one-step quantitative real-time RT-PCR program involved 60 min of reverse transcription of RNA at 55°C, followed by a 5 min denaturation step at 95°C, and finally 45 cycles of 15 s at 95°C, 1 min at 60°C and 1 min at 65°C. Fluorescence was recorded by the apparatus at the end of the elongation steps (1 min at 65°C) for each amplification cycle. All the samples were characterized by corresponding cycle threshold (Ct) values. Negative samples yielded no Ct value. A standard curve for each viral target was generated with RNA extracts resulting from the serial dilution of viral stock suspension in distilled water. The slopes (S) of the regression lines were used to calculate the amplification efficiency (E) of the quantitative real-time RT-PCR reactions according to the formula $E=10^{\lfloor 1/s \rfloor}-1$, to determine the performance of quantitative real-time RT-PCR assays. TBEV and MNV-1 recovery rate percentages from spiked samples were calculated by using the standard curves obtained with viral inoculum dilution and the following formula: quantity of virus recovered after spiking experiments x (volume of elution buffer) / quantity of viral inoculum x 100.

The RNA extracted from TBEV was used as an external amplification control (EAC) to monitor RT-PCR inhibition in samples. This approach is described in ISO 15216, where an external control RNA (i.e. an RNA species carrying the target sequence of interest) is added to an aliquot of RNA sample. The percentage of RT-PCR inhibition in each tested sample is calculated by comparing these results with the results of EAC RNA in the absence of sample RNA (i.e. in

water). Percentages of RT-qPCR inhibition in extracted RNA were calculated using the following formula: 100 - (quantity of external control RNA detected in sample/quantity of external control RNA detected in ultrapure water) x 100.

2.7. Statistical analysis

202

203

204

205

206 All statistical analyses were performed using Statgraphics Centurion XVII software (Statgraphics Centurion Version 17.1.04). The effect of virus (TBEV and MNV-1) on virus 207 208 recovery rates was first evaluated by using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). The result 209 of the ANOVA is a p-value associated with the hypothesis that the mean recovery rates of all groups were the same. 210 The influence of additional factors on TBEV extraction yields was studied by using one-way 211 212 ANOVA: (1) dilution of TBEV RNA extracts (pure vs. 10-fold diluted), (2) the inoculated level of 213 TBEV, and (3) setting experiments (R1 to R4). Because the extraction yields were statistically 214 different according to the inoculated level of TBEV and setting experiments (ANOVA, p < 0.05), a multiple-comparison procedure (Fisher's least-significant-differences (LSD)) was applied to 215 216 determine which inoculated level of TBEV or which setting provided the highest extraction 217 yields. 218 Graphs plotting the mean and its standard error for each group illustrate the multiple comparison procedure. When confidence intervals for means do not overlap, the difference 219 220 between two groups of a factor is significant. 221 The influence of setting experiments on MNV-1 extraction yields was studied by using one-222 way ANOVA. 223 The limits of detection (LOD) which correspond respectively to 50% (LOD₅₀) and 95% (LOD₉₅) of the probability of virus detection in water were calculated with the method for estimating 224 225 POD (probability of detection) function and the LOD (limit of detection) of a qualitative microbiological measurement method, as described by Wilrich et al. (2009). POD-LOD 226

calculation software was used (version 9, dated 2017-09-23) (Wilrich et al., 2009). This

downloaded

from

freely

berlin.de/fachbereich/vwl/iso/ehemalige/professoren/wilrich/index.html.

229230

227

228

program

can

be

231

www.wiwiss.fu-

3. Results

3.1. Virus recoveries and limit of TBEV detection in raw dairy products

To characterize the detection of TBEV in raw milk products, the extraction yields of TBEV and MNV-1 used as process control, and the limits of detection (LOD) of TBEV were determined from artificially contaminated raw dairy products. Table 3 shows the mean extraction yields obtained for TBEV according to the inoculum level and experiment settings (R1 to R4) and the mean extraction yields obtained for MNV-1 used as a process control virus. The average of viral recoveries calculated from pure RNA extracts ranged from 2.23% to 100.00% for TBEV and between 51.29% and 81.35% for MNV-1. MNV-1 was detected in all RNA extracts analysed. The mean recovery rates obtained with pure RNA extracts for TBEV and MNV-1 were not significantly different (one-way ANOVA; *p*-value=0.2195). As expected, no viral RNA TBEV was detected in the un-inoculated samples.

The TBEV limits of detection (LOD) for the method were calculated by the Wilrich approach in each setting with all samples. The TBEV LOD₅₀ and LOD₉₅ values (which correspond to 50% and 95% probability of a positive TBEV result, respectively) are shown in Table 4. The TBEV LOD₅₀ and LOD₉₅ values for all four settings were 6.40x10³ genome copies per g or per mL and 2.84x10⁴ genome copies per g or per mL, respectively.

3.2. Influence of experimental factors on extraction yield

The influence of the different experimental factors on TBEV and MNV-1 were statistically analysed. First, we assessed RT-qPCR inhibition in dairy products. The RT-qPCR inhibitions ranged from 0.00% and 1.52% for all raw dairy products samples analysed with EAC (data not shown). The absence of RT-qPCR inhibition from raw milk products was confirmed by statistical analysis (one-way ANOVA; *p*-value=0.8048). Diluting TBEV RNA extracts did not increase viral extraction yields, as shown by the F factors ranging from 0.01 to 1.53. To assess the influence of the different experimental factors on the TBEV extraction from raw milk products, we compared the mean virus recovery rates from spiked samples. The TBEV recovery rates with pure RNA varied with the inoculation level (one-way ANOVA; *p*-value=0.0176) and with the experiment settings from R1 to R4 (one-way ANOVA; *p*-value=0.0176) and with the experiment settings from R1 to R4 (one-way ANOVA; *p*-

value=0.0399). More specifically, the multiple-comparison tests showed that inoculations

with 5.19x10³ genome copies of TBEV differed significantly from 5.19x10⁴ genome copies of TBEV per sample. The R1 setting was significantly different from the R3 and R4 settings, but did not differ significantly from R2. Mean TBEV recoveries from allocated food settings R1 and R2 were higher than other allocated food settings.

The statistical analysis also revealed that the allocated food settings (R1 to R4) did not influence the recovery rates of MNV-1 (one-way ANOVA; *p*-value=0.8597).

268

269

266

267

4. Discussion

The consumption of raw milk, raw cheese products, and artisanal cheese made from raw milk 270 271 is popular in France. Although food-borne transmission of TBEV is rare, TBE outbreaks linked to the consumption of dairy products are well-documented (Balogh et al., 2010; Brockmann 272 273 et al., 2018; Donchenko et al., 2005; Holzmann et al., 2009; Hudopisk et al., 2013; Kohl et al., 1996; Markovinovic et al., 2016). Importantly, TBEV excretion in the milk of infected goats, 274 275 sheep and cows occurs soon after tick-bite infection and starts 3 to 4 days post-infection. 276 (Fisher et al., 2020). Humans may acquire TBEV infection through the consumption of 277 contaminated raw dairy products. The recent cluster of human TBEV infections in France shows that the development of a method for TBEV detection in raw milk products is needed 278 279 to ensure the safety of these products. The ISO 15216 procedure has been applied for the detection and quantification of HAV and 280 norovirus in different food matrices such as bottled water, food surfaces, vegetables, and 281 shellfishes (Anonymous, 2019, 2017). Recently, methods have been developed to recover 282 283 norovirus, hepatitis E virus and hepatitis A virus from dairy products (Battistini et al., 2020; 284 Fumian et al., 2009; Hennechart-Collette et al., 2017; Sayed et al., 2020). However, to our knowledge, no publications have characterized the validation of a method to detect TBEV from 285 286 raw milk and raw cheese products. Different methods have been developed to recover TBEV from milk products. Because TBEV 287 can be excreted in the milk of infected animals (Balogh et al., 2012; Gresikova, 1958b), the 288 289 detection of TBEV antibodies in milk using ELISA tests, followed by validation with western 290 blot assays, has been applied (Wallenhammar et al., 2020). Molecular methods after thawing 291 milk samples and nucleic acid extraction have also been used (Balogh et al., 2012). Unlike for

milk samples, methods to detect TBEV from cheese samples have not been described. Herein, we propose to detect TBEV in milk products using a molecular method that employs proteinase K treatment and that was adapted from the ISO 15216 (Anonymous, 2019, 2017) method for the detection of HAV and noroviruses in shellfish. A total of 14 different raw milk products sourced from goats, cows and sheep were selected in this study. The experimental design from PR ISO/DIS 16140-4:2018 was applied to characterize the method used to detect TBEV in these raw milk products. Using this design, we were able to validate the detection method for a wide of raw milk products, representative of samples analyzed during different TBE food-borne outbreaks (Balogh et al., 2012; Beck et al., 2020; Brockmann et al., 2018; Dorko et al., 2018; Ilic et al., 2020). The composition of food products can affect virus extraction (Blaise-Boisseau, et al., 2010; Summa et al., 2012) and the main obstacles for detection of viruses in food are the presence of inhibitory substances in the samples. During the analysis of dairy products, milk components such as casein, whey proteins, lactose, and fat could interfere with virus recovery (Yavarmanesh et al., 2013, 2010). Because of this, the implementation of different controls such as the virus process control and the External Amplification Control (EAC), which are described in the ISO 15216 procedure, are mandatory to validate the results. The use of a virus as a process control is essential for validating viral detection in food, and according to the recommendations in ISO 15216, extraction yields of the process control must be higher than 1% to validate the assay (Hennechart-Collette et al., 2015, 2014, Martin-Latil et al., 2014, 2012a, 2012b; Stals et al. 2011a, 2011b). In this study, MNV-1 was detected in all RNA extracts and was recovered with an efficiency that ranged from 51.29% to 81.35% in raw milk products. These results are consistent with a previous study where MNV-1 was used as a process control for the detection of norovirus in milk and cottage cheese (Hennechart-Collette et al., 2017). The second control, the EAC is a RNA carrying the target sequence of interest that is added prior to the RT-PCR detection step to monitor RT-PCR inhibition in samples. According to the recommendations in ISO 15216, the inhibition rates for RNA extracted from food samples must be lower than 75% to validate the assay. The RT-qPCR inhibitions for dairy products analysed ranged from 0.00% and 1.52%. These data confirm what other studies have reported about inhibition of real-time RT-PCR assays used to quantify viruses in milk and cottage cheese (Hennechart-Collette et al., 2017). The inhibition rates for RNA extracted from milk products seemed to be lower than for other samples, such as vegetables, where the presence of PCR

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

323

inhibitors appeared to be more pronounced (Coudray-Meunier et al., 2013; Fraisse et al., 2017; Plante et al., 2011).

The LOD is based on detection/non detection criteria and describes an assay's ability to detect the target sequence at low levels. Evaluating the limits of detection allows to accurately assess the relative technical quality and performance of the method to detect virus from dairy products. In this study, for all raw dairy products analysed, the LOD₉₅ value of TBEV was 2.84×10^4 genome copies per g or per mL. Using the proteinase K method, the detection limit of norovirus in milk and cottage cheese was in agreement with our data and was 10^5 genome copies per g or mL (Hennechart-Collette et al., 2017).

TBEV excreted in milk and present in raw milk dairy products can be infectious. The risk of infection depends largely on the stability of the virus in milk and cheese. Survival of TBEV in milk or cheese products would depend on milk treatment or cheese characteristics, such as the quantity of salt in the final product (Ronai and Egyed, 2020). It has been demonstrated previously that pasteurization destroys viable TBEV particles in milk (Offerdahl et al., 2016; Ronai and Egyed, 2020), but a simulation of the thermal regime utilized for cheese production did not completely inactivate the Langat virus (another tick-borne flavivirus, BSL-2 model for TBEV) in milk (Offerdahl et al., 2016). Thus, TBEV virus could survive in milk used to make cheese products and further studies should be performed to assess the infectious risk associated to milk and cheese products.

To conclude, the molecular method characterized in this study according to the ISO 15216 recommendations in terms of controls (process control and EAC) successfully detected TBEV in raw milk products. This method for detecting TBEV in contaminated raw milk samples is essential both in routine diagnostic laboratories and to enable potential health risks to be assessed.

References

Anonymous, 2019, ISO 15216-2:2019. Microbiology of the food chain-Horizontal method for determination of hepatitis A virus and norovirus using real-time RT-PCR- Part2: Method for detection. International Organization for Standardization, Geneva.

- Anonymous, 2018, PR ISO/DIS 16140-4: 2018-01: Microbiology of the food chain-Method
- validation-Part 4: Protocol for single-laboratory (in-house) method validation. International
- 355 Organization for Standardization, Geneva.
- Anonymous, 2017, ISO 15216-1:2017. Microbiology of the food chain-Horizontal method for
- 357 determination of hepatitis A virus and norovirus using real-time RT-PCR- Part1: Method for
- 358 quantification. International Organization for Standardization, Geneva.
- Anonymous, 2016, ISO 16140-1: 2016: Microbiology of the food chain-Method validation-Part
- 1: Vocabulary. International Organization for Standardization, Geneva.
- Balogh, Z., Egyed, L., Ferenczi, E., Bán, E., Szomor, K.N., Takács, M., Berencsi, G., 2012.
- 362 Experimental infection of goats with tick-borne encephalitis virus and the possibilities to
- 363 prevent virus transmission by raw goat milk. Intervirology. 55, 194-200.
- 364 https://doi.org/10.1159/000324023.
- Balogh, Z., Ferenczi, E., Szeles, K., Stefanoff, P., Gut, W., Szomor, K.N., Takacs, M., Berencsi, G.,
- 366 2010. Tick-borne encephalitis outbreak in Hungary due to consumption of raw goat milk. J.
- 367 Virol. Methods. 163, 481-485. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jviromet.2009.10.003.
- Battistini, R., Rossini, I., Listorti, V., Ercolini, C., Maurella, C., Serracca, L., 2020. HAV detection
- 369 from milk-based products containing soft fruits: Comparison between four different
- 370 extraction methods. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 328:108661.
- 371 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2020.108661.
- Beck, C., Lecollinet, S., Lacour, S., Moutailler, S., Bournez, L., Martin-Latil, S., Leparc-Goffart, I.,
- 373 2020. Virus de l'encéphalite à tique (TBEV) : mise en évidence de cas humains en France lies à
- une contamination alimentaire, point sur le cycle de transmission de cette maladie et situation
- en Europe. https://www.plateforme-esa.fr/article/virus-de-l-encephalite-a-tique-tbev-mise-
- 376 en-evidence-de-cas-humains-en-france-lies-a-une.
- 377 Blaise-Boisseau, S., Hennechart-Collette, C., Guillier, L., Perelle, S., 2010. Duplex real-time qRT-
- 378 PCR for the detection of hepatitis A virus in water and raspberries using the MS2
- 379 bacteriophage as a process control. J. Virol. Methods. 166, 48-53.
- 380 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jviromet.2010.02.017.

- Brockmann, S.O., Oehme, R., Buckenmaier, T., Beer, M., Jeffery-Smith, A., Spannenkrebs, M.,
- Haag-Milz, S., Wagner-Wiening, C., Schlegel, C., Fritz, J., Zange, S., Bestehorn, M., Lindau, A.,
- 383 Hoffmann, D., Tiberi, S., Mackenstedt, U., Dobler, G., 2018. A cluster of two human cases of
- 384 tick-borne encephalitis (TBE) transmitted by unpasteurised goat milk and cheese in Germany,
- 385 May 2016. Euro Surveill. 23, 17-00336. https://doi.org/10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2018.23.15.17-
- 386 00336.
- Cannon, J.L., Papafragkou, E., Park, G.W., Osborne, J., Jaykus, L.A., Vinjé, J., 2006. Surrogates
- 388 for the study of norovirus stability and inactivation in the environment: A comparison of
- 389 murine norovirus and feline calicivirus. J. Food Prot. 69, 2761-2765.
- 390 https://doi.org/10.4315/0362-028x-69.11.2761.
- 391 Coudray-Meunier, C., Fraisse, A., Martin-Latil, S., Guillier, L, Perelle, S., 2013. Discrimination of
- infectious hepatitis A virus and rotavirus by combining dyes and surfactants with RT-qPCR.
- 393 BMC Microbiol. 13, 216. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2180-13-216.
- Donchenko, I., Kutsar, K., Vasilenko, V., Kerbo, N., 2005. Tickborne encephalitis outbreak in
- 395 Estonia linked to raw goat milk, May-June 2005. Euro Surveill. 10, pii=2730.
- 396 https://doi.org/10.2807/esw.10.25.02730-en.
- 397 Dorko, E., Hockicko, J., Rimárová, K., Bušová, A., Popaďák, P., Popaďáková, J., Schréter, I.,
- 398 2018. Milk outbreaks of tick-borne encephalitis in Slovakia, 2012-2016. Cent. Eur. J. Public
- 399 Health. 26, S47-S50. https://doi.org/10.21101/cejph.a5272.
- 400 ECDC; 2021. European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control. Tick-borne encephalitis. In:
- 401 ECDC. Annual epidemiological report for 2019. Stockholm.
- 402 https://www.ecdc.europa.eu/en/tick-borne-encephalitis/surveillance-and-disease-
- 403 data/annual-epidemiological-report
- 404 ECDC; 2019. European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control, 2019. EU case definitions:
- 405 European Commission; 2018 [cited 2019 24/07/2019].
- 406 Fischer, M., Gould, C.V., Rollin, P.E., 2020. CDC Yellow Book 2020: Health Information for
- 407 International Travel. Chapter 4: Travel-Related Infectious Diseases.
- 408 https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/travel/yellowbook/2020/travel-related-infectious-
- 409 diseases/tickborne-encephalitis

- 410 Fraisse, A., Coudray-Meunier, C., Martin-Latil, S., Hennechart-Collette, C., Delannoy, S., Fach,
- 411 P., Perelle, S., 2017. Digital RT-PCR method for hepatitis A virus and norovirus quantification
- 412 in soft berries. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 243, 36-45.
- 413 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2016.11.022.
- 414 Fraisse, A, Coudray-Meunier C, Martin-Latil, S, Hennechart-Collette, C, Delannoy, S, Fach, P,
- Perelle, S., 2017. Digital RT-PCR method for hepatitis A virus and norovirus quantification in
- 416 soft berries. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 243, 36-45.
- 417 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2016.11.022.
- 418 Fumian, T.M., Leite, J.P., Marin, V.A., Miagostovich, M.P., 2009. A rapid procedure for
- 419 detecting noroviruses from cheese and fresh lettuce. J. Virol. Methods. 155, 39-43.
- 420 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jviromet.2008.09.026.
- 421 Gondard, M., Michelet, L., Nisavanh, A., Devillers, E., Delannoy, S., Fach, P., Aspan, A., Ullman,
- 422 K., Chirico, J., Hoffmann, B., van der Wal, F.J., de Koeijer, A., van Solt-Smits, C., Jahfari, S.,
- 423 Sprong, H., Mansfield, K.L., Fooks A.R., Klitgaard, K., Bødker, R., Moutailler, S., 2018.
- 424 Prevalence of tick-borne viruses in Ixodes ricinus assessed by high-throughput real-time PCR.
- 425 Pathog. Dis. 1, 76. https://doi.org/10.1093/femspd/fty083.
- 426 Gonzalez, G., Bournez, L., Amaral Moraes, R., Marine Dumarest, M., Vorimore, F., Cochin, M.,
- Nougairède, A., Hennechart-Collette, C., Perelle, S., Leparc-Goffart, I., Durand, G., Grard, G.,
- 428 Mailles, A., Lacour, S., Boué, F., Chenut, G., Mainguet, C., Zientara, S., Moutailler, S., Martin-
- 429 Latil, S., M Dheilly, N., Beck, C., Lecollinet, S., Submitted (2021): A one health approach to
- 430 investigating an outbreak of alimentary tick-borne encephalitis in a non-endemic area in
- 431 France (Ain, Eastern France): a longitudinal serological study in livestock, detection in ticks,
- and the first TBE virus isolation and molecular characterization
- 433 Gresikova, M., Sekeyova, M., Stanislava, S., Necas, S., 1975. Sheep milk-borne epidemic of tick-
- borne encephalitis in Slovakia. Intervirol. 5, 57–61. https://doi.org/10.1159/000149880.
- 435 Gresikova, M., 1958a. Excretion of the tick-borne encephalitis virus in the milk of
- 436 subcutaneously infected cows. Acta Virol. 2, 188–192.
- 437 Gresikova, M., 1958b. Recovery of the tick-borne encephalitis virus from the blood and milk
- of subcutaneously infected sheep. Acta Virol. 2, 113–119.

- Hennechart-Collette, C., Martin-Latil, S., Fraisse, A., Perelle, S., 2017. Comparison of three
- extraction methods to detect noroviruses in dairy products. Food Microbiol. 61, 113-119.
- 441 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fm.2016.09.001.
- Hennechart-Collette, C., Martin-Latil, S., Guillier, L., Perelle, S., 2015. Determination of which
- virus to use as a process control when testing for the presence of hepatitis A virus and
- 444 norovirus in food and water. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 202, 57-65.
- 445 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2015.02.029.
- Hennechart-Collette, C., Martin-Latil, S., Guillier, L., Perelle, S., 2014. Multiplex real-time RT-
- qPCR for the detection of Norovirus in bottled and tap water using murine norovirus as a
- 448 process control. J. Appl. Microbiol. 116, 179-190. https://doi.org/10.1111/jam.12345.
- Holzmann, H., Aberle, S.W., Stiasny, K., Werner, .P, Mischak, A., Zainer, B., Netzer, M., Koppi,
- 450 S., Bechter, E., Heinz, F.X., 2009. Tick-borne encephalitis from eating goat cheese in a
- 451 mountain region of Austria. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 15, 1671-
- 452 1673.https://doi.org/10.3201/eid1510.090743.
- 453 Hudopisk, N., Korva, M., Janet, E., Simetinger, M., Grgič-Vitek, M., Gubenšek, J., Natek, V.,
- 454 Kraigher, A., Strle, F., Avšič-Županc, T., 2013. Tick-borne encephalitis associated with
- 455 consumption of raw goat milk, Slovenia, 2012. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 19,806-808.
- 456 https://doi.org/10.3201/eid1905.121442.
- 457 Ilic, M., Barbic, L., Bogdanic, M., Tabain, I., Savic, V., Kosanovic Licina, M.L., Kaic, B., Jungic, A.,
- 458 Vucelja, M., Angelov, V., Kovacevic, M., Roncevic, D., Knezevic, S., Stevanovic, V., Slavuljica, I.,
- Lakoseljac, D., Vickovic, N., Bubonja-Sonje, M., Hansen, L., Vilibic-Cavlek, T., 2020. Tick-borne
- 460 encephalitis outbreak following raw goat milk consumption in a new micro-location, Croatia,
- 461 June 2019. Ticks Tick Borne Dis. 11,101513. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ttbdis.2020.101513.
- Kohl, I., Kozuch, O., Elecková, E., Labuda, M., Zaludko, J., 1996. Family outbreak of alimentary
- 463 tick-borne encephalitis in Slovakia associated with a natural focus of infection. Eur. J.
- 464 Epidemiol. 12, 373-375. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00145300.
- Lee, K.B., Lee, H., Ha, S.D., Cheon, D.S., Choi, C., 2012. Comparative analysis of viral
- 466 concentration methods for detecting the HAV genome using real-time RT-PCR amplification.
- 467 Food Environ. Virol. 4, 68-72. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12560-012-9077-x.

- 468 Markovinović, L., Kosanović Ličina, M.L., Tešić, V., Vojvodić, D., Vladušić Lucić, I., Kniewald,
- 469 T., Vukas, T., Kutleša, M., Krajinović, L.C., 2016. An outbreak of tick-borne encephalitis
- associated with raw goat milk and cheese consumption, Croatia, 2015. Infection. 44, 661-
- 471 665. https://doi.org/10.1007/s15010-016-0917-8.
- 472 Martin-Latil, S., Hennechart-Collette, C., Guillier, L., Perelle, S., 2014. Method for HEV
- detection in raw pig liver products and its implementation for naturally contaminated food.
- 474 Int. J. Food Microbiol. 176, 1-8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2014.01.016.
- 475 Martin-Latil, S., Hennechart-Collette, C., Guillier, L., Perelle, S., 2012a. Duplex RT-qPCR for the
- detection of hepatitis E virus in water, using a process control. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 157, 167-
- 477 173. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2012.05.001.
- 478 Martin-Latil, S., Hennechart-Collette, C., Guillier, L, Perelle, S., 2012b. Comparison of two
- 479 extraction methods for the detection of hepatitis A virus in semi-dried tomatoes and murine
- 480 norovirus as a process control by duplex RT-qPCR. Food Microbiol. 31, 246-253.
- 481 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fm.2012.03.007.
- Maunula, L., Kaupke, A., Vasickova, P., Söderberg, K., Kozyra, I., Lazic, S., van der Poel, W.H.,
- Bouwknegt, M., Rutjes, S., Willems, K.A., Moloney, R., D'Agostino, M., de Roda Husman, A.M.,
- 484 von Bonsdorff, C.H., Rzeżutka, A., Pavlik, I., Petrovic, T., Cook, N., 2013. Tracing enteric viruses
- 485 in the European berry fruit supply chain. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 167, 177-185.
- 486 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2013.09.003.
- Offerdahl, D.K., Clancy, N.G., Bloom, M.E., 2016. Stability of a Tick-Borne Flavivirus in Milk.
- 488 Front. Bioeng. Biotechnol. 11, 4:40. https://doi.org/10.3389/fbioe.2016.00040.
- Plante, D., Bélanger, G., Leblanc, D., Ward, P., Houde, A., Trottier, Y.L., 2011. The use of bovine
- 490 serum albumin to improve the RT-qPCR detection of foodborne viruses rinsed from vegetable
- 491 surfaces. Lett. Appl. Microbiol. 52, 239-44. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1472-
- 492 765X.2010.02989.x.
- 493 Rónai, Z., Egyed, L., 2020. Survival of Tick-Borne Encephalitis Virus in Goat Cheese and Milk.
- 494 Food Environ. Virol. 12,264-268. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12560-020-09427-z.

- 495 Sayed, I.M., Hammam, A.R.A., Elfaruk, M.S., Alsaleem, K.A., Gaber, M.A., Ezzat, A.A., Salama,
- 496 E.H., Elkhawaga, A.A., El-Mokhtar, M.A., 2020. Enhancement of the Molecular and Serological
- 497 Assessment of Hepatitis E Virus in Milk Samples. Microorganisms. 8, 1231.
- 498 https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms8081231.
- 499 Stals, A., Baert, L., De Keuckelaere, A., Van Coillie, E., Uyttendaele M., 2011a. Evaluation of a
- 500 norovirus detection methodology for ready-to-eat foods. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 145, 420-425.
- 501 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2011.01.013.
- 502 Stals, A., Baert, L., Jasson, V., Van Coillie E., Uyttendaele, M., 2011b. Screening of fruit products
- for norovirus and the difficulty of interpreting positive PCR results. J. Food Prot. 74, 425-431.
- 504 https://doi.org/10.4315/0362-028X.JFP-10-209.
- 505 Summa, M., von Bonsdorff, C.H., Maunula, L., 2012. Evaluation of four virus recovery methods
- for detecting noroviruses on fresh lettuce, sliced ham, and frozen raspberries. J. Virol.
- 507 Methods. 183, 154-160.
- 508 Wallenhammar, A., Lindqvist, R., Asghar, N., Gunaltay S., Fredlund, H., Davidsson, Å.,
- Andersson, S., Överby, A.K., Johansson, M., 2020. Revealing new tick-borne encephalitis virus
- 510 foci by screening antibodies in sheep milk. Parasit Vectors. 13, 185.
- 511 https://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-020-04030-4.
- 512 Wilrich, C., Wilrich, P.T., 2009. Estimation of the POD function and the LOD of a qualitative
- 513 microbiological measurement method. J. AOAC Int. 92, 1763-1772.
- Yavarmanesh, M., Abbaszadegan, M., Alum, A., Mortazavi, A., Habibi Najafi, M.B., Bassami,
- 515 M.R., Nassiri, M.R., 2013. Impact of milk components on recovery of viral RNA from MS2
- bacteriophage. Food Environ. Virol. 5, 103-109. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12560-013-9107-3.
- Yavarmanesh, M., Abbaszadegan, M., Mortazavi, A., Najafi, M.B., Bassami, M.R., Nassiri, M.R.,
- 518 2010. Impact of milk components in recovery of the MS2 bacteriophage as an indicator of
- 519 enteric viruses. J Virol Methods. 168, 103-107.
- 520 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jviromet.2010.04.028.

Table 1: Raw milk and cheese samples

		Dairy products
Cheese	Raw cow's milk cheese	Tomme de Savoie
		Emmental de Savoie
		Raclette de Savoie
		Saint Nectaire
		Tomme fermière
	Raw goat's milk cheese	Selle sur Cher
		Rigotte de Condrieu
		Mâconnais
		Valençay
		Le Chevrot
		Crottin de Chavignol
	Raw sheep's milk cheese	Pérail la houlette
Milk	Raw milk	Goat's raw milk
		Cow's raw milk

Table 2: Allocation of the food matrices to the different settings, along with inoculum levels of TBEV used for artificial contamination.

			Settings		
		R1	R2	R3	R4
TBEV contamination levels	High	Tomme de Savoie	Raclette de Savoie	Goat's raw milk	Tomme fermière
	Medium	Selle sur Cher	Mâconnais	Emmental de Savoie	Goat's raw milk
	Low	Emmental de Savoie	Valençay	Le Chevrot	Crottin de Chavignol
	Very low	Rigotte de Condrieu	Pérail la houlette	Saint Nectaire	Cow's raw milk

Table 3: Means of TBEV recoveries calculated for four inoculum levels of TBEV in the presence of MNV-1

Virus	Number of genome copies	RNA extracts	Repeated experiment R1 (%±SD)	Repeated experiment R2 (%±SD)	Repeated experiment R3 (%±SD)	Repeated experiment R4 (%±SD)
TBEV	5.19x10 ⁵	pure	85.13±3.75 (2/2)	100.00 (2/2)	13.76±2.00 (2/2)	2.23±0.03 (2/2)
5.19x10 ⁴		10 fold-diluted	100.003 (2/2)	100.00 (2/2)	21.08±0.34 (2/2)	0.38±0.11 (2/2)
		Factor (F) (diluted/undiluted)	1.17	1.00	1.53	0.17
	5.19x10 ⁴	pure	4.77 (1/2)	12.24±2.21 (2/2)	27.29±0.85 (2/2)	16.15±1.44 (2/2)
		10 fold-diluted	nd	nd	7.48±6.30 (2/2)	0.20±0.14 (2/2)
		Factor (F) (diluted/undiluted)	/	/	0.27	0.01
5.19x10 ³ 5.19x10 ²	5.19x10 ³	pure	100.00 (2/2)	nd	nd	nd
		10 fold-diluted	nd	nd	nd	nd
	Factor (F) (diluted/undiluted)	/	/	/	/	
	5.19x10 ²	pure	nd	nd	nd	nd
	10 fold-diluted	nd	nd	nd	nd	
		Factor (F) (diluted/undiluted)	/	/	/	/
MNV-1	9.00 x10 ⁸		81.35±18.72	51.29±32.23	60.13±39.90	74.02±38.26
	Total samples with recovery rates >1%			16/16		

The mean of replicates was used for each inoculation level sample. Results are expressed as means of viral extraction recovery (%)±standard deviation (SD). The number of positive Ct determinations is given in parentheses for TBEV.

The ratio between the mean of extraction yields obtained with undiluted RNA extracts and the mean obtained with 10-fold diluted RNA extracts was calculated to determine whether the dilution of RNA extracts enhanced mean extraction yields (F).

nd: not detected

Table 4: LOD₅₀ and LOD₉₅ calculated by the Wilrich approach for TBEV

Target virus	/g or /mL	R1	R2	R3	R4	All settings
TBEV	LOD ₅₀	6.800x10 ³	6.40x10 ³	6.400x10 ³	6.400x10 ³	6.40x10 ³
	LOD_{95}	3.00x10 ⁴	2.68x10 ⁴	2.68x10 ⁴	2.68x10 ⁴	2.84x10 ⁴