



HAL
open science

Towards comprehensive identification of pesticide degradation products following thermal processing below and above 120 °C: A review

Florian Dubocq, Pierre l'Yvonnet, Eleni Chatzidimitriou, Samia Mahouche-Chergui, Xavier Sarda, Gaëlle Vial, Arnaud Duboisset, Benjamin Carbonnier, Julien Parinet

► To cite this version:

Florian Dubocq, Pierre l'Yvonnet, Eleni Chatzidimitriou, Samia Mahouche-Chergui, Xavier Sarda, et al.. Towards comprehensive identification of pesticide degradation products following thermal processing below and above 120 °C: A review. Food Chemistry, 2023, 402, pp.134267. 10.1016/j.foodchem.2022.134267 . anses-03797281

HAL Id: anses-03797281

<https://anses.hal.science/anses-03797281>

Submitted on 5 Oct 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1 **Towards comprehensive identification of pesticide degradation products following thermal** 2 **processing below and above 120 °C: a review**

3 Florian Dubocq^{1,3}, Pierre L'Yvonnet², Eleni Chatzidimitriou², Samia Mahouche-Chergui³, Xavier Sarda², Gaëlle
4 Vial², Arnaud Duboisset², Benjamin Carbonnier³, Julien Parinet^{1,*}

5 ¹ANSES, Laboratory for Food Safety, 14 rue Pierre et Marie Curie, 94701 Maisons-Alfort, France

6 ²ANSES, Direction of Regulated Products Evaluation, 14 rue Pierre et Marie Curie, 94701 Maisons-Alfort, France

7 ³University Paris-Est Créteil, CNRS, ICMPE, UMR 7182, 2 rue Henri Dunant, 94320 Thiais, France

8 *Corresponding Author: ANSES, Laboratory for Food Safety, 14 rue Pierre et Marie Curie, 94701 Maisons-Alfort, France

9 E-mail address: julien.parinet@anses.fr (J. Parinet)

10 **Abstract**

11 Characterising pesticide residues from a qualitative and quantitative point of view is key to both risk
12 assessment in the framework of pesticide approval and risk management. In the European Union
13 (EU), these concerns are addressed during the evaluation of active substances at the European level
14 prior to marketing authorisation. In the framework of this review, we will focus on one specific item
15 of the residue section, namely the effect of process (industrial or domestic transformation of the raw
16 commodities) on the nature of the residue in food. A limited number of hydrolysis conditions defined
17 by three parameters (temperature, pH and time) are set to be “representative of the most widely
18 used industrial and domestic food processing technologies”. These hydrolysis conditions, however,
19 do not cover processes at temperatures higher than 120 °C, such as cooking with a conventional oven
20 or in a pan, frying or using a microwave oven.

21 **Keywords:** evaluation of active substances, thermal processes, pesticides, analytical methods and
22 strategies

23 **Introduction**

24 Plant Protection Products (PPPs) help to keep crops healthy and prevent damage or destruction by
25 disease and infestation. A PPP contains at least one active substance that can be either organic or
26 inorganic, natural or synthetic. Phytopharmaceutical treatment with a PPP may leave residues of the
27 active substance in the form of the parent compound and/or metabolites (breakdown products) in
28 food and/or feed commodities, with possible consumer and/or livestock exposure via ingestion.
29 Consumer exposure to pesticide residues may be of concern depending on the toxicity of the residue

30 compounds, the amount of residue found in food commodities, and the diet of the considered
31 population (K. H. Kim *et al.*, 2017). Characterising pesticide residues from a qualitative and
32 quantitative point of view is key to both risk assessment in the framework of pesticide approval and
33 risk management. The number of available publications is a clear illustration of increasing concern
34 around consumer exposure to pesticide residues in food: a rapid search in the Scopus database using
35 the terms “pesticide” AND “residue” AND “food” in titles yielded around 10 000 documents since
36 1951, with a considerable increase in recent decades. Publications have increased by a factor of seven
37 since the year 2000.

38 In the European Union (EU), these concerns are addressed during the evaluation or re-evaluation of
39 both active substances at the European level and PPPs at the zonal level (administrative zones) prior
40 to marketing authorisation or re-authorisation. To this end, the residue section of the evaluation
41 focuses on the residue definition in food, the amount of residues to be expected in food, and lastly
42 on consumer exposure. In the framework of this review, we will focus on one specific item of this
43 residue section, namely the effect of process (industrial or domestic transformation of the raw
44 commodities) on the nature of the residue in food. Currently, if use of a PPP leads to significant
45 residue levels in a raw agricultural commodity (RAC), a study investigating the degradation pathway
46 of the residue during the process, called a hydrolysis study, is required. These types of studies are
47 carried out on buffer solutions fortified with radiolabelled active substance that undergo different
48 hydrolysis processes (OCDE, 2007c). The use of radiolabelling studies makes it possible to monitor
49 every potential breakdown product that may form during the process. A limited number of hydrolysis
50 conditions defined by three parameters (temperature, pH and time) are set to be “*representative of*
51 *the most widely used industrial and domestic food processing technologies*”. These hydrolysis
52 conditions, however, do not cover processes at temperatures higher than 120 °C, such as cooking
53 with a conventional oven or in a pan, frying or using a microwave oven. Since further degradation is
54 expected with increasing temperatures, one can assume that certain metabolites may form above
55 120 °C. As an example, the active substance pyraclostrobin breaks down into several transformation
56 products during deodorisation of olive oil (240 °C), while pyraclostrobin parent compound remains
57 stable at temperatures ranging from 90 to 120 °C (Germany, 2018).

58 The purpose of the present article is to review academic research literature (referred to as public
59 literature) as well as literature submitted by pesticide manufacturers in the framework of pesticide
60 evaluation. Comparing the two sets of literature aims at discussing the need for future studies to

61 investigate the pesticide degradation pathway during high temperature processes (> 120 °C) in the
62 regulatory framework of pesticide evaluation as well as conducting hydrolysis studies with high-
63 temperature hydrolysis conditions (> 120 °C). First, we briefly outline the principles followed by
64 European regulations when evaluating the effect of the process on the pesticide residue. We then
65 review the academic research literature following the population (P), intervention (I) or exposure (E),
66 comparator (C) and outcome (O) (PICO/PECO) strategy. Finally, we suggest analytical tools that could
67 be used as alternatives to the radiolabelled studies currently required in the pesticide evaluation in
68 order to conduct such studies.

69

70 **1. European pesticide regulation requirements**

71 In the EU, a PPP cannot be placed on the market without prior approval of the active substance at
72 the European level, according to Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009. The active substance is evaluated by
73 a Rapporteur Member State (RMS) in the form of a monograph based on data essentially provided
74 by the active substance manufacturer. The evaluation of this monograph is then peer-reviewed by
75 another member state under the supervision of the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA). A peer-
76 review is then published by EFSA with a conclusion on the overall evaluation. Regulation (EC) No
77 283/2013 reports the data requirements for active substance evaluations and multiple Organisation
78 for economic co-operation and development (OECD) technical guidelines further describe the
79 evaluation criteria. Based on these guidelines, the role of the RMS is to assess the scientific validity
80 of the studies provided by the active substance manufacturer and to decide whether or not sufficient
81 studies are available to characterise the risk.

82 The residue section of the monograph focuses on consumer risk, i.e., the risk related to ingestion of
83 food contaminated with PPP residues. This section presents the following successive steps: (i) setting
84 of a common (or multiple) residue definition in various food commodities (plant/animal origin,
85 raw/processed commodity), (ii) quantification of residues in raw commodities of plant and animal
86 origin, (iii) study of the effects of industrial and household processes on the degradation of residues
87 in processed commodities, and (iv) estimation of consumer exposure to the residue via food
88 ingestion. In order to set a residue definition, “metabolism studies” are carried out with radiolabelled
89 compound (mostly ¹⁴C labelled) in order to follow its degradation pathway. These studies are
90 conducted: (i) on plants treated with radiolabelled active substance according to OECD Guideline 501

91 (OCDE, 2007a), and (ii) on animals fed with the radiolabelled compound according to OECD Guideline
92 503 (OCDE, 2007b). For each study, degradation products that account for more than 10% of the
93 initial active substance concentration are chemically identified or at least characterised. Each
94 identified metabolite above that threshold is screened for toxic/genotoxic properties to discuss its
95 inclusion in the residue definition, as well as to define chronic and acute toxicity concentrations.

96 When residues in a raw commodity of plant origin that can be processed occur at levels > 0.01 mg/kg,
97 the effect of the process on the nature of the residue needs to be investigated. For this purpose,
98 OECD Guideline 507 requires that a buffer solution spiked with radiolabelled compound must
99 undergo three hydrolysis treatments in order to highlight potential further degradation of the residue
100 compounds due to the hydrolysis conditions. Hydrolysis tests are performed in a sealed enclosure to
101 avoid any loss of radiolabelled compound. The buffer solution is considered sufficiently
102 representative of any food matrix since, according to the guideline, “the substrate itself is not likely
103 to have a major effect upon the processing procedure (apart from governing the pH level in some
104 situations)” (OECD, 2007c). The three hydrolysis conditions defined by a combination of three
105 parameters (temperature, processing time and pH) are set to be representative of the majority of
106 processes as follow: (i) pasteurisation (90 °C, 30’, pH 4), (ii) baking, brewing, boiling (100 °C, 60’, pH
107 5), and (iii) sterilisation (120 °C, 20’, pH 6). Given that most enzymes are inactivated above 90 °C,
108 hydrolysis is expected to be the main degradation mechanism. As hydrolysis is strongly dependent
109 on temperature, the higher the temperature, the more advanced the hydrolysis expected. The
110 criteria to chemically characterise and include or exclude a metabolite in the residue definition for a
111 processed commodity are the same as those stated above for metabolism studies.

112 Even though the hydrolysis study is a model study, its preset hydrolysis conditions between 90 and
113 120 °C do not cover typical temperatures of, for example, conventional oven cooking processes
114 reaching up to 240 °C. OECD Guideline 507 addresses this potential issue with the example of the
115 deodorisation process during oil refining and states that “the necessity for these studies should be
116 discussed on a case-by-case basis with regulatory authorities”. As a consequence, such studies are
117 rarely provided by manufacturers and rarely required by member state regulatory authorities in the
118 framework of their evaluations.

119 Because most of the studies provided in the framework of active substance approval lack hydrolysis
120 conditions above 120 °C, we reviewed the public literature targeting studies investigating the effect
121 of process on PPP residues.

122 2. Review methodology

123 This review was conducted in accordance with the principles laid down in the EFSA Guidance (EFSA,
124 2010), which follows the PICO/PECO approach of systematic review (Morgan *et al.*, 2018). The search
125 was performed through the PubMed and Scopus databases for articles published between the year
126 2000 and up to 20 July 2021. The following search question was formulated: what is the effect of
127 cooking/microwave/high temperature on degradation of pesticides? The key elements of the
128 question (inclusion criteria) were defined as follows (according to the PICO/PECO format, with * as
129 truncation operator):

- 130 • population (P): chemical, pesticide, contaminant, substance, molecu*, "plant protection
131 product", food (with food as a required word, i.e., preceded by the Boolean operator AND)
- 132 • intervention (I) or exposure (E): "high temperature", cook*, fry*, microwav*, therm*
133 process*, hydroly*, thermal-oxidat*, thermooxidat*, structural-alteration, heat-process*,
134 defrost*, thawing, "thermal degradation", "thermal decomposition", "thermal analys"*,
135 "heat treatment"
- 136 • comparator (C): *not applicable*
- 137 • outcome (O): by-product*, degradation, "transformation product"*, neoform*, stability,
138 behavio*, metabolit*, residue

139 Initial queries done on the basic search fields "title-abstract-keywords" retrieved an excessive
140 number of publications (e.g., 12 409 publications on Scopus). The subsequent searches were
141 therefore limited to pesticide or "plant protection product" without using broad meaning words
142 (chemical, contaminant, substance, and molecu*). In total, 642 publications were retrieved from
143 Scopus and 1 155 from PubMed. Various filters were applied as summarised in Table 1, resulting in a
144 total of 73 publications after removal of duplicates (the list of these publications is available as
145 supplementary material (SM)). Of these, we selected the final 31 publications reported in Table 3
146 (highlighted in the Table S1). This selection was made by retaining papers reporting
147 thermodegradation studies of pesticides and applying an experimental workflow. Most of these
148 papers investigated the removal of active substance from the matrix while cooking it (with in most
149 cases, a processing factor (PF) determination), while a few others investigated the degradation
150 products formed during degradation of the substance of interest. Studies reporting only pesticide
151 analytical methods (without thermal tests), only theoretical degradation (without an experimental

152 workflow), or only degradation pathways other than thermic (such as biodegradation, storage
153 degradation and soil degradation) were not retained. Other data were also considered, mostly from
154 peer-review regulatory documents. The studies were conducted according to OECD guidelines and
155 met the OECD requirements of good laboratory practice. Some quoted publications were also
156 included by snowballing from those initially selected in the review, such as Amvrazi (2011) or Senneca
157 *et al.* (2007).

158

159 **3. Thermal degradation of active substances**

160 Many previous reports, summarised in various literature reviews (*Bajwa et al., 2014; Kaushik et al.,*
161 *2009; Li et al., 2021; Yigit et al., 2020*), have investigated the impact of certain household and
162 industrial processing steps such as storing, peeling, washing and cooking on several types of food
163 products. A number of parameters can influence pesticide degradation, such as the presence of salts,
164 pH, temperature and the time of the process (*Chauhan et al., 2014*). Most of these studies aimed to
165 compare the amount of pesticide present in the food product before and after the process, and to
166 calculate percent degradation or processing factor (PF) (*Scholz et al., 2018*). PFs are calculated for
167 one specific process applied to one specific food product, according to the European guideline
168 (*European Commission, 2007*). It is important to follow the key parameters indicated in the guideline
169 (pH, temperature, time, and sample weight) to harmonise published results, facilitate comparison
170 between reported PFs and not induce any bias in data interpretation.

171 Numerous literature reviews have focused on the effects of cooking food on pesticide residues
172 (*Kaushik et al., 2009; Li et al., 2021; Vagi et al., 2020; Yuan et al., 2021*). They mainly examined two
173 aspects: (i) residue dissipation (cooking considered to be one of the processing treatments) where
174 processing factors are particularly well investigated, and (ii) overall analytical workflow, including
175 extraction of the substances, clean-up and chemical analysis.

176 Table 3 shows research projects focusing on the impact of temperature on various pesticides. These
177 publications are those from the literature review that mention thermodegradation studies on
178 pesticides. The table shows the name of the studied compound (further information about these
179 compounds is given in the Table S2), the matrix used in each study, the thermal process, the analytical
180 workflow (such as the sample preparation method), and the analytical instrument. Moreover, it is
181 mentioned whether the process was conducted below or above 120 °C, whether processing factors

182 were calculated, and whether degradation products were investigated. The table is therefore sorted
183 as follows: (i) publications that both reported percent loss of the analysed compounds/processing
184 factors and that investigated degradation products, (ii) publications that reported only percent loss
185 of the analysed compounds/processing factors, and (iii) publications that reported neither percent
186 loss nor degradation products. Even though some reported papers also investigated non-thermal
187 degradation processes (e.g., storing, peeling and washing), only the parts of the studies involving the
188 thermal process were retained. Of the 31 studies published after the year 2000, 27 reported results
189 for experiments < 120 °C and 14 reported results for > 120 °C (ten had results for both < 120 °C and
190 > 120 °C).

191 3.1. Temperatures below 120 °C

192 Considering the studies with data on processing below 120 °C (*Bai et al., 2021; Boulaid et al., 2005;*
193 *Chavarri et al., 2005; Duhan et al., 2010; Göckener et al., 2020; Heshmati et al., 2019; Holden et al.,*
194 *2001; Huan et al., 2015; Jankowska et al., 2019; S. W. Kim et al., 2015; Kontou et al., 2004b; Lin et al.,*
195 *2005; Łozowicka & Jankowska, 2016; Medina et al., 2021; Mekonen et al., 2015; Pallavi et al., 2021;*
196 *Raveendranath et al., 2014; Sakaliene et al., 2009; Shabeer et al., 2015; Shakoory et al., 2018; Shoeibi*
197 *et al., 2011; Singh et al., 2017; Soliman, 2001; Walia et al., 2010; Watanabe et al., 2018; Yang et al.,*
198 *2012; F. Zhao et al., 2020*), even though 23 (85%) reported PFs or degradation percent calculations,
199 only three (11%) (*Göckener et al., 2020; Kontou et al., 2004b; Lin et al., 2005*) investigated the
200 degradation products of the pesticides of interest. Most of the cooking processes were sterilisation,
201 boiling and pasteurisation, even though other processes such as drying, blanching or various cooking
202 processes were also investigated. Most of the investigated thermal processes involved water.

203

204 3.2. Temperatures above 120 °C

205 Considering the studies with data on processing above 120 °C (*Chavarri et al., 2005; Göckener et al.,*
206 *2020; Göckener et al., 2019; Heshmati et al., 2019; Huan et al., 2015; S. W. Kim et al., 2015; Martin*
207 *et al., 2020; Mekonen et al., 2015; Planche et al., 2017; Soliman, 2001; Walia et al., 2010; Witczak,*
208 *2009; Yang et al., 2012; F. Zhao et al., 2020*), only three (21%) (*Göckener et al., 2020; Göckener et al.,*
209 *2019; Martin et al., 2020*) investigated the degradation products of the pesticides of interest.

210 Other studies also investigated the degradation pathway of various active substances for hydrolytic
211 conditions above 120 °C. The most common processing techniques used were roasting, frying, grilling
212 and microwaving, and all of them were found to impact concentrations of the parent compound in
213 the analysed matrix. The following two mechanisms are expected to affect parent compound
214 concentrations: (i) degradation of the parent compound into its metabolite(s), and (ii) loss of water
215 and organic compounds via volatilisation. It is therefore difficult to predict formed degradation
216 products or to reach general conclusions since this depends for instance on the studied compounds,
217 matrix, process, time of processing, temperature, and water content. Most of these studies
218 calculated PFs taking into account the potential weight loss induced by cooking (mostly water loss).

219

220 3.3. Comparison between regulatory documents and studies available in the public literature

221 As previously described, very few research studies have aimed to elucidate the degradation pathway
222 of pesticide residues in samples under thermic treatment. However, comparing results obtained from
223 the public literature to those from regulatory studies (monographs) would be beneficial to improve
224 knowledge about degradation patterns and formation of degradation products.

225 Martin *et al.* (2020) investigated the degradation products of non-radiolabelled chlordecone (CLD) in
226 naturally contaminated beef samples above 120 °C to detect two compounds identified as 5b-hydro-
227 CLD and tentatively as mono-hydro-CLD. According to the same publication, these two compounds
228 were already detected in raw liver samples, which does not confirm whether they are degradation
229 products formed only in a biota matrix or both from biota and thermal degradation. The absence of
230 studies investigating the impact of the process in the monograph for CLD does not enable us to
231 confirm the formation of the two detected degradation products following thermal processing.

232 The two studies by Göckener *et al.* (Göckener *et al.*, 2020; Göckener *et al.*, 2019) investigated the
233 degradation of chlorpropham and prochloraz above 120 °C using radiolabelled compounds. The first
234 study investigated the degradation pathway of chlorpropham in a potato-derived commodity after
235 boiling, frying and baking. Results showed that 3-chloroaniline was produced with increasing storage
236 time, while the three high-temperature processes resulted only in the formation of a small amount
237 of free-chloroaniline. Therefore, the formation of chloroaniline is essentially due to metabolism in
238 the potato tuber during storage and not to degradation during the process. If one relies only on the
239 hydrolysis study performed in the framework of the monograph (Netherlands, 2016), the finding that

240 the amount of 3-chloroaniline in the buffer solution changes proportionally to temperature (90 °C:
241 0.36%, 100 °C: 0.6%, 120 °C: 1.3%) suggests that 3-chloroaniline is formed during the thermal process.
242 Although the same degradation product was detected by Gökener *et al.* and in the monograph,
243 assessments about its formation differ since the former highlights formation during the storage step,
244 while the latter highlights formation during thermal processing. A different study was conducted on
245 rapeseed oil spiked with radiolabelled prochloraz in a sealed vial. In the monograph (*Ireland, 2007,*
246 *2011*), prochloraz was shown to be stable under standard hydrolysis conditions, with a low level of
247 certain degradation products containing 2,4,6-trichlorophenol moiety, such as C449589. However, in
248 the Gökener *et al.* (2019) study, eleven degradation products were identified. Some of these
249 compounds, such as 2,4,6-trichlorophenol itself or BTS 40348, contain this 2,4,6-trichlorophenol
250 moiety. Some other detected degradation products were a combination of prochloraz and other
251 compounds from the oil matrix (fatty acids).

252 Another study, by Kontou *et al.* (2004a), investigated the degradation of maneb at different
253 temperatures (50–90 °C) and pH, with the detection of one degradation product called ethylene
254 thiourea (ETU). This product was already identified in the maneb monograph (not published on the
255 EFSA website) as being formed via the effects of pH in maneb hydrolysis. Even though this study was
256 conducted using a non-radiolabelled standard, it enabled the detection of the same degradation
257 product as that from the monograph, obtained with a radiolabelled standard. It also demonstrated
258 that formation of ETU from maneb is pH related.

259 The last study, already referenced in the cypermethrin monograph (*Belgium, 2017*), by Lin *et al.*
260 (2005), investigated the degradation products that are formed when heating cypermethrin to 110 °C.
261 The two detected products were 3-phenoxybenzaldehyde and dichlorovinyl-dimethylcyclopropane
262 carboxylic acid.

263 The comparison between regulatory and public literature studies demonstrated that the same
264 degradation products can be observed using both radiolabelled and non-radiolabelled compound, as
265 shown for the degradation of maneb to ETU.

266 Because of the lack of research studies focused on new degradation products formed above 120 °C
267 in buffer solution, clear conclusions cannot be drawn about the possible degradation products that
268 are overlooked by the regulatory studies.

269

270 3.4. Overall workflow of degradation studies

271 To perform thermal analysis of active substances, it is important to be aware of the possible
272 behaviours of the compound during each step of the process (thermal degradation, extraction,
273 chemical analysis) and also the impact of data processing on the search for potential by-products and
274 the fate of the pesticide residue. This would mitigate the risks of bias and misinterpretation when
275 drawing conclusions.

276 3.4.1. Behaviour of the active substance during the thermal procedure

277 3.4.1.1. Degradation

278 The main mechanisms affecting the fate of pesticide residues during storage and food processing are
279 described in various reviews (*Amvrazi, 2011; Yigit et al., 2020*). The mechanisms involved in high
280 temperature processes are the following:

- 281 • In water containing substrate (matrix), hydrolysis is the breaking of chemical bonds in the
282 pesticide compound with the action of water as a nucleophile. At temperatures higher than
283 120 °C, it is assumed that the hydrolysis mechanism does not involve enzymes, as most of
284 them are inactivated at these temperatures. This mechanism is mainly affected by pH and
285 moisture content in the raw agricultural commodity (RAC), as well as by temperature during
286 the process. Highly soluble pesticides are more susceptible to hydrolysis (*L. Zhao et al., 2018*).
- 287 • In an oxygen-containing atmosphere, oxidation is loss of electrons of the pesticide compound,
288 which results in the formation of oxide pesticide. This mechanism is mainly affected by UV
289 radiation and temperature. Highly water-soluble pesticides are more susceptible to oxidation
290 (*L. Zhao et al., 2018*). Oxidation also depends on the complexity of the pesticide molecule
291 (*Senneca et al., 2007*).

292 3.4.1.2. Volatilisation

293 The study by Gökener *et al.* (2020) was conducted on potato treated at post-harvest with
294 radiolabelled sprout inhibitor chlorpropham, then stored for up to six months and finally boiled. It
295 showed considerable loss by volatilisation during storage and a significant amount of residue was
296 transferred into boiling water. When the amount of parent compound residue decreases, it leads to
297 uncertainty whether this decrease is due to degradation or volatilisation during heat treatment. It is
298 therefore valuable to analyse in both ways to determine the ratio between degradation and
299 volatilisation. Volatilisation is mainly affected by the equipment used, especially if the system is open

300 or closed, and air humidity. Pesticides with high vapour pressure are more susceptible to
301 volatilisation (*L. Zhao et al., 2018*).

302 3.4.1.3. Reactions with the matrix

303 The matrix may have significant effects, for example moisture content (*Göckener et al., 2019*) or pH
304 (*Kontou et al., 2004a; Lin et al., 2005*). *Göckener et al. (2019)* demonstrated with radiolabelled
305 prochloraz that metabolites may also form through chemical reactions with matrix components in
306 rapeseed oil. This mechanism, thought to involve triglycerides contained in the oil, is more important
307 than the hydrolysis mechanism during heat treatment of rapeseed oil.

308 Cooking conditions vary considerably depending on the equipment used (oven, pan, grill, or
309 microwave) and parameters such as temperature, time processing, degree of moisture loss, and
310 whether the system is open or closed affect the different mechanisms mentioned above. This review
311 shows how important it is to determine the possible degradation products for the substances of
312 interest since their toxicity may be higher than that of the substance itself. This was observed in
313 *Kontou et al. (2004a)* with the detection of ETU, a substance that is more toxic than maneb parent
314 compound.

315 3.4.2. Extraction

316 There are various types of extraction procedures depending on the analysed matrix. The main
317 extraction methods were developed to extract active substances from complex matrices, such as
318 fruits and vegetables (*Gautam et al., 2017; Wang et al., 2018*), livestock meat (*Hrynko et al., 2021;*
319 *Saint-Hilaire et al., 2018*), soil (*Chatterjee et al., 2013*) and water (*Ahmed, 2001; Farajzadeh et al.,*
320 *2016*). The main extraction method to analyse pesticides from food products is called QuEChERS
321 (Quick, Easy, Cheap, Efficient, Rugged and Safe) (*González-Curbelo et al., 2015*) and is widely used to
322 extract compounds within a wide polarity range. Moreover, even though other extraction methods
323 are not labelled as QuEChERS, most of them also use solid liquid extraction with a mix of water and
324 organic solvents, adding certain salts for demixion and thus improving extraction efficiency. Other
325 methods can also be used such as accelerated solvent extraction (ASE) (*Planche et al., 2017*), Envi-
326 Carb extraction (*Holden et al., 2001*) or Soxhlet extraction (*Witczak, 2009*). During the extraction
327 steps, it is important to consider intermediary steps such as vortexing, sonication or centrifugation
328 since they can affect the extraction yield and the degradation of the analysed pesticides, mainly
329 during the sonication step (*Yuan et al., 2021*). Following extraction, clean-up is carried out. This step

330 aims to remove the matrix with optimum recovery of the compounds of interest. The main clean-up
331 methods for pesticide extraction are dispersive-solid phase extraction (d-SPE, used following
332 QuEChERS extraction) and gel permeation chromatography (GPC) (*Chavarri et al., 2005; Planche et*
333 *al., 2017; Watanabe et al., 2018*), but other methods such as solid phase microextraction (SPME)
334 (*Medina et al., 2021*) and matrix solid phase dispersion (MSPD) (*Jankowska et al., 2019; Łozowicka,*
335 *Jankowska, et al., 2016*) are also used. Following the technical guideline on the evaluation of the
336 extraction efficiency of residue analytical methods (SANTE/2017/10632 Rev.4) (*European*
337 *Commission, 2022*) for pesticide residues in RACs (or processed commodities derived from RACs),
338 sufficient extraction efficiency should have been demonstrated on the matrix group to which the RAC
339 belongs beforehand, with a radiolabelled study.

340 Both radiolabelled and non-radiolabelled studies show that the pesticide residue is expected to vary
341 depending on the type of matrix (RAC, homogenised RAC or buffer solution). This also demonstrates
342 whether the residue formed is due to treatment before harvest, post-harvest or during fortification.
343 The extraction in fortified buffer solution is expected to be easier than a fortified RAC due to the
344 complexity of the matrix. Extraction in RAC treated post-harvest is expected to be easier than in RAC
345 treated at the field level due to further metabolism in the plant. Appropriate extraction and clean-up
346 methods need to be applied to prevent bias in the analysis and to provide accurate results.

347 3.4.3. Chemical analysis

348 The two main techniques used to separate compounds are liquid chromatography (LC) (*Chawla et*
349 *al., 2016*) and gas chromatography (GC) (*Karasek et al., 2012*), both of which are now mainly coupled
350 with mass spectrometry (MS): low-resolution instruments (LR; triple quadrupole (QQQ) or
351 quadrupole ion-trap (QTRAP)) or high-resolution instruments (HR; Q-Exactive or quadrupole time-of-
352 flight (QToF)). Other detectors such as electron capture detectors (ECDs), nitrogen-phosphorous
353 detectors (NPDs), ultraviolet (UV) and flame photometric detectors (FPDs) were also used based on
354 reported publications, all with the aim of detecting compounds based on a specific target compound
355 property or specific atoms (e.g., ECDs are suitable for the detection of halogenated compounds).

356 3.4.4. Data processing

357 Various data processing methods can be used depending on the availability of the standard as well
358 as the list of targeted compounds. These methods are called target, suspect screening and non-target
359 analysis and are further described in paragraph 4.

360 3.4.5. Comparison between radiolabelled and non-radiolabelled analysis

361 When the aim is to market a new active substance in Europe, thermodegradation studies to identify
362 degradation products are carried out with isotopic labelled standards. These studies are considered
363 to be more accurate since radiolabelled compounds are not present in the environment, and there
364 is as a result no possibility of cross-contamination from the environment. Moreover, radioactivity
365 analysis is specific to the analysed compound and thereby increases the sensitivity of detection of
366 the active substance. Since only one signal should be observed for the analysed compound, the
367 detection of any other signal when increasing the temperature would correspond to a degradation
368 product. In studies using non-radiolabelled compounds, various signals do not correspond to the
369 analysed molecule (such as matrix or noise), and it is therefore more difficult to extract the signal of
370 the active substance as well as unknown degradation products from the overall dataset.
371 Radiolabelled standards have several benefits, but they are expensive to buy or to synthesize and
372 radioactive compounds can only be handled in containment conditions with specific accreditations.
373 For these reasons, ^{14}C standards are rarely used when research laboratories intend to carry out
374 degradation studies.

375 Overall analytical workflows between radiolabelled and non-radiolabelled studies are relatively
376 similar, but certain differences are observed for each step. In radiolabelled studies carried out on
377 RACs, total residual radioactivity (TRR) can be divided into two main fractions: (i) the extractable
378 radiolabelled residue on which identification or characterisation of the residue is possible, and (ii)
379 non-extractable residue when the pesticide has been extensively degraded into numerous low level
380 metabolites or is associated with biomolecules via incorporation, physiochemical tight-binding or
381 physical encapsulation. Comparing the TRR of the non-extractable fraction and that of the extractable
382 fraction makes it possible to calculate the recovery of the extraction procedure. In non-radiolabelled
383 studies, unextractable fraction and recovery percentages can be determined when the standard is
384 commercialised, which is the case for active substances. This can be done by comparing the intensity
385 of the extracted compound with that of the non-extracted standard and by calculating a recovery
386 percentage. When the standard is not accessible (most often for detected degradation products), it
387 is not possible to accurately calculate the unextractable fraction of these compounds. It is solely
388 possible to approximate it using the standard of the active substance from which the degradation
389 product formed.

390 The difference in the thermal process is that according to OECD Technical Guideline 507
391 (radiolabelled study for monographs), the buffer solution fortified with radiolabelled pesticide is
392 contained in a sealed vessel. In this closed system design, loss of buffer solution through volatilisation
393 is very limited. In non-radiolabelled studies, processes most often occur in open systems, and the
394 evaporated fraction should therefore also be analysed.

395 Lastly, the analytical techniques are almost identical for both radiolabelled and non-radiolabelled
396 studies, except that some radioactivity detectors should be coupled with the analytical instrument
397 to analyse radioactive compounds. Various conclusions can be drawn depending on the observations
398 for the analysed compounds, as summarized in Table 2. When conducting a non-radiolabelled study,
399 data processing tools are important to extract information about the compound of interest and its
400 degradation products while saving time.

401

402 **4. Towards the identification of pesticide degradation products**

403 In the literature, heating processes led to decreased concentrations of the overall pesticide content
404 in food in most studies. However, as already mentioned, a small percentage of reported publications
405 in this review aimed at elucidating the degradation pathway of the pesticide compound. To serve this
406 purpose, several methods other than the radiolabelled method may be used and are listed below.

407 For each tool, it is assumed that degradation products and parent structures and formulae are closely
408 related. If the formula and the structure of the detected compound differ too much from the studied
409 pesticide, then it can be concluded that this compound is most likely an artefact and not a
410 degradation product of the target compound (*García-Reyes et al., 2007*).

411 4.1. Target analysis

412 Even though target analysis on the pesticide compound is not meant to elucidate the degradation
413 pathway, one can predict the degradation kinetics of the active substance over time and temperature
414 by monitoring pesticide concentrations at various temperatures and time parameters. A decrease
415 would be indicative of either degradation or volatilisation of the pesticide, while a constant
416 concentration would demonstrate stability of the analysed substance.

417 4.2. Suspect analysis

418 Target analysis is often limited to analysis of the pesticide residue in the residue definition(s) set in
419 the thermodegradation framework of the monograph. Another way of exploring new transformation
420 products during the process, without using radiolabelled compounds, could be to expand screening
421 to the metabolites identified in sections other than the residue section of the monograph (e.g.,
422 absorption, distribution and excretion in mammals, and fate and behaviour in the environment).
423 Building this type of suspect list could help to focus the analysis on metabolites that are likely to be
424 formed given that they were already identified in other studies, even though not related to thermal
425 processes.

426 4.3. Non-target analysis

427 Non-target analysis aims at detecting compounds of interest without having prior knowledge of their
428 formula and structure. It aims at detecting specific mass values in the mass spectra that have a
429 correlation with the studied active substance with a different retention time.

430 4.3.1. Fold change value

431 In thermal degradation studies, intensities of the studied compound and its degradation products
432 are monitored over a range of temperatures. When increasing temperature, the intensity of the
433 studied compound is expected to decrease in favour of an increase in the intensity of its degradation
434 products. One mathematical tool, called fold change value, is used to calculate the ratio between a
435 final value and an initial value for a studied feature. This tool can be used for intensity/height of
436 chromatographic peaks to detect the features that have the highest fold change, which means the
437 highest difference between low and high temperature processes. The features that have the highest
438 fold change values should correspond either to the active substance (decreasing value over
439 temperature) or to its degradation products (increasing value over temperature). Following this
440 interpretation step, further identification of degradation products as well as the kinetics study for
441 the formation of degradation products over time/temperature need to be performed. This tool is
442 recommended for use in pure compound analysis to facilitate detection of the degradation products
443 related to the analysed compound, without also considering the degradation of the matrix with the
444 temperature.

445 4.3.2. Fragmentation of the active substance

446 Because of the structural similarity between the pesticide and its degradation products, similarities
447 in their mass spectra can also be observed (*Thurman et al., 2005*). This principle was also
448 demonstrated by *García-Reyes et al. (2007)* who analysed the similarities between the ions detected
449 in the mass spectra of two pesticides (amitraz and malathion) and those of their degradation
450 products formed in food. This “fragmentation-degradation” relationship could also be applied to
451 thermal processing to see whether the degradation products observed after heating the pesticide
452 could be predicted from the fragmentation of the compound in the analytical instrument.
453 Investigation can thereafter be performed following the detection of specific masses to confirm the
454 structure of the detected compound and the possibility of it being a degradation product of the
455 studied pesticide.

456 Similarities between the mass spectrum of the active substance and its degradation products can
457 also be observed through molecular network analysis. This tool is used in various fields and enables
458 researchers to create connections between variables that are correlated in peak height/peak
459 area/concentration. In analytical chemistry, it is mainly used in metabolomics to study the effect of
460 a variable (e.g., specific disease, drug intake, diet, or smoking) in the up/down regulation of specific
461 biological functions in the body. This tool could also be used to observe the correlation between
462 decreasing concentrations of the pesticide and increasing concentrations of its degradation products
463 following thermal processing. This correlation will be highlighted in the network by forming one
464 group containing both the analysed substance and its degradation products. This correlation would
465 make degradation products easier to detect in further identification steps.

466 4.3.3. Isotopic pattern recognition

467 Another way to identify degradation products is to use isotopic pattern recognition. This can be
468 conducted mainly to search for molecules with halogens (chlorine or bromine atoms) since both of
469 them have two natural isomers with high abundance (^{35}Cl 75% / ^{37}Cl 25%, ^{79}Br 50% / ^{81}Br 50%). It is
470 therefore possible to identify the number of chlorine or bromine atoms in a molecule by investigating
471 the isotopic pattern of the molecule (*Wellington Laboratories, 2012*). The degradation pathway of
472 halogenated compounds may lead to degradation products also containing halogens, easily
473 detectable due to their specific isotopic pattern, which also makes their identification easier.

474 The detection and identification of certain degradation products formed above 120 °C could
475 therefore be carried out using one tool or a combination of several presented tools.

476 **5. Conclusion**

477 According to Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 and more specifically article 6.5 Regulation (EU) No
478 283/2013, hydrolysis and processing studies are required to elucidate the degradation pathway of a
479 pesticide, and to characterise and quantify breakdown products in processed foods (for further risk
480 assessment). Conducted according to OECD Test Guidelines 507 and 508, these regulatory studies
481 may not cover certain common household processes, such as microwave oven cooking, frying or
482 conventional oven heating > 120 °C. By reviewing results published in academic literature and those
483 from regulatory studies, this work aimed to assess to what extent certain processes may be
484 overlooked.

485 It was determined that very few studies (six) are actually dedicated to the effects of cooking
486 processes on the formation of degradation products from a pesticide, within both the academic and
487 regulatory framework. Most of the academic studies published on the effects of cooking on pesticide
488 residues are in fact limited to measuring the efficacy of food preparation processes through the
489 processing factor (PF), while regulatory studies above 120 °C are very rare as they are not mandatory
490 for placing a new active substance on the market in the European Union.

491 In most cases, heating the food commodities was found to contribute strongly to a decrease in the
492 overall pesticide content. However, a few studies showed that new degradation products can be
493 formed when increasing the temperature above 120 °C, mostly due to interactions between the
494 active substance and the matrix. Because of the lack of public studies for temperatures above 120 °C
495 in buffer solution, no conclusions could be drawn about potential gaps in regulatory pesticide risk
496 assessment. The very low number of public studies makes it impossible to develop principles helping
497 to define priorities for future studies or regulatory rules. It shows the crucial need to develop
498 knowledge on the fate of pesticides in food subjected to various cooking processes, such as the
499 microwave oven, conventional oven, pan or frying. The main processes and factors driving this fate
500 still need to be clearly described, in connection with the chemical properties of the pesticide. The
501 role of matrices, including buffer solution, should also be explored since they are practically
502 unknown. This clarification is a prerequisite step to understand whether there is a need for the
503 regulatory framework to be updated. In that way, as illustrated by the great diversity of studies
504 reviewed here, two recent significant changes are likely to ease this need for scientific studies in the
505 near future.

506 The first change is the remarkably fast development of analytical techniques (liquid chromatography,
507 gas chromatography and high-resolution mass spectrometry) that allow for the characterisation and

508 quantification of breakdown products without using radiolabelled compounds. This would enable a
509 significantly higher number of laboratories to carry out research on this topic. We also found that
510 future studies should develop a comprehensive workflow (sample preparation, chemical analysis and
511 data processing) for the identification of degradation products without using an isotopic labelled
512 standard.

513 The second change concerns the broad opening of access to scientific data supporting decision-
514 making for the registration of pesticide products, which appears to be a remarkable outcome of the
515 application of EU transparency regulations this past decade (*European Food Safety Authority (EFSA),*
516 *2021*). In this framework, the main key data are becoming more and more accessible, through the
517 publication of EFSA scientific opinions, conclusions of the peer review of the pesticide risk assessment
518 and assessment reports. Still largely underutilised, this corpus of information could be seen as a
519 precious source of experimental data sets produced according to high standards of quality, which
520 could be very useful in developing knowledge on the fate of pesticide residues in food under various
521 cooking processes.

522 **Funding:**

523 This project was funded and supported by ANSES (PPV project “Phytocuisson”, No. 2020-CRD-05-
524 PPV20) via the tax on sales of plant protection products. The proceeds of this tax are assigned to
525 ANSES to finance the establishment of the system to monitor the adverse effects of plant protection
526 products, called “phytopharmacovigilance” (PPV). The project benefited from the work carried out
527 in the framework of the ANR JCJC AlimOmic (ANR-19-CE21-0002) and from a part of the funds.

528

529 **REFERENCES**

- 530 Ahmed, F. E. (2001). Analyses of pesticides and their metabolites in foods and drinks. *Trends Analyt Chem,*
531 *20(11), 649-661, 10.1016/S0165-9936(01)00121-2.*
- 532 Amvrazi, E. G. (2011). Fate of pesticide residues on raw agricultural crops after postharvest storage and food
533 processing to edible portions. In *Pesticides-formulations, effects, fate*: IntechOpen.
- 534 Bai, A., Liu, S., Chen, A., Chen, W., Luo, X., Liu, Y., & Zhang, D. (2021). Residue changes and processing factors
535 of eighteen field-applied pesticides during the production of Chinese Baijiu from rice. *Food Chem.,*
536 *359, 10.1016/j.foodchem.2021.129983.*
- 537 Bajwa, U., & Sandhu, K. S. (2014). Effect of handling and processing on pesticide residues in food- A review.
538 *Int. J. Food Sci. Technol., 51(2), 201-220, 10.1007/s13197-011-0499-5.*
- 539 Belgium. (2017). Draft Renewal Assessment Report (DRAR) on the active substance Cypermethrin prepared by
540 the Rapporteur Member State Belgium and the co-Rapporteur Member State Germany according to
541 Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, <https://www.efsa.europa.eu/en/consultations/call/170809>, 2022-06-
542 13.

543 Boulaid, M., Aguilera, A., Camacho, F., Soussi, M., & Valverde, A. (2005). Effect of household processing and
544 unit-to-unit variability of pyrifenoxy, pyridaben, and tralomeprin residues in tomatoes. *J. Agric. Food*
545 *Chem.*, 53(10), 4054-4058, 10.1021/jf040455y.

546 Chatterjee, N. S., & Gupta, S. (2013). Persistence of metaflumizone on cabbage (*Brassica oleracea* Linne) and
547 soil, and its risk assessment. *Environ. Monit. Assess.*, 185(7), 6201-6208, 10.1007/s10661-012-3017-y.

548 Chauhan, R., Kumari, B., & Rana, M. K. (2014). Effect of fruit and vegetable processing on reduction of synthetic
549 pyrethroid residues. *Rev Environ Contam Toxicol*, 229, 89-110, 10.1007/978-3-319-03777-6_5.

550 Chavarri, M. J., Herrera, A., & Ariño, A. (2005). The decrease in pesticides in fruit and vegetables during
551 commercial processing. *Int. J. Food Sci. Technol.*, 40(2), 205-211, 10.1111/j.1365-2621.2004.00932.x.

552 Chawla, G., & Ranjan, C. (2016). Principle, instrumentation, and applications of UPLC: A novel technique of
553 liquid chromatography. *Open Chem.*, 3(1), 10.2174/1874842201603010001.

554 Duhan, A., Kumari, B., & Gulati, R. (2010). Effect of household processing on fenazaquin residues in okra fruits.
555 *Bull Environ Contam Toxicol*, 84(2), 217-220, 10.1007/s00128-009-9863-9.

556 European Commission. (2007). OECD GUIDELINE FOR THE TESTING OF CHEMICALS, Nature of the Pesticide
557 Residues in Processed Commodities - High Temperature Hydrolysis, [https://www.oecd-](https://www.oecd-ilibrary.org/docserver/9789264067431-en.pdf?expires=1643726221&id=id&accname=quest&checksum=F63E054F11DF1A43831D0199CEED)
558 [ilibrary.org/docserver/9789264067431-](https://www.oecd-ilibrary.org/docserver/9789264067431-en.pdf?expires=1643726221&id=id&accname=quest&checksum=F63E054F11DF1A43831D0199CEED)
559 [en.pdf?expires=1643726221&id=id&accname=quest&checksum=F63E054F11DF1A43831D0199CEED](https://www.oecd-ilibrary.org/docserver/9789264067431-en.pdf?expires=1643726221&id=id&accname=quest&checksum=F63E054F11DF1A43831D0199CEED)
560 [EB00](https://www.oecd-ilibrary.org/docserver/9789264067431-en.pdf?expires=1643726221&id=id&accname=quest&checksum=F63E054F11DF1A43831D0199CEED), 2022-02-01.

561 European Commission. (2022). Technical Guideline on the Evaluation of Extraction Efficiency of Residue
562 Analytical Methods, [https://food.ec.europa.eu/system/files/2022-](https://food.ec.europa.eu/system/files/2022-02/pesticides_mrl_guidelines_wrkdoc_2017-10632.pdf)
563 [02/pesticides_mrl_guidelines_wrkdoc_2017-10632.pdf](https://food.ec.europa.eu/system/files/2022-02/pesticides_mrl_guidelines_wrkdoc_2017-10632.pdf), 2022-09-09.

564 European Food Safety Authority (EFSA). (2021). Chemical Hazards Database (OpenFoodTox),
565 <https://www.efsa.europa.eu/en/data-report/chemical-hazards-database-openfoodtox>, 2022-06-01.

566 Farajzadeh, M. A., Asghari, A., & Feriduni, B. (2016). An efficient, rapid and microwave-accelerated dispersive
567 liquid-liquid microextraction method for extraction and pre-concentration of some organophosphorus
568 pesticide residues from aqueous samples. *J Food Compost Anal*, 48, 73-80, 10.1016/j.jfca.2016.02.007.

569 García-Reyes, J. F., Molina-Díaz, A., & Fernández-Alba, A. R. (2007). Identification of pesticide transformation
570 products in food by liquid chromatography/time-of-flight mass spectrometry via "fragmentation-
571 degradation" relationships. *Anal. Chem.*, 79(1), 307-321, 10.1021/ac061402d.

572 Gautam, M., Eterodt, T., & Fomsgaard, I. S. (2017). Quantification of azoxystrobin and identification of two
573 novel metabolites in lettuce via liquid chromatography–quadrupole-linear ion trap (QTRAP) mass
574 spectrometry. *Int J Environ Anal Chem*, 97(5), 419-430, 10.1080/03067319.2017.1318867.

575 Germany. (2018). Renewal Assessment Report (RAR) on the active substance Pyraclostrobin prepared by the
576 rapporteur Member State Germany in the framework of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009,
577 <https://www.efsa.europa.eu/en/consultations/call/180710>, 2022-06-13.

578 Göckener, B., Kotthoff, M., Kling, H.-W., & Bücking, M. (2020). Fate of chlorpropham during high-temperature
579 processing of potatoes. *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, 68(8), 2578-2587, 10.1021/acs.jafc.9b06386.

580 Göckener, B., Kotthoff, M., Kling, H., & Bücking, M. (2019). Processing induced degradation routes of
581 prochloraz in rapeseed oil. *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, 67(44), 12293-12302, 10.1021/acs.jafc.9b03518.

582 González-Curbelo, M., Socas-Rodríguez, B., Herrera-Herrera, A., González-Sálamo, J., Hernández-Borges, J., &
583 Rodríguez-Delgado, M. (2015). Evolution and applications of the QuEChERS method. *Trends Analyt*
584 *Chem*, 71, 169-185, 10.1016/j.trac.2015.04.012.

585 Heshmati, A., Hamidi, M., & Nili-Ahmadabadi, A. (2019). Effect of storage, washing, and cooking on the stability
586 of five pesticides in edible fungi of *Agaricus bisporus*: A degradation kinetic study. *Food Sci. Nutr.*,
587 7(12), 3993-4000, 10.1002/fsn3.1261.

588 Holden, A. J., Chen, L., & Shaw, I. C. (2001). Thermal stability of organophosphorus pesticide triazophos and
589 its relevance in the assessment of risk to the consumer of triazophos residues in food. *J. Agric. Food*
590 *Chem.*, 49(1), 103-106, 10.1021/jf0002589.

591 Hrynko, I., Kaczyński, P., & Łozowicka, B. (2021). A global study of pesticides in bees: QuEChERS as a sample
592 preparation methodology for their analysis—Critical review and perspective. *Sci. Total Environ.*,
593 148385, 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.148385.

594 Huan, Z., Xu, Z., Jiang, W., Chen, Z., & Luo, J. (2015). Effect of Chinese traditional cooking on eight pesticides
595 residue during cowpea processing. *Food Chem.*, 170, 118-122, 10.1016/j.foodchem.2014.08.052.

596 Ireland. (2007). Draft Assessment Report (DAR). Initial risk assessment provided by the rapporteur Member
597 State. Ireland for the existing active substance Prochloraz of the third stage (part B) of the review
598 programme referred to in Article 8(2) of Council Directive 91/414/EEC.,
599 <https://www.efsa.europa.eu/en/consultations/call/public-consultation-active-substance-prochloraz>,
600 2022-06-13.

601 Ireland. (2011). Renewal Assessment Report (RAR) on the active substance Prochloraz prepared by the
602 rapporteur Member State Ireland. Programme for Inclusion of Active Substances in Annex 1 to Council
603 Directive 91/414/EEC. Addendum to the Draft Report and Proposed Decision Rapporteur Member
604 State's summary, evaluation and assessment of the additional data and information.,
605 [https://www.efsa.europa.eu/en/consultations/call/public-consultation-active-substance-prochloraz-](https://www.efsa.europa.eu/en/consultations/call/public-consultation-active-substance-prochloraz-0)
606 [0](https://www.efsa.europa.eu/en/consultations/call/public-consultation-active-substance-prochloraz-0).

607 Jankowska, M., Łozowicka, B., & Kaczyński, P. (2019). Comprehensive toxicological study over 160 processing
608 factors of pesticides in selected fruit and vegetables after water, mechanical and thermal processing
609 treatments and their application to human health risk assessment. *Sci. Total Environ.*, 652, 1156-1167,
610 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.10.324.

611 Karasek, F. W., & Clement, R. E. (2012). *Basic gas chromatography-mass spectrometry: principles and*
612 *techniques*: Elsevier.

613 Kaushik, G., Satya, S., & Naik, S. N. (2009). Food processing a tool to pesticide residue dissipation - A review.
614 *Food Res. Int.*, 42(1), 26-40, 10.1016/j.foodres.2008.09.009.

615 Kim, K. H., Kabir, E., & Jahan, S. A. (2017). Exposure to pesticides and the associated human health effects. *Sci.*
616 *Total Environ.*, 575, 525-535, 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.09.009.

617 Kim, S. W., Abd El-Aty, A. M., Rahman, M., Choi, J. H., Lee, Y. J., Ko, A. Y., Choi, O. J., Jung, H. N., Hacimüftüoğlu,
618 A., & Shim, J. H. (2015). The effect of household processing on the decline pattern of dimethomorph
619 in pepper fruits and leaves. *Food Control*, 50, 118-124, 10.1016/j.foodcont.2014.08.023.

620 Kontou, S., Tsiipi, D., & Tzia, C. (2004a). Kinetics of Maneb Degradation during Thermal Treatment of Tomatoes.
621 *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, 52(5), 1212-1219, 10.1021/jf030539r.

622 Kontou, S., Tsiipi, D., & Tzia, C. (2004b). Stability of the dithiocarbamate pesticide maneb in tomato
623 homogenates during cold storage and thermal processing. *Food Addit Contam*, 21(11), 1083-1089,
624 10.1080/02652030400019372.

625 Li, C., Zhu, H., Li, C., Qian, H., Yao, W., & Guo, Y. (2021). The present situation of pesticide residues in China
626 and their removal and transformation during food processing. *Food Chem.*, 354,
627 10.1016/j.foodchem.2021.129552.

628 Lin, H. M., Gerrard, J. A., & Shaw, I. C. (2005). Stability of the insecticide cypermethrin during tomato
629 processing and implications for endocrine activity. *Food Addit Contam*, 22(1), 15-22,
630 10.1080/02652030400027938.

631 Łozowicka, B., & Jankowska, M. (2016). Comparison of the effects of water and thermal processing on pesticide
632 removal in selected fruit and vegetables. *J Elem*, 21(1), 99-111, 10.5601/jelem.2015.20.2.917.

633 Łozowicka, B., Jankowska, M., Hrynko, I., & Kaczynski, P. (2016). Removal of 16 pesticide residues from
634 strawberries by washing with tap and ozone water, ultrasonic cleaning and boiling. *Environ. Monit.*
635 *Assess.*, 188(1), 1-19, 10.1007/s10661-015-4850-6.

636 Martin, D., Lobo, F., Lavison-Bompard, G., Guérin, T., & Parinet, J. (2020). Effect of home cooking processes on
637 chlordecone content in beef and investigation of its by-products and metabolites by HPLC-HRMS/MS.
638 *Environ Int*, 144, 10.1016/j.envint.2020.106077.

639 Medina, M. B., Munitz, M. S., & Resnik, S. L. (2021). Effect of household rice cooking on pesticide residues.
640 *Food Chem.*, 342, 10.1016/j.foodchem.2020.128311.

641 Mekonen, S., Ambelu, A., & Spanoghe, P. (2015). Effect of Household Coffee Processing on Pesticide Residues
642 as a Means of Ensuring Consumers' Safety. *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, 63(38), 8568-8573,
643 10.1021/acs.jafc.5b03327.

644 Netherlands. (2016). Renewal Assessment Report (RAR) on the active substance Chlorpropham prepared by
645 the rapporteur Member State Netherlands in the framework of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009. ,
646 <https://www.efsa.europa.eu/en/consultations/call/160622>, 2022-06-13.

647 OCDE. (2007a). *Test No. 501: Metabolism in Crops*, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264061835-en>.

648 OCDE. (2007b). *Test No. 503: Metabolism in Livestock*, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264061873-en>.

649 OCDE. (2007c). *Test No. 507: Nature of the Pesticide Residues in Processed Commodities - High Temperature*
650 *Hydrolysis*, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264067431-en>.

651 Pallavi, M. S., Harischandra Naik, R., Ratnamma, Nidoni, U., Bheemanna, M., & Pramesh, D. (2021).
652 Simultaneous determination, dissipation and decontamination of fungicides applied on cabbage using
653 LC-MS/MS. *Food Chem.*, 355, 10.1016/j.foodchem.2021.129523.

654 Planche, C., Ratel, J., Blinet, P., Mercier, F., Angénieux, M., Chafey, C., Zinck, J., Marchond, N., Chevolleau, S.,
655 Marchand, P., Dervilly-Pinel, G., Guérin, T., Debrauwer, L., & Engel, E. (2017). Effects of pan cooking
656 on micropollutants in meat. *Food Chem.*, 232, 395-404, 10.1016/j.foodchem.2017.03.049.

657 Raveendranath, D., Sri Rama Murthy, K., Vijayalakshmi, B., Harinatha Reddy, A., & Ramesh, B. (2014). Residual
658 behavior of chlorpyrifos on cultivated gherkin and its removal using various household risk
659 mitigation methods. *Res. J. Pharm. Biol. Chem. Sci.*, 5(6), 906-911.

660 Saint-Hilaire, M., Inthavong, C., Bertin, T., Lavison-Bompard, G., Guérin, T., Fournier, A., Feidt, C., Rychen, G.,
661 & Parinet, J. (2018). Development and validation of an HPLC-MS/MS method with QuEChERS
662 extraction using isotopic dilution to simultaneously analyze chlordecone and chlordecol in animal
663 livers. *Food Chem.*, 252, 147-153, 10.1016/j.foodchem.2018.01.092.

664 Sakaliene, O., Koskinen, W. C., Blazauskiene, G., & Petroviene, I. (2009). Level and fate of chlorpropham in
665 potatoes during storage and processing. *J. Environ. Sci. Health B*, 44(1), 1-6,
666 10.1080/03601230802519470.

667 Scholz, R., van Donkersgoed, G., Herrmann, M., Kittelmann, A., von Schledorn, M., Graven, C., Mahieu, K., van
668 der Velde-Koerts, T., Anagnostopoulos, C., Bempelou, E., & Michalski, B. (2018). European database
669 of processing factors for pesticides. In *EFSA supporting publication*, vol. 15 (pp. 10.2903).

670 Senneca, O., Scherillo, F., & Nunziata, A. (2007). Thermal degradation of pesticides under oxidative conditions.
671 *J. Anal. Appl. Pyrolysis*, 80(1), 61-76, 10.1016/j.jaap.2007.01.002.

672 Shabeer, T. A., Banerjee, K., Jadhav, M., Girame, R., Utture, S., Hingmire, S., & Oulkar, D. (2015). Residue
673 dissipation and processing factor for dimethomorph, famoxadone and cymoxanil during raisin
674 preparation. *Food Chem.*, 170, 180-185, 10.1016/j.foodchem.2014.08.008.

675 Shakoory, A., Yazdanpanah, H., Kobarfard, F., Shojaee, M. H., & Salamzadeh, J. (2018). The effects of house
676 cooking process on residue concentrations of 41 multi-class pesticides in rice. *Iran J Pharm Res*, 17(2),
677 571-584.

678 Shoeibi, S., Amirahmadi, M., Yazdanpanah, H., Pirali-Hamedani, M., Pakzad, S. R., & Kobarfard, F. (2011). Effect
679 of cooking process on the residues of three carbamate pesticides in rice. *Iran J Pharm Res*, 10(1), 119-
680 126.

681 Singh, S., & Nelapati, K. (2017). Effect of food processing on degradation of hexachlorocyclohexane and its
682 isomers in milk. *Vet World*, 10(3), 270-275, 10.14202/vetworld.2017.270-275.

683 Soliman, K. M. (2001). Changes in concentration of pesticide residues in potatoes during washing and home
684 preparation. *Food Chem. Toxicol.*, 39(8), 887-891, 10.1016/S0278-6915(00)00177-0.

685 Thurman, E. M., Ferrer, I., Zweigenbaum, J. A., García-Reyes, J. F., Woodman, M., & Fernández-Alba, A. R.
686 (2005). Discovering metabolites of post-harvest fungicides in citrus with liquid chromatography/time-
687 of-flight mass spectrometry and ion trap tandem mass spectrometry. *J. Chromatogr. A*, 1082(1 SPEC.
688 ISS.), 71-80, 10.1016/j.chroma.2005.03.042.

689 Vagi, M. C., & Petsas, A. S. (2020). Recent advances on the removal of priority organochlorine and
690 organophosphorus biorecalcitrant pesticides defined by Directive 2013/39/EU from environmental
691 matrices by using advanced oxidation processes: An overview (2007-2018). *J. Environ. Chem. Eng.*,
692 8(1), 10.1016/j.jece.2019.102940.

693 Walia, S., Boora, P., & Kumari, B. (2010). Effect of processing on dislodging of cypermethrin residues on brinjal.
694 *Bull Environ Contam Toxicol*, 84(4), 465-468, 10.1007/s00128-010-9952-9.

695 Wang, K., Xie, X., Zhang, Y., Huang, Y., Zhou, S., Zhang, W., Lin, Y., & Fan, H. (2018). Combination of microwave-
696 assisted extraction and ultrasonic-assisted dispersive liquid-liquid microextraction for separation and
697 enrichment of pyrethroids residues in Litchi fruit prior to HPLC determination. *Food Chem.*, *240*, 1233-
698 1242, 10.1016/j.foodchem.2017.08.061.

699 Watanabe, M., Ueyama, J., Ueno, E., Ueda, Y., Oda, M., Umemura, Y., Tanahashi, T., Ikai, Y., & Saito, I. (2018).
700 Effects of processing and cooking on the reduction of dinotefuran concentration in Japanese rice
701 samples. *Food Addit Contam*, *35*(7), 1316-1323, 10.1080/19440049.2018.1451659.

702 Wellington Laboratories. (2012). Reference and Handling guide, [https://well-](https://well-labs.com/docs/qcms_reference_handling_guide.pdf)
703 [labs.com/docs/qcms_reference_handling_guide.pdf](https://well-labs.com/docs/qcms_reference_handling_guide.pdf), 2021-10-01.

704 Witczak, A. (2009). Effect of heat treatment on organochlorine pesticide residues in selected fish species.
705 *Polish J. Food Nutr. Sci.*, *59*(3), 231-235.

706 Yang, A., Park, J. H., Abd El-Aty, A. M., Choi, J. H., Oh, J. H., Do, J. A., Kwon, K., Shim, K. H., Choi, O. J., & Shim,
707 J. H. (2012). Synergistic effect of washing and cooking on the removal of multi-classes of pesticides
708 from various food samples. *Food Control*, *28*(1), 99-105, 10.1016/j.foodcont.2012.04.018.

709 Yigit, N., & Velioglu, Y. S. (2020). Effects of processing and storage on pesticide residues in foods. *Crit Rev Food*
710 *Sci Nutr*, *60*(21), 3622-3641, 10.1080/10408398.2019.1702501.

711 Yuan, S., Li, C., Zhang, Y., Yu, H., Xie, Y., Guo, Y., & Yao, W. (2021). Ultrasound as an emerging technology for
712 the elimination of chemical contaminants in food: A review. *Trends Food Sci Technol*, *109*, 374-385,
713 10.1016/j.tifs.2021.01.048.

714 Zhao, F., & Liu, J. (2020). Effects of the cooking modes on commonly used pesticides residue in vegetables and
715 their chronic dietary exposure risk in South China. *Food Addit Contam*, *37*(1), 121-130,
716 10.1080/19440049.2019.1681594.

717 Zhao, L., Liu, F., Ge, J., Ma, L., Wu, L., & Xue, X. (2018). Changes in eleven pesticide residues in jujube (*Ziziphus*
718 *jujuba* Mill.) during drying processing. *Dry. Technol.*, *36*(8), 965-972.

719