

Towards comprehensive identification of pesticide degradation products following thermal processing below and above 120 °C: A review

Florian Dubocq, Pierre l'Yvonnet, Eleni Chatzidimitriou, Samia Mahouche-Chergui, Xavier Sarda, Gaëlle Vial, Arnaud Duboisset, Benjamin Carbonnier, Julien Parinet

▶ To cite this version:

Florian Dubocq, Pierre l'Yvonnet, Eleni Chatzidimitriou, Samia Mahouche-Chergui, Xavier Sarda, et al.. Towards comprehensive identification of pesticide degradation products following thermal processing below and above 120 °C: A review. Food Chemistry, 2023, 402, pp.134267. 10.1016/j.foodchem.2022.134267. anses-03797281

HAL Id: anses-03797281 https://anses.hal.science/anses-03797281

Submitted on 5 Oct 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1 Towards comprehensive identification of pesticide degradation products following thermal

2 processing below and above 120 °C: a review

- 3 Florian Dubocq^{1,3}, Pierre L'Yvonnet², Eleni Chatzidimitriou², Samia Mahouche-Chergui³, Xavier Sarda², Gaëlle
- 4 Vial², Arnaud Duboisset², Benjamin Carbonnier³, Julien Parinet^{1,*}
- ¹ANSES, Laboratory for Food Safety, 14 rue Pierre et Marie Curie, 94701 Maisons-Alfort, France
- 6 ²ANSES, Direction of Regulated Products Evaluation, 14 rue Pierre et Marie Curie, 94701 Maisons-Alfort, France
- ⁷ ³University Paris-Est Créteil, CNRS, ICMPE, UMR 7182, 2 rue Henri Dunant, 94320 Thiais, France
- 8 *Corresponding Author: ANSES, Laboratory for Food Safety, 14 rue Pierre et Marie Curie, 94701 Maisons-Alfort, France
- 9 E-mail address: julien.parinet@anses.fr (J. Parinet)

10 Abstract

11 Characterising pesticide residues from a qualitative and quantitative point of view is key to both risk 12 assessment in the framework of pesticide approval and risk management. In the European Union 13 (EU), these concerns are addressed during the evaluation of active substances at the European level 14 prior to marketing authorisation. In the framework of this review, we will focus on one specific item 15 of the residue section, namely the effect of process (industrial or domestic transformation of the raw 16 commodities) on the nature of the residue in food. A limited number of hydrolysis conditions defined 17 by three parameters (temperature, pH and time) are set to be "representative of the most widely used industrial and domestic food processing technologies". These hydrolysis conditions, however, 18 19 do not cover processes at temperatures higher than 120 °C, such as cooking with a conventional oven 20 or in a pan, frying or using a microwave oven.

Keywords: evaluation of active substances, thermal processes, pesticides, analytical methods and strategies

23 Introduction

Plant Protection Products (PPPs) help to keep crops healthy and prevent damage or destruction by disease and infestation. A PPP contains at least one active substance that can be either organic or inorganic, natural or synthetic. Phytopharmaceutical treatment with a PPP may leave residues of the active substance in the form of the parent compound and/or metabolites (breakdown products) in food and/or feed commodities, with possible consumer and/or livestock exposure via ingestion. Consumer exposure to pesticide residues may be of concern depending on the toxicity of the residue 30 compounds, the amount of residue found in food commodities, and the diet of the considered 31 population (K. H. Kim et al., 2017). Characterising pesticide residues from a qualitative and 32 quantitative point of view is key to both risk assessment in the framework of pesticide approval and 33 risk management. The number of available publications is a clear illustration of increasing concern 34 around consumer exposure to pesticide residues in food: a rapid search in the Scopus database using the terms "pesticide" AND "residue" AND "food" in titles yielded around 10 000 documents since 35 36 1951, with a considerable increase in recent decades. Publications have increased by a factor of seven 37 since the year 2000.

38 In the European Union (EU), these concerns are addressed during the evaluation or re-evaluation of 39 both active substances at the European level and PPPs at the zonal level (administrative zones) prior 40 to marketing authorisation or re-authorisation. To this end, the residue section of the evaluation 41 focuses on the residue definition in food, the amount of residues to be expected in food, and lastly 42 on consumer exposure. In the framework of this review, we will focus on one specific item of this 43 residue section, namely the effect of process (industrial or domestic transformation of the raw 44 commodities) on the nature of the residue in food. Currently, if use of a PPP leads to significant 45 residue levels in a raw agricultural commodity (RAC), a study investigating the degradation pathway 46 of the residue during the process, called a hydrolysis study, is required. These types of studies are 47 carried out on buffer solutions fortified with radiolabelled active substance that undergo different 48 hydrolysis processes (OCDE, 2007c). The use of radiolabelling studies makes it possible to monitor 49 every potential breakdown product that may form during the process. A limited number of hydrolysis 50 conditions defined by three parameters (temperature, pH and time) are set to be "representative of 51 the most widely used industrial and domestic food processing technologies". These hydrolysis 52 conditions, however, do not cover processes at temperatures higher than 120 °C, such as cooking 53 with a conventional oven or in a pan, frying or using a microwave oven. Since further degradation is 54 expected with increasing temperatures, one can assume that certain metabolites may form above 55 120 °C. As an example, the active substance pyraclostrobin breaks down into several transformation 56 products during deodorisation of olive oil (240 °C), while pyraclostrobin parent compound remains 57 stable at temperatures ranging from 90 to 120 °C (Germany, 2018).

The purpose of the present article is to review academic research literature (referred to as public literature) as well as literature submitted by pesticide manufacturers in the framework of pesticide evaluation. Comparing the two sets of literature aims at discussing the need for future studies to 61 investigate the pesticide degradation pathway during high temperature processes (> 120 °C) in the 62 regulatory framework of pesticide evaluation as well as conducting hydrolysis studies with high-63 temperature hydrolysis conditions (> 120 °C). First, we briefly outline the principles followed by 64 European regulations when evaluating the effect of the process on the pesticide residue. We then 65 review the academic research literature following the population (P), intervention (I) or exposure (E), comparator (C) and outcome (O) (PICO/PECO) strategy. Finally, we suggest analytical tools that could 66 67 be used as alternatives to the radiolabelled studies currently required in the pesticide evaluation in 68 order to conduct such studies.

69

70 1. European pesticide regulation requirements

71 In the EU, a PPP cannot be placed on the market without prior approval of the active substance at 72 the European level, according to Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009. The active substance is evaluated by 73 a Rapporteur Member State (RMS) in the form of a monograph based on data essentially provided 74 by the active substance manufacturer. The evaluation of this monograph is then peer-reviewed by 75 another member state under the supervision of the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA). A peer-76 review is then published by EFSA with a conclusion on the overall evaluation. Regulation (EC) No 77 283/2013 reports the data requirements for active substance evaluations and multiple Organisation 78 for economic co-operation and development (OECD) technical guidelines further describe the 79 evaluation criteria. Based on these guidelines, the role of the RMS is to assess the scientific validity 80 of the studies provided by the active substance manufacturer and to decide whether or not sufficient 81 studies are available to characterise the risk.

82 The residue section of the monograph focuses on consumer risk, i.e., the risk related to ingestion of 83 food contaminated with PPP residues. This section presents the following successive steps: (i) setting 84 of a common (or multiple) residue definition in various food commodities (plant/animal origin, 85 raw/processed commodity), (ii) quantification of residues in raw commodities of plant and animal 86 origin, (iii) study of the effects of industrial and household processes on the degradation of residues 87 in processed commodities, and (iv) estimation of consumer exposure to the residue via food 88 ingestion. In order to set a residue definition, "metabolism studies" are carried out with radiolabelled compound (mostly ¹⁴C labelled) in order to follow its degradation pathway. These studies are 89 90 conducted: (i) on plants treated with radiolabelled active substance according to OECD Guideline 501

91 (OCDE, 2007a), and (ii) on animals fed with the radiolabelled compound according to OECD Guideline
92 503 (OCDE, 2007b). For each study, degradation products that account for more than 10% of the
93 initial active substance concentration are chemically identified or at least characterised. Each
94 identified metabolite above that threshold is screened for toxic/genotoxic properties to discuss its
95 inclusion in the residue definition, as well as to define chronic and acute toxicity concentrations.

When residues in a raw commodity of plant origin that can be processed occur at levels > 0.01 mg/kg, 96 97 the effect of the process on the nature of the residue needs to be investigated. For this purpose, 98 OECD Guideline 507 requires that a buffer solution spiked with radiolabelled compound must 99 undergo three hydrolysis treatments in order to highlight potential further degradation of the residue 100 compounds due to the hydrolysis conditions. Hydrolysis tests are performed in a sealed enclosure to avoid any loss of radiolabelled compound. The buffer solution is considered sufficiently 101 102 representative of any food matrix since, according to the guideline, "the substrate itself is not likely 103 to have a major effect upon the processing procedure (apart from governing the pH level in some 104 situations)" (OECD, 2007c). The three hydrolysis conditions defined by a combination of three 105 parameters (temperature, processing time and pH) are set to be representative of the majority of 106 processes as follow: (i) pasteurisation (90 °C, 30', pH 4), (ii) baking, brewing, boiling (100 °C, 60', pH 107 5), and (iii) sterilisation (120 °C, 20', pH 6). Given that most enzymes are inactivated above 90 °C, 108 hydrolysis is expected to be the main degradation mechanism. As hydrolysis is strongly dependent 109 on temperature, the higher the temperature, the more advanced the hydrolysis expected. The 110 criteria to chemically characterise and include or exclude a metabolite in the residue definition for a processed commodity are the same as those stated above for metabolism studies. 111

Even though the hydrolysis study is a model study, its preset hydrolysis conditions between 90 and 120 °C do not cover typical temperatures of, for example, conventional oven cooking processes reaching up to 240 °C. OECD Guideline 507 addresses this potential issue with the example of the deodorisation process during oil refining and states that "the necessity for these studies should be discussed on a case-by-case basis with regulatory authorities". As a consequence, such studies are rarely provided by manufacturers and rarely required by member state regulatory authorities in the framework of their evaluations.

Because most of the studies provided in the framework of active substance approval lack hydrolysis conditions above 120 °C, we reviewed the public literature targeting studies investigating the effect of process on PPP residues.

122 2. Review methodology

This review was conducted in accordance with the principles laid down in the EFSA Guidance (EFSA, 2010), which follows the PICO/PECO approach of systematic review (Morgan *et al.*, 2018). The search was performed through the PubMed and Scopus databases for articles published between the year 2000 and up to 20 July 2021. The following search question was formulated: what is the effect of cooking/microwave/high temperature on degradation of pesticides? The key elements of the question (inclusion criteria) were defined as follows (according to the PICO/PECO format, with * as truncation operator):

population (P): chemical, pesticide, contaminant, substance, molecul*, "plant protection
 product", food (with food as a required word, i.e., preceded by the Boolean operator AND)

intervention (I) or exposure (E): "high temperature", cook*, fry*, microwav*, therm*
 process*, hydroly*, thermal-oxidat*, thermooxidat*, structural-alteration, heat-process*,
 defrost*, thawing, "thermal degradation", "thermal decomposition", "thermal analys"*,
 "heat treatment"

- 136 comparator (C): not applicable
- outcome (O): by-product*, degradation, "transformation product"*, neoform*, stability,
 behavio*, metabolit*, residue

139 Initial queries done on the basic search fields "title-abstract-keywords" retrieved an excessive 140 number of publications (e.g., 12 409 publications on Scopus). The subsequent searches were 141 therefore limited to pesticide or "plant protection product" without using broad meaning words 142 (chemical, contaminant, substance, and molecul*). In total, 642 publications were retrieved from Scopus and 1 155 from PubMed. Various filters were applied as summarised in Table 1, resulting in a 143 144 total of 73 publications after removal of duplicates (the list of these publications is available as 145 supplementary material (SM)). Of these, we selected the final 31 publications reported in Table 3 146 (highlighted in the Table S1). This selection was made by retaining papers reporting 147 thermodegradation studies of pesticides and applying an experimental workflow. Most of these 148 papers investigated the removal of active substance from the matrix while cooking it (with in most 149 cases, a processing factor (PF) determination), while a few others investigated the degradation 150 products formed during degradation of the substance of interest. Studies reporting only pesticide 151 analytical methods (without thermal tests), only theoretical degradation (without an experimental workflow), or only degradation pathways other than thermic (such as biodegradation, storage degradation and soil degradation) were not retained. Other data were also considered, mostly from peer-review regulatory documents. The studies were conducted according to OECD guidelines and met the OECD requirements of good laboratory practice. Some quoted publications were also included by snowballing from those initially selected in the review, such as Amvrazi (2011) or Senneca *et al.* (2007).

158

159 3. Thermal degradation of active substances

160 Many previous reports, summarised in various literature reviews (Bajwa et al., 2014; Kaushik et al., 161 2009; Li et al., 2021; Yigit et al., 2020), have investigated the impact of certain household and 162 industrial processing steps such as storing, peeling, washing and cooking on several types of food 163 products. A number of parameters can influence pesticide degradation, such as the presence of salts, 164 pH, temperature and the time of the process (Chauhan et al., 2014). Most of these studies aimed to 165 compare the amount of pesticide present in the food product before and after the process, and to 166 calculate percent degradation or processing factor (PF) (Scholz et al., 2018). PFs are calculated for 167 one specific process applied to one specific food product, according to the European guideline 168 (European Commission, 2007). It is important to follow the key parameters indicated in the guideline 169 (pH, temperature, time, and sample weight) to harmonise published results, facilitate comparison 170 between reported PFs and not induce any bias in data interpretation.

Numerous literature reviews have focused on the effects of cooking food on pesticide residues (*Kaushik et al., 2009; Li et al., 2021; Vagi et al., 2020; Yuan et al., 2021)*. They mainly examined two aspects: (i) residue dissipation (cooking considered to be one of the processing treatments) where processing factors are particularly well investigated, and (ii) overall analytical workflow, including extraction of the substances, clean-up and chemical analysis.

Table 3 shows research projects focusing on the impact of temperature on various pesticides. These publications are those from the literature review that mention thermodegradation studies on pesticides. The table shows the name of the studied compound (further information about these compounds is given in the Table S2), the matrix used in each study, the thermal process, the analytical workflow (such as the sample preparation method), and the analytical instrument. Moreover, it is mentioned whether the process was conducted below or above 120 °C, whether processing factors 182 were calculated, and whether degradation products were investigated. The table is therefore sorted 183 as follows: (i) publications that both reported percent loss of the analysed compounds/processing 184 factors and that investigated degradation products, (ii) publications that reported only percent loss of the analysed compounds/processing factors, and (iii) publications that reported neither percent 185 186 loss nor degradation products. Even though some reported papers also investigated non-thermal 187 degradation processes (e.g., storing, peeling and washing), only the parts of the studies involving the 188 thermal process were retained. Of the 31 studies published after the year 2000, 27 reported results 189 for experiments < 120 °C and 14 reported results for > 120 °C (ten had results for both < 120 °C and 190 > 120 °C).

191 3.1. Temperatures below 120 °C

192 Considering the studies with data on processing below 120 °C (Bai et al., 2021; Boulaid et al., 2005; 193 Chavarri et al., 2005; Duhan et al., 2010; Göckener et al., 2020; Heshmati et al., 2019; Holden et al., 194 2001; Huan et al., 2015; Jankowska et al., 2019; S. W. Kim et al., 2015; Kontou et al., 2004b; Lin et al., 195 2005; Łozowicka & Jankowska, 2016; Medina et al., 2021; Mekonen et al., 2015; Pallavi et al., 2021; 196 Raveendranath et al., 2014; Sakaliene et al., 2009; Shabeer et al., 2015; Shakoori et al., 2018; Shoeibi 197 et al., 2011; Singh et al., 2017; Soliman, 2001; Walia et al., 2010; Watanabe et al., 2018; Yang et al., 198 2012; F. Zhao et al., 2020), even though 23 (85%) reported PFs or degradation percent calculations, 199 only three (11%) (Göckener et al., 2020; Kontou et al., 2004b; Lin et al., 2005) investigated the 200 degradation products of the pesticides of interest. Most of the cooking processes were sterilisation, 201 boiling and pasteurisation, even though other processes such as drying, blanching or various cooking 202 processes were also investigated. Most of the investigated thermal processes involved water.

203

204 3.2. Temperatures above 120 °C

Considering the studies with data on processing above 120 °C (*Chavarri et al., 2005; Göckener et al.,*2020; Göckener et al., 2019; Heshmati et al., 2019; Huan et al., 2015; S. W. Kim et al., 2015; Martin
et al., 2020; Mekonen et al., 2015; Planche et al., 2017; Soliman, 2001; Walia et al., 2010; Witczak,
2009; Yang et al., 2012; F. Zhao et al., 2020), only three (21%) (Göckener et al., 2020; Göckener et al.,
2019; Martin et al., 2020) investigated the degradation products of the pesticides of interest.

210 Other studies also investigated the degradation pathway of various active substances for hydrolytic 211 conditions above 120 °C. The most common processing techniques used were roasting, frying, grilling 212 and microwaving, and all of them were found to impact concentrations of the parent compound in 213 the analysed matrix. The following two mechanisms are expected to affect parent compound 214 concentrations: (i) degradation of the parent compound into its metabolite(s), and (ii) loss of water 215 and organic compounds via volatilisation. It is therefore difficult to predict formed degradation 216 products or to reach general conclusions since this depends for instance on the studied compounds, 217 matrix, process, time of processing, temperature, and water content. Most of these studies 218 calculated PFs taking into account the potential weight loss induced by cooking (mostly water loss).

219

3.3. Comparison between regulatory documents and studies available in the public literature

As previously described, very few research studies have aimed to elucidate the degradation pathway of pesticide residues in samples under thermic treatment. However, comparing results obtained from the public literature to those from regulatory studies (monographs) would be beneficial to improve knowledge about degradation patterns and formation of degradation products.

225 Martin *et al. (2020)* investigated the degradation products of non-radiolabelled chlordecone (CLD) in 226 naturally contaminated beef samples above 120 °C to detect two compounds identified as 5b-hydro-227 CLD and tentatively as mono-hydro-CLD. According to the same publication, these two compounds 228 were already detected in raw liver samples, which does not confirm whether they are degradation 229 products formed only in a biota matrix or both from biota and thermal degradation. The absence of 230 studies investigating the impact of the process in the monograph for CLD does not enable us to 231 confirm the formation of the two detected degradation products following thermal processing.

232 The two studies by Göckener et al. (Göckener et al., 2020; Göckener et al., 2019) investigated the 233 degradation of chlorpropham and prochloraz above 120 °C using radiolabelled compounds. The first 234 study investigated the degradation pathway of chlorpropham in a potato-derived commodity after 235 boiling, frying and baking. Results showed that 3-chloroaniline was produced with increasing storage 236 time, while the three high-temperature processes resulted only in the formation of a small amount 237 of free-chloroaniline. Therefore, the formation of chloroaniline is essentially due to metabolism in 238 the potato tuber during storage and not to degradation during the process. If one relies only on the 239 hydrolysis study performed in the framework of the monograph (Netherlands, 2016), the finding that 240 the amount of 3-chloroaniline in the buffer solution changes proportionally to temperature (90 °C: 241 0.36%, 100 °C: 0.6%, 120 °C: 1.3%) suggests that 3-chloroaniline is formed during the thermal process. 242 Although the same degradation product was detected by Göckener et al. and in the monograph, 243 assessments about its formation differ since the former highlights formation during the storage step, 244 while the latter highlights formation during thermal processing. A different study was conducted on 245 rapeseed oil spiked with radiolabelled prochloraz in a sealed vial. In the monograph (Ireland, 2007, 246 2011), prochloraz was shown to be stable under standard hydrolysis conditions, with a low level of 247 certain degradation products containing 2,4,6-trichlorophenol moiety, such as C449589. However, in 248 the Göckener et al. (2019) study, eleven degradation products were identified. Some of these 249 compounds, such as 2,4,6-trichlorophenol itself or BTS 40348, contain this 2,4,6-trichlorophenol 250 molety. Some other detected degradation products were a combination of prochloraz and other 251 compounds from the oil matrix (fatty acids).

Another study, by Kontou *et al. (2004a)*, investigated the degradation of maneb at different temperatures (50–90 °C) and pH, with the detection of one degradation product called ethylene thiourea (ETU). This product was already identified in the maneb monograph (not published on the EFSA website) as being formed via the effects of pH in maneb hydrolysis. Even though this study was conducted using a non-radiolabelled standard, it enabled the detection of the same degradation product as that from the monograph, obtained with a radiolabelled standard. It also demonstrated that formation of ETU from maneb is pH related.

The last study, already referenced in the cypermethrin monograph (*Belgium, 2017*), by Lin *et al.* (2005), investigated the degradation products that are formed when heating cypermethrin to 110 °C. The two detected products were 3-phenoxybenzaldehyde and dichlorovinyl-dimethylcyclopropane carboxylic acid.

The comparison between regulatory and public literature studies demonstrated that the same degradation products can be observed using both radiolabelled and non-radiolabelled compound, as shown for the degradation of maneb to ETU.

266 Because of the lack of research studies focused on new degradation products formed above 120 °C 267 in buffer solution, clear conclusions cannot be drawn about the possible degradation products that 268 are overlooked by the regulatory studies.

270 3.4. Overall workflow of degradation studies

To perform thermal analysis of active substances, it is important to be aware of the possible behaviours of the compound during each step of the process (thermal degradation, extraction, chemical analysis) and also the impact of data processing on the search for potential by-products and the fate of the pesticide residue. This would mitigate the risks of bias and misinterpretation when drawing conclusions.

3.4.1. Behaviour of the active substance during the thermal procedure

276

277

3.4.1.1. Degradation

The main mechanisms affecting the fate of pesticide residues during storage and food processing are described in various reviews (*Amvrazi, 2011; Yigit et al., 2020*). The mechanisms involved in high temperature processes are the following:

281 In water containing substrate (matrix), hydrolysis is the breaking of chemical bonds in the 282 pesticide compound with the action of water as a nucleophile. At temperatures higher than 283 120 °C, it is assumed that the hydrolysis mechanism does not involve enzymes, as most of 284 them are inactivated at these temperatures. This mechanism is mainly affected by pH and 285 moisture content in the raw agricultural commodity (RAC), as well as by temperature during 286 the process. Highly soluble pesticides are more susceptible to hydrolysis (L. Zhao et al., 2018). 287 In an oxygen-containing atmosphere, oxidation is loss of electrons of the pesticide compound, • 288 which results in the formation of oxide pesticide. This mechanism is mainly affected by UV 289 radiation and temperature. Highly water-soluble pesticides are more susceptible to oxidation 290 (L. Zhao et al., 2018). Oxidation also depends on the complexity of the pesticide molecule 291 (Senneca et al., 2007).

292 3.4.1.2.

3.4.1.2. Volatilisation

The study by Göckener *et al. (2020)* was conducted on potato treated at post-harvest with radiolabelled sprout inhibitor chlorpropham, then stored for up to six months and finally boiled. It showed considerable loss by volatilisation during storage and a significant amount of residue was transferred into boiling water. When the amount of parent compound residue decreases, it leads to uncertainty whether this decrease is due to degradation or volatilisation during heat treatment. It is therefore valuable to analyse in both ways to determine the ratio between degradation and volatilisation. Volatilisation is mainly affected by the equipment used, especially if the system is open

300 or closed, and air humidity. Pesticides with high vapour pressure are more susceptible to 301 volatilisation (*L. Zhao et al., 2018*).

302

3.4.1.3. Reactions with the matrix

The matrix may have significant effects, for example moisture content (*(Göckener et al., 2019)*) or pH (*Kontou et al., 2004a; Lin et al., 2005*). Göckener *et al. (2019)* demonstrated with radiolabelled prochloraz that metabolites may also form through chemical reactions with matrix components in rapeseed oil. This mechanism, thought to involve triglycerides contained in the oil, is more important than the hydrolysis mechanism during heat treatment of rapeseed oil.

Cooking conditions vary considerably depending on the equipment used (oven, pan, grill, or microwave) and parameters such as temperature, time processing, degree of moisture loss, and whether the system is open or closed affect the different mechanisms mentioned above. This review shows how important it is to determine the possible degradation products for the substances of interest since their toxicity may be higher than that of the substance itself. This was observed in Kontou *et al. (2004a)* with the detection of ETU, a substance that is more toxic than maneb parent compound.

315 3.4.2. Extraction

316 There are various types of extraction procedures depending on the analysed matrix. The main 317 extraction methods were developed to extract active substances from complex matrices, such as 318 fruits and vegetables (Gautam et al., 2017; Wang et al., 2018), livestock meat (Hrynko et al., 2021; 319 Saint-Hilaire et al., 2018), soil (Chatterjee et al., 2013) and water (Ahmed, 2001; Farajzadeh et al., 320 2016). The main extraction method to analyse pesticides from food products is called QuEChERS 321 (Quick, Easy, Cheap, Efficient, Rugged and Safe) (González-Curbelo et al., 2015) and is widely used to 322 extract compounds within a wide polarity range. Moreover, even though other extraction methods 323 are not labelled as QuEChERS, most of them also use solid liquid extraction with a mix of water and 324 organic solvents, adding certain salts for demixion and thus improving extraction efficiency. Other 325 methods can also be used such as accelerated solvent extraction (ASE) (Planche et al., 2017), Envi-326 Carb extraction (Holden et al., 2001) or Soxhlet extraction (Witczak, 2009). During the extraction 327 steps, it is important to consider intermediary steps such as vortexing, sonication or centrifugation 328 since they can affect the extraction yield and the degradation of the analysed pesticides, mainly 329 during the sonication step (Yuan et al., 2021). Following extraction, clean-up is carried out. This step 330 aims to remove the matrix with optimum recovery of the compounds of interest. The main clean-up 331 methods for pesticide extraction are dispersive-solid phase extraction (d-SPE, used following 332 QuEChERS extraction) and gel permeation chromatography (GPC) (Chavarri et al., 2005; Planche et al., 2017; Watanabe et al., 2018), but other methods such as solid phase microextraction (SPME) 333 (Medina et al., 2021) and matrix solid phase dispersion (MSPD) (Jankowska et al., 2019; Łozowicka, 334 335 Jankowska, et al., 2016) are also used. Following the technical guideline on the evaluation of the 336 extraction efficiency of residue analytical methods (SANTE/2017/10632 Rev.4) (European 337 Commission, 2022) for pesticide residues in RACs (or processed commodities derived from RACs), 338 sufficient extraction efficiency should have been demonstrated on the matrix group to which the RAC 339 belongs beforehand, with a radiolabelled study.

Both radiolabelled and non-radiolabelled studies show that the pesticide residue is expected to vary depending on the type of matrix (RAC, homogenised RAC or buffer solution). This also demonstrates whether the residue formed is due to treatment before harvest, post-harvest or during fortification. The extraction in fortified buffer solution is expected to be easier than a fortified RAC due to the complexity of the matrix. Extraction in RAC treated post-harvest is expected to be easier than in RAC treated at the field level due to further metabolism in the plant. Appropriate extraction and clean-up methods need to be applied to prevent bias in the analysis and to provide accurate results.

347 3.4.3. Chemical analysis

348 The two main techniques used to separate compounds are liquid chromatography (LC) (Chawla et 349 al., 2016) and gas chromatography (GC) (Karasek et al., 2012), both of which are now mainly coupled 350 with mass spectrometry (MS): low-resolution instruments (LR; triple quadrupole (QQQ) or 351 quadrupole ion-trap (QTRAP)) or high-resolution instruments (HR; Q-Exactive or quadrupole time-of-352 flight (QToF)). Other detectors such as electron capture detectors (ECDs), nitrogen-phosphorous 353 detectors (NPDs), ultraviolet (UV) and flame photometric detectors (FPDs) were also used based on reported publications, all with the aim of detecting compounds based on a specific target compound 354 355 property or specific atoms (e.g., ECDs are suitable for the detection of halogenated compounds).

356 3.4.4. Data processing

Various data processing methods can be used depending on the availability of the standard as well
as the list of targeted compounds. These methods are called target, suspect screening and non-target
analysis and are further described in paragraph 4.

360 3.4.5. Comparison between radiolabelled and non-radiolabelled analysis

361 When the aim is to market a new active substance in Europe, thermodegradation studies to identify 362 degradation products are carried out with isotopic labelled standards. These studies are considered 363 to be more accurate since radiolabelled compounds are not present in the environment, and there 364 is as a result no possibility of cross-contamination from the environment. Moreover, radioactivity 365 analysis is specific to the analysed compound and thereby increases the sensitivity of detection of 366 the active substance. Since only one signal should be observed for the analysed compound, the 367 detection of any other signal when increasing the temperature would correspond to a degradation 368 product. In studies using non-radiolabelled compounds, various signals do not correspond to the 369 analysed molecule (such as matrix or noise), and it is therefore more difficult to extract the signal of 370 the active substance as well as unknown degradation products from the overall dataset. 371 Radiolabelled standards have several benefits, but they are expensive to buy or to synthesize and 372 radioactive compounds can only be handled in containment conditions with specific accreditations. 373 For these reasons, ¹⁴C standards are rarely used when research laboratories intend to carry out 374 degradation studies.

375 Overall analytical workflows between radiolabelled and non-radiolabelled studies are relatively 376 similar, but certain differences are observed for each step. In radiolabelled studies carried out on 377 RACs, total residual radioactivity (TRR) can be divided into two main fractions: (i) the extractable 378 radiolabelled residue on which identification or characterisation of the residue is possible, and (ii) 379 non-extractable residue when the pesticide has been extensively degraded into numerous low level 380 metabolites or is associated with biomolecules via incorporation, physiochemical tight-binding or 381 physical encapsulation. Comparing the TRR of the non-extractable fraction and that of the extractable 382 fraction makes it possible to calculate the recovery of the extraction procedure. In non-radiolabelled 383 studies, unextractable fraction and recovery percentages can be determined when the standard is 384 commercialised, which is the case for active substances. This can be done by comparing the intensity 385 of the extracted compound with that of the non-extracted standard and by calculating a recovery 386 percentage. When the standard is not accessible (most often for detected degradation products), it 387 is not possible to accurately calculate the unextractable fraction of these compounds. It is solely 388 possible to approximate it using the standard of the active substance from which the degradation 389 product formed.

The difference in the thermal process is that according to OECD Technical Guideline 507 (radiolabelled study for monographs), the buffer solution fortified with radiolabelled pesticide is contained in a sealed vessel. In this closed system design, loss of buffer solution through volatilisation is very limited. In non-radiolabelled studies, processes most often occur in open systems, and the evaporated fraction should therefore also be analysed.

Lastly, the analytical techniques are almost identical for both radiolabelled and non-radiolabelled studies, except that some radioactivity detectors should be coupled with the analytical instrument to analyse radioactive compounds. Various conclusions can be drawn depending on the observations for the analysed compounds, as summarized in Table 2. When conducting a non-radiolabelled study, data processing tools are important to extract information about the compound of interest and its degradation products while saving time.

401

402 4. Towards the identification of pesticide degradation products

In the literature, heating processes led to decreased concentrations of the overall pesticide content
in food in most studies. However, as already mentioned, a small percentage of reported publications
in this review aimed at elucidating the degradation pathway of the pesticide compound. To serve this
purpose, several methods other than the radiolabelled method may be used and are listed below.

For each tool, it is assumed that degradation products and parent structures and formulae are closely related. If the formula and the structure of the detected compound differ too much from the studied pesticide, then it can be concluded that this compound is most likely an artefact and not a degradation product of the target compound (*García-Reyes et al., 2007*).

411 4.1. Target analysis

Even though target analysis on the pesticide compound is not meant to elucidate the degradation pathway, one can predict the degradation kinetics of the active substance over time and temperature by monitoring pesticide concentrations at various temperatures and time parameters. A decrease would be indicative of either degradation or volatilisation of the pesticide, while a constant concentration would demonstrate stability of the analysed substance.

417 4.2. Suspect analysis

418 Target analysis is often limited to analysis of the pesticide residue in the residue definition(s) set in 419 the thermodegradation framework of the monograph. Another way of exploring new transformation 420 products during the process, without using radiolabelled compounds, could be to expand screening 421 to the metabolites identified in sections other than the residue section of the monograph (e.g., 422 absorption, distribution and excretion in mammals, and fate and behaviour in the environment). 423 Building this type of suspect list could help to focus the analysis on metabolites that are likely to be 424 formed given that they were already identified in other studies, even though not related to thermal 425 processes.

426 4.3. Non-target analysis

427 Non-target analysis aims at detecting compounds of interest without having prior knowledge of their 428 formula and structure. It aims at detecting specific mass values in the mass spectra that have a 429 correlation with the studied active substance with a different retention time.

430 4.3.1. Fold change value

431 In thermal degradation studies, intensities of the studied compound and its degradation products 432 are monitored over a range of temperatures. When increasing temperature, the intensity of the 433 studied compound is expected to decrease in favour of an increase in the intensity of its degradation 434 products. One mathematical tool, called fold change value, is used to calculate the ratio between a final value and an initial value for a studied feature. This tool can be used for intensity/height of 435 436 chromatographic peaks to detect the features that have the highest fold change, which means the 437 highest difference between low and high temperature processes. The features that have the highest 438 fold change values should correspond either to the active substance (decreasing value over 439 temperature) or to its degradation products (increasing value over temperature). Following this 440 interpretation step, further identification of degradation products as well as the kinetics study for 441 the formation of degradation products over time/temperature need to be performed. This tool is 442 recommended for use in pure compound analysis to facilitate detection of the degradation products 443 related to the analysed compound, without also considering the degradation of the matrix with the 444 temperature.

445 4.3.2. Fragmentation of the active substance

446 Because of the structural similarity between the pesticide and its degradation products, similarities 447 in their mass spectra can also be observed (Thurman et al., 2005). This principle was also 448 demonstrated by García-Reyes et al. (2007) who analysed the similarities between the ions detected 449 in the mass spectra of two pesticides (amitraz and malathion) and those of their degradation 450 products formed in food. This "fragmentation-degradation" relationship could also be applied to 451 thermal processing to see whether the degradation products observed after heating the pesticide 452 could be predicted from the fragmentation of the compound in the analytical instrument. 453 Investigation can thereafter be performed following the detection of specific masses to confirm the 454 structure of the detected compound and the possibility of it being a degradation product of the 455 studied pesticide.

456 Similarities between the mass spectrum of the active substance and its degradation products can 457 also be observed through molecular network analysis. This tool is used in various fields and enables 458 researchers to create connections between variables that are correlated in peak height/peak 459 area/concentration. In analytical chemistry, it is mainly used in metabolomics to study the effect of 460 a variable (e.g., specific disease, drug intake, diet, or smoking) in the up/down regulation of specific 461 biological functions in the body. This tool could also be used to observe the correlation between 462 decreasing concentrations of the pesticide and increasing concentrations of its degradation products 463 following thermal processing. This correlation will be highlighted in the network by forming one 464 group containing both the analysed substance and its degradation products. This correlation would 465 make degradation products easier to detect in further identification steps.

466 4.3.3. Isotopic pattern recognition

Another way to identify degradation products is to use isotopic pattern recognition. This can be conducted mainly to search for molecules with halogens (chlorine or bromine atoms) since both of them have two natural isomers with high abundance (³⁵Cl 75% / ³⁷Cl 25%, ⁷⁹Br 50% / ⁸¹Br 50%). It is therefore possible to identify the number of chlorine or bromine atoms in a molecule by investigating the isotopic pattern of the molecule *(Wellington Laboratories, 2012)*. The degradation pathway of halogenated compounds may lead to degradation products also containing halogens, easily detectable due to their specific isotopic pattern, which also makes their identification easier.

The detection and identification of certain degradation products formed above 120 °C could
therefore be carried out using one tool or a combination of several presented tools.

476 **5.** Conclusion

477 According to Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 and more specifically article 6.5 Regulation (EU) No 478 283/2013, hydrolysis and processing studies are required to elucidate the degradation pathway of a 479 pesticide, and to characterise and quantify breakdown products in processed foods (for further risk 480 assessment). Conducted according to OECD Test Guidelines 507 and 508, these regulatory studies 481 may not cover certain common household processes, such as microwave oven cooking, frying or 482 conventional oven heating > 120 °C. By reviewing results published in academic literature and those from regulatory studies, this work aimed to assess to what extent certain processes may be 483 484 overlooked.

It was determined that very few studies (six) are actually dedicated to the effects of cooking processes on the formation of degradation products from a pesticide, within both the academic and regulatory framework. Most of the academic studies published on the effects of cooking on pesticide residues are in fact limited to measuring the efficacy of food preparation processes through the processing factor (PF), while regulatory studies above 120 °C are very rare as they are not mandatory for placing a new active substance on the market in the European Union.

491 In most cases, heating the food commodities was found to contribute strongly to a decrease in the 492 overall pesticide content. However, a few studies showed that new degradation products can be 493 formed when increasing the temperature above 120 °C, mostly due to interactions between the 494 active substance and the matrix. Because of the lack of public studies for temperatures above 120 °C 495 in buffer solution, no conclusions could be drawn about potential gaps in regulatory pesticide risk 496 assessment. The very low number of public studies makes it impossible to develop principles helping 497 to define priorities for future studies or regulatory rules. It shows the crucial need to develop 498 knowledge on the fate of pesticides in food subjected to various cooking processes, such as the 499 microwave oven, conventional oven, pan or frying. The main processes and factors driving this fate 500 still need to be clearly described, in connection with the chemical properties of the pesticide. The 501 role of matrices, including buffer solution, should also be explored since they are practically 502 unknown. This clarification is a prerequisite step to understand whether there is a need for the 503 regulatory framework to be updated. In that way, as illustrated by the great diversity of studies 504 reviewed here, two recent significant changes are likely to ease this need for scientific studies in the near future. 505

The first change is the remarkably fast development of analytical techniques (liquid chromatography,
 gas chromatography and high-resolution mass spectrometry) that allow for the characterisation and

quantification of breakdown products without using radiolabelled compounds. This would enable a significantly higher number of laboratories to carry out research on this topic. We also found that future studies should develop a comprehensive workflow (sample preparation, chemical analysis and data processing) for the identification of degradation products without using an isotopic labelled standard.

513 The second change concerns the broad opening of access to scientific data supporting decision-514 making for the registration of pesticide products, which appears to be a remarkable outcome of the 515 application of EU transparency regulations this past decade (European Food Safety Authority (EFSA), 516 2021). In this framework, the main key data are becoming more and more accessible, through the 517 publication of EFSA scientific opinions, conclusions of the peer review of the pesticide risk assessment 518 and assessment reports. Still largely underutilised, this corpus of information could be seen as a 519 precious source of experimental data sets produced according to high standards of quality, which 520 could be very useful in developing knowledge on the fate of pesticide residues in food under various 521 cooking processes.

522 Funding:

523 This project was funded and supported by ANSES (PPV project "Phytocuisson", No. 2020-CRD-05-

524 PPV20) via the tax on sales of plant protection products. The proceeds of this tax are assigned to

525 ANSES to finance the establishment of the system to monitor the adverse effects of plant protection

- 526 products, called "phytopharmacovigilance" (PPV). The project benefited from the work carried out
- 527 in the framework of the ANR JCJC AlimOmic (ANR-19-CE21-0002) and from a part of the funds.

528

529 **REFERENCES**

- Ahmed, F. E. (2001). Analyses of pesticides and their metabolites in foods and drinks. *Trends Analyt Chem*,
 20(11), 649-661, 10.1016/S0165-9936(01)00121-2.
- Amvrazi, E. G. (2011). Fate of pesticide residues on raw agricultural crops after postharvest storage and food
 processing to edible portions. In *Pesticides-formulations, effects, fate*): IntechOpen.
- Bai, A., Liu, S., Chen, A., Chen, W., Luo, X., Liu, Y., & Zhang, D. (2021). Residue changes and processing factors
 of eighteen field-applied pesticides during the production of Chinese Baijiu from rice. *Food Chem.*,
 359, 10.1016/j.foodchem.2021.129983.
- Bajwa, U., & Sandhu, K. S. (2014). Effect of handling and processing on pesticide residues in food- A review.
 Int. J. Food Sci. Technol., 51(2), 201-220, 10.1007/s13197-011-0499-5.
- Belgium. (2017). Draft Renewal Assessment Report (DRAR) on the active substance Cypermethrin prepared by
 the Rapporteur Member State Belgium and the co-Rapporteur Member State Germany according to
 Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, <u>https://www.efsa.europa.eu/en/consultations/call/170809</u>, 2022-06 13.

- Boulaid, M., Aguilera, A., Camacho, F., Soussi, M., & Valverde, A. (2005). Effect of household processing and
 unit-to-unit variability of pyrifenox, pyridaben, and tralomethrin residues in tomatoes. J. Agric. Food
 Chem., 53(10), 4054-4058, 10.1021/jf040455y.
- 546 Chatterjee, N. S., & Gupta, S. (2013). Persistence of metaflumizone on cabbage (Brassica oleracea Linne) and
 547 soil, and its risk assessment. *Environ. Monit. Assess., 185*(7), 6201-6208, 10.1007/s10661-012-3017-y.
- Chauhan, R., Kumari, B., & Rana, M. K. (2014). Effect of fruit and vegetable processing on reduction of synthetic
 pyrethroid residues. *Rev Environ Contam Toxicol, 229*, 89-110, 10.1007/978-3-319-03777-6_5.
- Chavarri, M. J., Herrera, A., & Ariño, A. (2005). The decrease in pesticides in fruit and vegetables during
 commercial processing. *Int. J. Food Sci. Technol., 40*(2), 205-211, 10.1111/j.1365-2621.2004.00932.x.
- 552 Chawla, G., & Ranjan, C. (2016). Principle, instrumentation, and applications of UPLC: A novel technique of 553 liquid chromatography. *Open Chem., 3*(1), 10.2174/1874842201603010001.
- Duhan, A., Kumari, B., & Gulati, R. (2010). Effect of household processing on fenazaquin residues in okra fruits.
 Bull Environ Contam Toxicol, 84(2), 217-220, 10.1007/s00128-009-9863-9.
- 556
 European Commission. (2007). OECD GUIDELINE FOR THE TESTING OF CHEMICALS, Nature of the Pesticide

 557
 Residues in Processed Commodities High Temperature Hydrolysis, https://www.oecd-ilibrary.org/docserver/9789264067431-

 558
 ilibrary.org/docserver/9789264067431
- 559
 en.pdf?expires=1643726221&id=id&accname=quest&checksum=F63E054F11DF1A43831D0199CEED

 560
 EB00, 2022-02-01.
- European Commission. (2022). Technical Guideline on the Evaluation of Extraction Efficiency of Residue
 Analytical Methods, <u>https://food.ec.europa.eu/system/files/2022-</u>
 02/pesticides mrl guidelines wrkdoc 2017-10632.pdf, 2022-09-09.
- 564 European Food Safety Authority (EFSA). (2021). Chemical Hazards Database (OpenFoodTox), 565 <u>https://www.efsa.europa.eu/en/data-report/chemical-hazards-database-openfoodtox</u>, 2022-06-01.
- Farajzadeh, M. A., Asghari, A., & Feriduni, B. (2016). An efficient, rapid and microwave-accelerated dispersive
 liquid-liquid microextraction method for extraction and pre-concentration of some organophosphorus
 pesticide residues from aqueous samples. *J Food Compost Anal, 48*, 73-80, 10.1016/j.jfca.2016.02.007.
- García-Reyes, J. F., Molina-Díaz, A., & Fernández-Alba, A. R. (2007). Identification of pesticide transformation
 products in food by liquid chromatography/time-of-flight mass spectrometry via "fragmentation degradation" relationships. *Anal. Chem.*, *79*(1), 307-321, 10.1021/ac061402d.
- Gautam, M., Etzerodt, T., & Fomsgaard, I. S. (2017). Quantification of azoxystrobin and identification of two
 novel metabolites in lettuce via liquid chromatography–quadrupole-linear ion trap (QTRAP) mass
 spectrometry. Int J Environ Anal Chem, 97(5), 419-430, 10.1080/03067319.2017.1318867.
- Germany. (2018). Renewal Assessment Report (RAR) on the active substance Pyraclostrobin prepared by the
 rapporteur Member State Germany in the framework of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009,
 <u>https://www.efsa.europa.eu/en/consultations/call/180710</u>, 2022-06-13.
- Göckener, B., Kotthoff, M., Kling, H.-W., & Bücking, M. (2020). Fate of chlorpropham during high-temperature
 processing of potatoes. J. Agric. Food Chem., 68(8), 2578-2587, 10.1021/acs.jafc.9b06386.
- 580 Göckener, B., Kotthoff, M., Kling, H., & Bücking, M. (2019). Processing induced degradation routes of 581 prochloraz in rapeseed oil. *J. Agric. Food Chem., 67*(44), 12293-12302, 10.1021/acs.jafc.9b03518.
- González-Curbelo, M., Socas-Rodríguez, B., Herrera-Herrera, A., González-Sálamo, J., Hernández-Borges, J., &
 Rodríguez-Delgado, M. (2015). Evolution and applications of the QuEChERS method. *Trends Analyt Chem, 71*, 169-185, 10.1016/j.trac.2015.04.012.
- Heshmati, A., Hamidi, M., & Nili-Ahmadabadi, A. (2019). Effect of storage, washing, and cooking on the stability
 of five pesticides in edible fungi of Agaricus bisporus: A degradation kinetic study. *Food Sci. Nutr.*,
 7(12), 3993-4000, 10.1002/fsn3.1261.
- Holden, A. J., Chen, L., & Shaw, I. C. (2001). Thermal stability of organophosphorus pesticide triazophos and
 its relevance in the assessment of risk to the consumer of triazophos residues in food. J. Agric. Food
 Chem., 49(1), 103-106, 10.1021/jf0002589.
- Hrynko, I., Kaczyński, P., & Łozowicka, B. (2021). A global study of pesticides in bees: QuEChERS as a sample
 preparation methodology for their analysis–Critical review and perspective. *Sci. Total Environ.*,
 148385, 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.148385.

- Huan, Z., Xu, Z., Jiang, W., Chen, Z., & Luo, J. (2015). Effect of Chinese traditional cooking on eight pesticides
 residue during cowpea processing. *Food Chem.*, *170*, 118-122, 10.1016/j.foodchem.2014.08.052.
- 596 Ireland. (2007). Draft Assessment Report (DAR). Initial risk assessment provided by the rapporteur Member 597 State. Ireland for the existing active substance Prochloraz of the third stage (part B) of the review 598 programme referred to in Article 8(2) of Council Directive 91/414/EEC., 599 https://www.efsa.europa.eu/en/consultations/call/public-consultation-active-substance-prochloraz, 600 2022-06-13.
- 601Ireland. (2011). Renewal Assessment Report (RAR) on the active substance Prochloraz prepared by the602rapporteur Member State Ireland. Programme for Inclusion of Active Substances in Annex 1 to Council603Directive 91/414/EEC. Addendum to the Draft Report and Proposed Decision Rapporteur Member604State's summary, evaluation and assessment of the additional data and information.,605<u>https://www.efsa.europa.eu/en/consultations/call/public-consultation-active-substance-prochloraz-</u>6060.
- Jankowska, M., Łozowicka, B., & Kaczyński, P. (2019). Comprehensive toxicological study over 160 processing
 factors of pesticides in selected fruit and vegetables after water, mechanical and thermal processing
 treatments and their application to human health risk assessment. *Sci. Total Environ., 652*, 1156-1167,
 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.10.324.
- 611 Karasek, F. W., & Clement, R. E. (2012). *Basic gas chromatography-mass spectrometry: principles and* 612 *techniques*: Elsevier.
- Kaushik, G., Satya, S., & Naik, S. N. (2009). Food processing a tool to pesticide residue dissipation A review.
 Food Res. Int., 42(1), 26-40, 10.1016/j.foodres.2008.09.009.
- Kim, K. H., Kabir, E., & Jahan, S. A. (2017). Exposure to pesticides and the associated human health effects. *Sci. Total Environ., 575*, 525-535, 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.09.009.
- Kim, S. W., Abd El-Aty, A. M., Rahman, M., Choi, J. H., Lee, Y. J., Ko, A. Y., Choi, O. J., Jung, H. N., Hacimüftüoglu,
 A., & Shim, J. H. (2015). The effect of household processing on the decline pattern of dimethomorph
 in pepper fruits and leaves. *Food Control, 50*, 118-124, 10.1016/j.foodcont.2014.08.023.
- Kontou, S., Tsipi, D., & Tzia, C. (2004a). Kinetics of Maneb Degradation during Thermal Treatment of Tomatoes.
 J. Agric. Food Chem., 52(5), 1212-1219, 10.1021/jf030539r.
- Kontou, S., Tsipi, D., & Tzia, C. (2004b). Stability of the dithiocarbamate pesticide maneb in tomato
 homogenates during cold storage and thermal processing. *Food Addit Contam, 21*(11), 1083-1089,
 10.1080/02652030400019372.
- Li, C., Zhu, H., Li, C., Qian, H., Yao, W., & Guo, Y. (2021). The present situation of pesticide residues in China
 and their removal and transformation during food processing. *Food Chem., 354*,
 10.1016/j.foodchem.2021.129552.
- Lin, H. M., Gerrard, J. A., & Shaw, I. C. (2005). Stability of the insecticide cypermethrin during tomato
 processing and implications for endocrine activity. *Food Addit Contam, 22*(1), 15-22,
 10.1080/02652030400027938.
- Łozowicka, B., Jankowska, M., Hrynko, I., & Kaczynski, P. (2016). Removal of 16 pesticide residues from
 strawberries by washing with tap and ozone water, ultrasonic cleaning and boiling. *Environ. Monit. Assess., 188*(1), 1-19, 10.1007/s10661-015-4850-6.
- Martin, D., Lobo, F., Lavison-Bompard, G., Guérin, T., & Parinet, J. (2020). Effect of home cooking processes on
 chlordecone content in beef and investigation of its by-products and metabolites by HPLC-HRMS/MS.
 Environ Int, 144, 10.1016/j.envint.2020.106077.
- Medina, M. B., Munitz, M. S., & Resnik, S. L. (2021). Effect of household rice cooking on pesticide residues.
 Food Chem., 342, 10.1016/j.foodchem.2020.128311.
- Mekonen, S., Ambelu, A., & Spanoghe, P. (2015). Effect of Household Coffee Processing on Pesticide Residues
 as a Means of Ensuring Consumers' Safety. J. Agric. Food Chem., 63(38), 8568-8573,
 10.1021/acs.jafc.5b03327.

- Netherlands. (2016). Renewal Assessment Report (RAR) on the active substance Chlorpropham prepared by
 the rapporteur Member State Netherlands in the framework of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009. ,
 https://www.efsa.europa.eu/en/consultations/call/160622, 2022-06-13.
- 647 OCDE. (2007a). Test No. 501: Metabolism in Crops, doi: https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264061835-en.
- 648 OCDE. (2007b). Test No. 503: Metabolism in Livestock, doi: https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264061873-en.
- 649 OCDE. (2007c). Test No. 507: Nature of the Pesticide Residues in Processed Commodities High Temperature
 650 Hydrolysis, doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264067431-en</u>.
- Pallavi, M. S., Harischandra Naik, R., Ratnamma, Nidoni, U., Bheemanna, M., & Pramesh, D. (2021).
 Simultaneous determination, dissipation and decontamination of fungicides applied on cabbage using
 LC-MS/MS. *Food Chem., 355*, 10.1016/j.foodchem.2021.129523.
- Planche, C., Ratel, J., Blinet, P., Mercier, F., Angénieux, M., Chafey, C., Zinck, J., Marchond, N., Chevolleau, S.,
 Marchand, P., Dervilly-Pinel, G., Guérin, T., Debrauwer, L., & Engel, E. (2017). Effects of pan cooking
 on micropollutants in meat. *Food Chem.*, 232, 395-404, 10.1016/j.foodchem.2017.03.049.
- Raveendranath, D., Sri Rama Murthy, K., Vijayalakshmi, B., Harinatha Reddy, A., & Ramesh, B. (2014). Residual
 behavior of chlorpyriphos on cultivated gherkin and its removal using various household risk
 mitigation methods. *Res. J. Pharm. Biol. Chem. Sci., 5*(6), 906-911.
- Saint-Hilaire, M., Inthavong, C., Bertin, T., Lavison-Bompard, G., Guérin, T., Fournier, A., Feidt, C., Rychen, G.,
 & Parinet, J. (2018). Development and validation of an HPLC-MS/MS method with QuEChERS
 extraction using isotopic dilution to simultaneously analyze chlordecone and chlordecol in animal
 livers. *Food Chem.*, 252, 147-153, 10.1016/j.foodchem.2018.01.092.
- Sakaliene, O., Koskinen, W. C., Blazauskiene, G., & Petroviene, I. (2009). Level and fate of chlorpropham in
 potatoes during storage and processing. *J. Environ. Sci. Health B, 44*(1), 1-6,
 10.1080/03601230802519470.
- Scholz, R., van Donkersgoed, G., Herrmann, M., Kittelmann, A., von Schledorn, M., Graven, C., Mahieu, K., van
 der Velde-Koerts, T., Anagnostopoulos, C., Bempelou, E., & Michalski, B. (2018). European database
 of processing factors for pesticides. In *EFSA supporting publication*, vol. 15 (pp. 10.2903).
- Senneca, O., Scherillo, F., & Nunziata, A. (2007). Thermal degradation of pesticides under oxidative conditions.
 J. Anal. Appl. Pyrolysis, 80(1), 61-76, 10.1016/j.jaap.2007.01.002.
- Shabeer, T. A., Banerjee, K., Jadhav, M., Girame, R., Utture, S., Hingmire, S., & Oulkar, D. (2015). Residue
 dissipation and processing factor for dimethomorph, famoxadone and cymoxanil during raisin
 preparation. *Food Chem.*, *170*, 180-185, 10.1016/j.foodchem.2014.08.008.
- Shakoori, A., Yazdanpanah, H., Kobarfard, F., Shojaee, M. H., & Salamzadeh, J. (2018). The effects of house
 cooking process on residue concentrations of 41 multi-class pesticides in rice. *Iran J Pharm Res*, *17*(2),
 571-584.
- Shoeibi, S., Amirahmadi, M., Yazdanpanah, H., Pirali-Hamedani, M., Pakzad, S. R., & Kobarfard, F. (2011). Effect
 of cooking process on the residues of three carbamate pesticides in rice. *Iran J Pharm Res, 10*(1), 119126.
- Singh, S., & Nelapati, K. (2017). Effect of food processing on degradation of hexachlorocyclohexane and its
 isomers in milk. *Vet World*, 10(3), 270-275, 10.14202/vetworld.2017.270-275.
- Soliman, K. M. (2001). Changes in concentration of pesticide residues in potatoes during washing and home
 preparation. *Food Chem. Toxicol., 39*(8), 887-891, 10.1016/S0278-6915(00)00177-0.
- Thurman, E. M., Ferrer, I., Zweigenbaum, J. A., García-Reyes, J. F., Woodman, M., & Fernández-Alba, A. R.
 (2005). Discovering metabolites of post-harvest fungicides in citrus with liquid chromatography/timeof-flight mass spectrometry and ion trap tandem mass spectrometry. *J. Chromatogr. A, 1082* (1 SPEC.
 ISS.), 71-80, 10.1016/j.chroma.2005.03.042.
- Vagi, M. C., & Petsas, A. S. (2020). Recent advances on the removal of priority organochlorine and organophosphorus biorecalcitrant pesticides defined by Directive 2013/39/EU from environmental matrices by using advanced oxidation processes: An overview (2007-2018). *J. Environ. Chem. Eng.*, 8(1), 10.1016/j.jece.2019.102940.
- Walia, S., Boora, P., & Kumari, B. (2010). Effect of processing on dislodging of cypermethrin residues on brinjal.
 Bull Environ Contam Toxicol, 84(4), 465-468, 10.1007/s00128-010-9952-9.

- Wang, K., Xie, X., Zhang, Y., Huang, Y., Zhou, S., Zhang, W., Lin, Y., & Fan, H. (2018). Combination of microwave assisted extraction and ultrasonic-assisted dispersive liquid-liquid microextraction for separation and
 enrichment of pyrethroids residues in Litchi fruit prior to HPLC determination. *Food Chem., 240*, 1233 1242, 10.1016/j.foodchem.2017.08.061.
- Watanabe, M., Ueyama, J., Ueno, E., Ueda, Y., Oda, M., Umemura, Y., Tanahashi, T., Ikai, Y., & Saito, I. (2018).
 Effects of processing and cooking on the reduction of dinotefuran concentration in Japanese rice
 samples. *Food Addit Contam*, *35*(7), 1316-1323, 10.1080/19440049.2018.1451659.
- 702WellingtonLaboratories.(2012).ReferenceandHandlingguide,https://well-703labs.com/docs/gcms reference handling guide.pdf2021-10-01.
- Witczak, A. (2009). Effect of heat treatment on organochlorine pesticide residues in selected fish species.
 Polish J. Food Nutr. Sci., 59(3), 231-235.
- Yang, A., Park, J. H., Abd El-Aty, A. M., Choi, J. H., Oh, J. H., Do, J. A., Kwon, K., Shim, K. H., Choi, O. J., & Shim,
 J. H. (2012). Synergistic effect of washing and cooking on the removal of multi-classes of pesticides
 from various food samples. *Food Control, 28*(1), 99-105, 10.1016/j.foodcont.2012.04.018.
- Yigit, N., & Velioglu, Y. S. (2020). Effects of processing and storage on pesticide residues in foods. *Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr, 60*(21), 3622-3641, 10.1080/10408398.2019.1702501.
- Yuan, S., Li, C., Zhang, Y., Yu, H., Xie, Y., Guo, Y., & Yao, W. (2021). Ultrasound as an emerging technology for
 the elimination of chemical contaminants in food: A review. *Trends Food Sci Technol, 109*, 374-385,
 10.1016/j.tifs.2021.01.048.
- Zhao, F., & Liu, J. (2020). Effects of the cooking modes on commonly used pesticides residue in vegetables and
 their chronic dietary exposure risk in South China. *Food Addit Contam*, *37*(1), 121-130,
 10.1080/19440049.2019.1681594.
- Zhao, L., Liu, F., Ge, J., Ma, L., Wu, L., & Xue, X. (2018). Changes in eleven pesticide residues in jujube (Ziziphus jujuba Mill.) during drying processing. *Dry. Technol., 36*(8), 965-972.