



HAL
open science

Aeromonas: the multifaceted middleman in the One Health world

Brigitte Lamy, Sandrine Baron, Olivier Barraud

► **To cite this version:**

Brigitte Lamy, Sandrine Baron, Olivier Barraud. Aeromonas: the multifaceted middleman in the One Health world. *Current Opinion in Microbiology*, 2022, 65, pp.24-32. 10.1016/j.mib.2021.09.012 . anses-03798758

HAL Id: anses-03798758

<https://anses.hal.science/anses-03798758v1>

Submitted on 5 Jan 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Copyright

1 ***Aeromonas*: the multifaceted middleman in the *One Health* world**

2

3 **Brigitte LAMY^{1,2,3}, Sandrine BARON⁴ and Olivier BARRAUD^{5,*}**

4

5 ¹ Université de Nice Côte d'Azur, INSERM, C3M, Nice, France

6 ² Laboratoire de Bactériologie, CHU de Nice, Nice, France

7 ³ Centre for molecular bacteriology and infection, Imperial College of London, London,
8 United-Kingdom

9 ⁴ Agence nationale de sécurité sanitaire de l'alimentation, de l'environnement et du travail,
10 Laboratoire de Ploufragan-Plouzané-Niort, Unité Mycoplasmologie-Bactériologie et
11 Antibiorésistance, Ploufragan, France

12 ⁵ Université de Limoges, INSERM, CHU Limoges, RESINFIT, U1092, Limoges, France

13 *Corresponding author. Tel: +33-5-55-05-61-65; Fax: +33-5-55-05-67-22; E-mail:

14 olivier.barraud@unilim.fr

15

16

17 **Highlights**

18

19 - *Aeromonas* is an ubiquitous hydrotelluric bacterium

20 - *Aeromonas* is at the interface between humans, animals and the environment

21 - *Aeromonas* can be responsible for human infections following leech therapy

22 - *Aeromonas* has a high level of genome plasticity and capability of acquiring genes

23 - *Aeromonas* contributes to antimicrobial resistance gene dissemination

24

25

26 **Abstract**

27 *Aeromonas* is at the interface of all the *One Health* components and represents an amazingly
28 sound test case in the *One Health* approach, from economic loss in aquaculture to challenges
29 related to antibiotic-resistant bacteria selected from the environment. In human health,
30 infections following leech therapy is an outstanding example of such *One Health* challenges.
31 *Aeromonads* are not only ubiquitous environmental bacteria, able to rapidly colonize and
32 cause opportunistic infections in humans and animals, they are also capable of promoting
33 interactions and gene exchanges between the *One Health* components. This makes this genus
34 a key amplifier of genetic transfer, especially of antibiotic resistance genes.

35

36 ***Aeromonas* in the *One Health* world: a ubiquitous “Jack of all trades”**

37 The genus *Aeromonas* belongs to the Aeromonadaceae family, which itself is part of the
38 Aeromonadales order and Gammaproteobacteria class [1]. This genus, which currently
39 comprises 36 species (<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Taxonomy/Browser/wwwtax.cgi>), is
40 organized in a complex of species, *i.e.*, heterogeneous groups of closely-related species with
41 unclear boundaries [2], as observed for other Gram-negative bacteria like *Vibrio*,
42 *Acinetobacter*, *Pseudomonas*, *Burkholderia*, and several Enterobacterales [3]. From this
43 complex of species, some more specialized clones may emerge [4]. Of these, the complex *A.*
44 *salmonicida*, which is responsible for fish diseases, is to date the only species that has
45 successfully become specialized to cause a specific disease [5]. While the primary reservoir of
46 *Aeromonas* is aquatic environments and soils where it is almost always present, it is also
47 capable of rapidly colonizing a wide range of niches and hosts [1]. *Aeromonas* has a notable
48 ability to colonize the animal gut, including the guts of leeches, fish, cows and humans [6,7]
49 as well as many other host organisms.

50 Data from Pubmed, using close to 10,000 descriptions since 1944,
51 (<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/?term=aeromonas&sort=date&size=20>) provides a biased
52 view of this epidemiology, with >50% of *Aeromonas* publications linked to humans or
53 animals including livestock, companions and wildlife. The IMNGS 16S rRNA-based
54 metagenomic website provides a more accurate and realistic picture of *Aeromonas*
55 epidemiology [8]. *Aeromonas* is mostly detected from water and soil samples, and is present
56 in 20% of rhizosphere and aquatic metagenomes (Figure 1). Detection from animal samples is
57 infrequent, except from fish gut metagenomes and, to a lesser extent, from bovine gut
58 metagenomes [9,10]. In humans, detection remains exceptionally rare. Relative abundance
59 data from positive metagenomes also highlights the importance of *Aeromonas* in hydrotelluric
60 environments with anthropic pollution. The highest relative abundance is found in wastewater

61 metagenomes (Figure 1), as a partial consequence of gut-related waste. Relative abundance of
62 *Aeromonadaceae* in animals is very low, below 0.1% (Figure 1). One exception is leech gut
63 where relative abundance of *Aeromonas* seems very important, estimated at 36% [11].
64 The environmental reservoir of *Aeromonas* allows it to be at the interface of all the *One*
65 *Health* components (Figure 2). With its ability to produce biofilm and its large metabolic
66 capacity that facilitates its rapid adaptation to environmental changes [12,13], *Aeromonas* is
67 viable in a large range of conditions. These extended capabilities that allow *Aeromonas* to
68 persist and rapidly propagate in continuously changing environments [12-17] has earned this
69 genus the nickname of a “Jack-of-all-trades” [12].

70

71

72 ***Aeromonas* in close contact with human activities**

73 *Aeromonas* is primarily able to develop long-term symbiotic relationships (e.g. with leeches),
74 or to transiently colonize other animals and humans, where it can trigger cutaneous or
75 digestive infections [16,18]. *Aeromonas* spp. are responsible for economic burdens of dozens
76 of millions of dollars in aquaculture due to mortality, lost feeding days and costs associated
77 with chemical and antibiotic therapy [19-22]. Among fish pathogens, *A. salmonicida* subsp.
78 *salmonicida* (referred to as “typical”) is responsible for furunculosis disease in salmonids
79 [23], while some hypervirulent clones have emerged (e.g., *A. hydrophila* ST251) in the catfish
80 farming and shrimp industry [24,25].

81 Another *Aeromonas*-impacted area concerns ornamental fish that are traded worldwide (2
82 billion/year). These exchanges occur mainly between Asian countries (the primary producer)
83 and USA/Europe. In this industry, as observed for several years in fish farms [26,27], the
84 misuse or overuse of antibiotics to fight bacterial diseases has selected for drug-resistant
85 pathogens [28-30]. Recent studies underline the potential role of ornamental fish in the

86 dissemination of antibiotic-resistant bacteria, with possible consequences for private
87 aquariums and human public health in importing countries [28].
88 *Aeromonas* spp. have also been isolated from a wide range of foods of both plant and animal
89 origin [31], including minimally processed and ready-to-eat seafood products that are gaining
90 popularity [32]. The prevalence of *Aeromonas* in ready-to-eat products has been estimated to
91 be between 8 and 52%, with resistance to clinically-relevant antibiotics also emerging [33-
92 35]. This raises concerns of the potential for food spoilage and foodborne infections.
93 *Aeromonas* is associated with human activities such as animal husbandry, animal slaughter
94 [36-38], wastewater treatment [39], reclaiming irrigation water [40,41], recreational or
95 occupational activities that are related to water exposure and those that exploit
96 microbiological metabolic capabilities in biotechnological applications (Figure 2). These
97 include the treatment of sewage and industrial wastewater with activated sludge that contains
98 aeromonads at up to 2% of the total biomass [14,42]. Here, aeromonads are organized in
99 aggregates and can resist the extreme conditions of pH, salinity, heavy metal toxicity, and
100 temperature [14]. These aggregates are inserted in mixed species biofilms that are able to
101 remove organic pollutants and improve both denitrification and phosphorus removal [43-45].

102

103

104 **Human infections: by accident or by Trojan horse**

105 In humans, *Aeromonas* infections are primarily associated with contact with soil and/or water
106 e.g., during recreational or occupational activities, or following road accidents [16,46].

107 Cutaneous, eye or digestive infections are the most frequent, although almost any type of
108 infection is possible. Aeromonads are weak opportunistic pathogens in humans, and most
109 infections are associated with a barrier disruption. Aeromonads can also spread from a
110 colonized niche, typically the gut, and cause biliary or bloodstream infections notably in

111 immunocompromised patients [16,47]. While human infections are widely reported through
112 hundreds of published case reports [48], they are poorly documented compared to other
113 bacteria, with the notable exception of natural disaster situations, when thousands of wounded
114 individuals are exposed to contaminated waters with poor access to healthcare facilities [16].
115 No global study exists that compares prevalence among countries. The prevalence of
116 bloodstream infections seems higher in Asia compared with European countries [46,49], and
117 some species are more prevalent in tropical countries (e.g., *A. dhakensis*) [1]. One can expect
118 that use of gastrointestinal syndromic tests targeting aeromonads will increase documentation
119 and will provide a more exhaustive picture, as it was observed in this recent German study
120 with a prevalence of 2.9% of *Aeromonas* sp. from 5032 stool samples [50].

121 The particular case of healthcare-associated infections caused by ciprofloxacin-resistant
122 aeromonads following leech therapy is an example of the *One Health* challenges posed by
123 these bacteria, with resistant strains selected from the environment and spread to patients
124 (Figure 3). Medicinal leeches may be administered to patients after orthopedic surgery or
125 reconstructive surgery to treat venous congestion that threatens flap outcome through
126 necrosis. This salvage treatment actively removes blood and improves outcomes, at the
127 expense of a risk of serious wound infection leading to flap loss, amputation and sepsis.
128 Indeed, the leech regurgitates its symbiont during bloodlettings. While the most abundant
129 species among leech symbionts is by far *A. veronii*, *A. hydrophila* is the most frequently
130 reported species associated with infection following leech therapy (88%) [51]. This underlines
131 that the bacterial load during leech regurgitation is not the only factor to contribute to
132 infection and that some yet unknown virulence factors in *A. hydrophila* are likely involved
133 [52]. This risk of infection is controlled with ciprofloxacin-based prophylactic treatment
134 [51,53]. However, since the early 2010s, several cases of infections following leech therapy
135 were suddenly reported worldwide by aeromonads resistant to ciprofloxacin [54,55]. As

136 detailed in Figure 3, investigations showed that these events resulted from complex
137 relationships between the antibiotic that disseminates in the environment, the leech microbiota
138 where there is competition between fluoroquinolone (FQ)-resistant and FQ-susceptible
139 bacteria, a leech trade based on a limited number of suppliers that disseminate leech with
140 closely related FQ-resistant strains, and immunocompromised patients [52].
141 The use of antimicrobials in a natural setting facilitates the spread of resistance markers in
142 *Aeromonas*, even when used at very low-levels [56,57], and this spread may occur between
143 distant locations through a vector and Trojan horse such as the leech. This example highlights
144 the consequences of the selective pressure in the leech environment with subsidiary
145 consequences to human health. Such resistance spread is not limited to FQ, with some reports
146 recently identifying *Aeromonas* that harboured resistance to 3rd generation cephalosporins or
147 cotrimoxazole, which exemplifies the capability of aeromonads to acquire diverse antibiotic
148 resistance genes (ARGs) [55,58].

149

150

151 **How to uptake DNA: the Swiss Army knife**

152 Bacteria of the *Aeromonas* genus have a 4-5 Mbp genome, which is smaller than other Gram-
153 negative bacteria like Enterobacterales or *Pseudomonas*. *Aeromonas* compensates for this
154 relatively small genome size by its tremendous capacity to acquire several mobile genetic
155 elements (MGE), including plasmids, transposons, genomic islands or integron gene cassettes.
156 The core genome of these bacteria is represented by only 16% of the genes in the whole
157 genome, with the remaining (84%) consisting of variably represented genes. This highlights
158 the exceptional level of genome plasticity in *Aeromonas* due to horizontal gene transfers
159 (HGT) both from close relatives in the genus and from several other families [13,59]. An
160 exciting yet unanswered question is to know whether the environment shapes aeromonad

161 plasticity and whether their accessory genome correlates with their origin. This mobilome
162 [60] allows for the acquisition of hundreds of antibiotic or heavy metal resistance genes,
163 genes involved in molecular function or biological process, as well as genes encoding
164 metabolic enzymes, transporters and bacterial defense mechanisms [59,61]. These genes
165 enable the survival of *Aeromonas* in hostile environments. All known mechanisms of HGT
166 have been described within the *Aeromonas* genus [62]: transformation (as most *Aeromonas*
167 species are naturally competent [63,64]), transduction and conjugation. A wide variety of
168 lysogenic phages has been described especially amongst the species *A. salmonicida* and *A.*
169 *hydrophila* [65,66]. Conjugation remains the most efficient mechanism of HGT, with
170 hundreds of conjugative plasmids identified in aeromonads. This plasmidome remains poorly
171 characterised, with the exception of that of *A. salmonicida* [67].

172 Aeromonads are diversely equipped with the type II, III, IV and VI secretion systems (TSS)
173 [13]. Whilst the study of these nanomachines in *Aeromonas* has mostly focused on their
174 virulence properties, the T4SS and T6SS are also likely to be implicated in gene transfer, as
175 they are typically involved in Gram-negative bacterial conjugation and transformation
176 respectively [68,69]. For instance, competence-induced T6SS-mediated bacterial killing has
177 been demonstrated in *V. cholerae*, and occurs through a complex system that involves chitin-
178 composed exoskeleton-associated-biofilms (e.g. in copepods), quorum sensing and the
179 metabolic activity of chitinase [68,70]. Because *Aeromonas* shares aforementioned features
180 with the closely-related *Vibrio* spp., it is conceivable that the T6SS plays a role, albeit still
181 unravelled, in *Aeromonas* DNA uptake. Whatever the mechanisms involved, the lifestyle of
182 aeromonads is associated with biofilm in sheltering organisms (e.g., copepods) that are
183 hotspots for genetic exchanges [71].

184 Given its importance in human and animal health, the acquisition of ARGs is the most
185 described event of this genetic exchange capability of *Aeromonas*. The genus can be

186 considered as a “sponge” because it can easily absorb and redistribute genes [67]. A recent *in*
187 *silico* analysis on plasmids retrieved from *Aeromonas* species revealed that more than one
188 third of plasmids carry ARGs [72]. These ARGs can affect all antibiotic families, including β -
189 lactams, with extended-spectrum β -lactamases (CTX-M, GES, VEB) and carbapenemases
190 (VIM, KPC, NDM-like), but also aminoglycosides, cyclins, trimethoprim, sulfonamides, FQ
191 (with notably the *qnr* and *qepA* genes [73]) and even colistin, with the recent description of
192 the *mcr* genes carried on *Aeromonas* plasmids [55,58,74-78].

193

194 **Integrins in *Aeromonas*: witnesses of horizontal gene transfers?**

195 Due to the environmental location of *Aeromonas* and its capability to acquire multiple MGE
196 under selective pressure, it can be expected that detection of virulence or ARGs in
197 aeromonads could constitute potential biomarkers of anthropic pollution. Unfortunately, these
198 genes are too diverse for this approach to be feasible. One alternative attractive possibility in
199 the search for a unique biomarker of anthropic pollution would be to detect integrins from
200 *Aeromonas* isolates. Combining a relevant microorganism capable of acquiring hundreds of
201 genes under selective pressure (*Aeromonas*) and a marker of antimicrobial resistance
202 frequently hosted by *Aeromonas* isolates and easy to detect (integrins) could constitute a
203 potential interesting tool. In fact, class 1 integrins can be easily detected [79] and are now
204 considered as a good proxy for anthropic pollution [80,81]. Integrins are non-mobile genetic
205 elements carried by plasmids or transposons [82,83] that can carry hundreds of mobile gene
206 cassettes, most of them involved in antimicrobial resistance. The prevalence of class 1
207 integrins within the *Aeromonas* genus is difficult to determine and can greatly vary (from 7 to
208 72%) depending on the origins of the samples [84-86]. Irrespective, their description among
209 the *Aeromonas* species is frequent, as is their association with multidrug resistance, as

210 previously demonstrated for Enterobacterales [87,88]. Whilst gene cassettes are relatively
211 diverse in *Aeromonas* [89] (Table S1), the presence of these integrons demonstrates that
212 aeromonads readily acquire MGEs following selective pressure.

213

214

215 **Conclusions**

216 Although aeromonads are often described as possessing a significant pathogenic potential,
217 these opportunistic pathogens seldom cause infections, and when they do, it is usually in the
218 context of a disrupted barrier or a compromised immune system.

219 One intriguing question is why a microorganism that bears many so-called virulence factors
220 rarely causes infection. Understanding pathogenicity in *Aeromonas* remains elusive [15], with
221 virtually all studies failing to successfully predict pathogenicity in aeromonads from the
222 expression of so-called virulence factors. One assumption to reconcile this paradox is that the
223 genes that we, from a very anthropocentric analysis, consider to encode virulence factors may
224 indeed have other primary functions. Several arguments suggest that exaptation, the process
225 by which features acquire functions for which they were not originally adapted or selected
226 [90], may have occurred in *Aeromonas*. For example, aeromonad hydrolytic enzymes are now
227 thought to be primarily involved in essential functions of general cell metabolism [15].

228 Exaptation may have then changed the function of these genes during the course of
229 evolutionary succession. Other examples of possible exaptation are *mcr-3* or *qnr* genes, which
230 evolved long before the synthesis and clinical use of colistin and quinolones, respectively
231 [91,92]. A complementary hypothesis is that the multiple varied environments and organisms
232 that aeromonads colonize act as a nursery to pre-adapt to pathogenicity, because such
233 relationships need to overcome the innate defense of their hosts [71].

234 Finally, some questions also arise regarding the role of integron gene cassettes in *Aeromonas*.
235 While mostly associated with ARGs, they existed even prior to the antibiotic era, and they
236 operate as a general gene capture system. As such, they are likely to be an important factor in
237 the more general process of HGT during the evolution of bacterial genomes and in bacterial
238 adaptation [93], and they may contribute to shaping the plasticity, versatility and flexibility of
239 the *Aeromonas* genus [67].

240 Irrespective of the original functions in the genus and its evolutionary changes, *Aeromonas* to
241 date carries all the characteristics of a potential pathogen with resistance to multiple
242 antibiotics. Taking into account its weaponry and its lifestyles that encompass all the *One*
243 *Health* components, aeromonads have the potential to one day emerge as more prevalent
244 pathogens than they are currently, and to wreak havoc in the future. Therefore, it is essential
245 that we maintain a close watch on these fascinating bacteria.

246 **Competing interest**

247 The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal
248 relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

249

250

251 **Acknowledgments**

252 The authors are indebted to Akshay Sabnis who reviewed English language with great
253 elegance. Authors would like also to thank Thomas Jové who provided with integron gene
254 cassette arrays from *Aeromonas*.

255

256

257 **Funding**

258 This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public,
259 commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

260

261

262

263 References

- 264 1. Fernandez-Bravo A, Figueras MJ: **An update on the genus *Aeromonas*: taxonomy, epidemiology,**
265 **and pathogenicity.** *Microorganisms* 2020, **8**.
- 266 2. Roger F, Marchandin H, Jumas-Bilak E, Kodjo A, col BVHsg, Lamy B: **Multilocus genetics to**
267 **reconstruct aeromonad evolution.** *BMC Microbiol* 2012, **12**:62.
- 268 3. Talagrand-Reboul E, Roger F, Kimper JL, Colston SM, Graf J, Latif-Eugenin F, Figueras MJ, Petit F,
269 Marchandin H, Jumas-Bilak E, *et al.*: **Delineation of taxonomic species within complex of**
270 **species: *Aeromonas media* and related species as a test case.** *Front Microbiol* 2017, **8**:621.
- 271 4. Roger F, Lamy B, Jumas-Bilak E, Kodjo A, col BVHsg, Marchandin H: **Ribosomal multi-operon**
272 **diversity: an original perspective on the genus *Aeromonas*.** *PLoS One* 2012, **7**:e46268.
- 273 5. Reith ME, Singh RK, Curtis B, Boyd JM, Bouevitch A, Kimball J, Munholland J, Murphy C, Sarty D,
274 Williams J, *et al.*: **The genome of *Aeromonas salmonicida* subsp. *salmonicida* A449: insights**
275 **into the evolution of a fish pathogen.** *BMC Genomics* 2008, **9**:427.
- 276 6. Gowda TK, Reddy VR, Devleeschauwer B, Zade NN, Chaudhari SP, Khan WA, Shinde SV, Patil AR:
277 **Isolation and seroprevalence of *Aeromonas* spp. among common food animals slaughtered**
278 **in Nagpur, Central India.** *Foodborne Pathog Dis* 2015, **12**:626-630.
- 279 7. Igbinosa IH, Igbinosa EO, Okoh AI: **Antibiogram characterization and putative virulence genes in**
280 ***Aeromonas* species isolated from pig fecal samples.** *Environ Sci Pollut Res Int* 2016,
281 **23**:12199-12205.
- 282 8. Lagkouvardos I, Joseph D, Kapfhammer M, Giritli S, Horn M, Haller D, Clavel T: **IMNGS: A**
283 **comprehensive open resource of processed 16S rRNA microbial profiles for ecology and**
284 **diversity studies.** *Sci Rep* 2016, **6**:33721.
- 285 9. Igbinosa IH, Igbinosa EO, Okoh AI: **Detection of antibiotic resistance, virulence gene determinants**
286 **and biofilm formation in *Aeromonas* species isolated from cattle.** *Environ Sci Pollut Res Int*
287 2015, **22**:17596-17605.
- 288 10. Ruzauskas M, Armalyte J, Lastauskiene E, Siugzdiniene R, Klimiene I, Mockeliunas R, Bartkiene E:
289 **Microbial and antimicrobial resistance profiles of microbiota in common carps (*Cyprinus***
290 ***carpio*) from aquacultured and wild fish populations.** *Animals (Basel)* 2021, **11**.
- 291 11. Maltz MA, Bomar L, Lapierre P, Morrison HG, McClure EA, Sogin ML, Graf J: **Metagenomic**
292 **analysis of the medicinal leech gut microbiota.** *Front Microbiol* 2014, **5**:151.
- 293 12. Seshadri R, Joseph SW, Chopra AK, Sha J, Shaw J, Graf J, Haft D, Wu M, Ren Q, Rosovitz MJ, *et al.*:
294 **Genome sequence of *Aeromonas hydrophila* ATCC 7966T: jack of all trades.** *J Bacteriol*
295 2006, **188**:8272-8282.
- 296 13. Zhong C, Han M, Yang P, Chen C, Yu H, Wang L, Ning K: **Comprehensive analysis reveals the**
297 **evolution and pathogenicity of *Aeromonas*, viewed from both single isolated species and**
298 **microbial communities.** *mSystems* 2019, **4**.
- 299 ** This study based on Whole Genome Sequencing of 29 *Aeromonas* isolates from different species
300 revealed a limited core genome and a high level of genome plasticity. More than four fifth of the pan-
301 genome was variable with hundreds of gene expansions and contractions, horizontally transferred
302 genes, and mobile genetic elements, which emphasized the heterogeneity of the genus. This is one of
303 the first study that deeply compared genome contents; this bioinformatics analysis highlighted
304 differences between acquired genes and abundance of some genes that may reflect environmental
305 selection.
- 306 14. Talagrand-Reboul E, Jumas-Bilak E, Lamy B: **The social life of *Aeromonas* through biofilm and**
307 **quorum sensing systems.** *Front Microbiol* 2017, **8**:37.
- 308 15. Talagrand-Reboul E, Colston SM, Graf J, Lamy B, Jumas-Bilak E: **Comparative and evolutionary**
309 **genomics of isolates provide insight into the pathoadaptation of *Aeromonas*.** *Genome Biol*
310 *Evol* 2020, **12**:535-552.

311 * The authors analysed 64 genome from strains representative of the genus *Aeromonas* to investigate
312 the correlation between genome-based phylogeny and virulence associated factors to provide insight
313 into pathoadaptation through the evolutionary process of virulence associated genes.
314

315 16. Janda JM, Abbott SL: **The genus *Aeromonas*: taxonomy, pathogenicity, and infection.** *Clin*
316 *Microbiol Rev* 2010, **23**:35-73.

317 17. Awan F, Dong Y, Wang N, Liu J, Ma K, Liu Y: **The fight for invincibility: environmental stress**
318 **response mechanisms and *Aeromonas hydrophila*.** *Microb Pathog* 2018, **116**:135-145.

319 * This paper presents an extensive review on the environmental stress response mechanisms of *A.*
320 *hydrophila* and links it with its ability to survive and propagate under environmental various
321 conditions
322

323 18. Nelson MC, Graf J: **Bacterial symbioses of the medicinal leech *Hirudo verbana*.** *Gut Microbes*
324 2012, **3**:322-331.

325 19. Bandeira Junior G, Baldisserotto B: **Fish infections associated with the genus *Aeromonas*: a**
326 **review of the effects on oxidative status.** *J Appl Microbiol* 2020.

327 20. Menanteau-Ledouble S, Kumar G, Saleh M, El-Matbouli M: ***Aeromonas salmonicida*: updates on**
328 **an old acquaintance.** *Dis Aquat Organ* 2016, **120**:49-68.

329 21. Wallace IS, McKay P, Murray AG: **A historical review of the key bacterial and viral pathogens of**
330 **Scottish wild fish.** *J Fish Dis* 2017, **40**:1741-1756.

331 22. Zhang D, Xu DH, Shoemaker C, Hemstreet W: **Virulent *Aeromonas hydrophila* in channel catfish.**
332 Edited by: Global Aquaculture Alliance; 2016.

333 23. Charette SJ: **Microbe profile: *Aeromonas salmonicida*: an opportunistic pathogen with multiple**
334 **personalities.** *Microbiology (Reading)* 2021, **167**.

335 * This article underlines the diversity of the species *A. salmonicida*, recognized as fish pathogen,
336 described as psychrophilic bacteria. *A. salmonicida* able to growth at 37°C and to colonize/ infect
337 mammals and birds is described here and linked to the genetic plasticity of the species.
338

339 24. Zhou H, Gai C, Ye G, An J, Liu K, Xu, Cao H: ***Aeromonas hydrophila*, an emerging causative agent**
340 **of freshwater-farmed whiteleg shrimp *Litopenaeus vannamei*.** *Microorganisms* 2019, **7**.

341 25. Abdelhamed H, Banes M, Karsi A, Lawrence ML: **Recombinant ATPase of virulent *Aeromonas***
342 ***hydrophila* protects channel catfish against motile *Aeromonas* septicemia.** *Front Immunol*
343 2019, **10**:1641.

344 26. Abdelhamed H, Lawrence ML, Waldbieser G: **Complete genome sequence data of multidrug-**
345 **resistant *Aeromonas veronii* strain MS-18-37.** *Data Brief* 2019, **23**:103689.

346 27. Du X, Bayliss SC, Feil EJ, Liu Y, Wang C, Zhang G, Zhou D, Wei D, Tang N, Leclercq SO, *et al.*: **Real**
347 **time monitoring of *Aeromonas salmonicida* evolution in response to successive antibiotic**
348 **therapies in a commercial fish farm.** *Environ Microbiol* 2019, **21**:1113-1123.

349 28. Sicuro B, Pastorino P, Barbero R, Barisone S, Dellerba D, Menconi V, Righetti M, De Vita V, Prearo
350 M: **Prevalence and antibiotic sensitivity of bacteria isolated from imported ornamental fish**
351 **in Italy: a translocation of resistant strains?** *Prev Vet Med* 2020, **175**:104880.

352 29. Hossain S, Heo GJ: **Ornamental fish: a potential source of pathogenic and multidrug-resistant**
353 **motile *Aeromonas* spp.** *Lett Appl Microbiol* 2021, **72**:2-12.

354 30. Sreedharan K, Philip R, Singh IS: **Virulence potential and antibiotic susceptibility pattern of**
355 **motile aeromonads associated with freshwater ornamental fish culture systems: a possible**
356 **threat to public health.** *Braz J Microbiol* 2012, **43**:754-765.

357 31. Koutsoumanis K, Lianou A, Sofos J: **Food Safety: Emerging Pathogens.** In *Encyclopedia of*
358 *Agriculture and Food Systems*. Edited by Collection EPHE; 2014:250-272.

359 32. Carlucci D, Nocella G, De Devitiis B, Viscecchia R, Bimbo F, Nardone G: **Consumer purchasing**
360 **behaviour towards fish and seafood products. Patterns and insights from a sample of**
361 **international studies.** *Appetite* 2015, **84**:212-227.

- 362 33. Lee HJ, Hoel S, Lunestad BT, Lerfall J, Jakobsen AN: ***Aeromonas* spp. isolated from ready-to-eat**
363 **seafood on the Norwegian market: prevalence, putative virulence factors and antimicrobial**
364 **resistance.** *J Appl Microbiol* 2021, **130**:1380-1393.
- 365 34. Hoel S, Vadstein O, Jakobsen AN: **The significance of mesophilic *Aeromonas* spp. in minimally**
366 **processed ready-to-eat seafood.** *Microorganisms* 2019, **7**.
- 367 35. Umutoni N, Jakobsen AN, Mukhatov K, Thomassen GMB, Karlsen H, Mehli L: **Occurrence,**
368 **diversity and temperature-dependent growth kinetics of *Aeromonas* spp. in lettuce.** *Int J*
369 *Food Microbiol* 2020, **335**:108852.
- 370 36. Fontes MC, Saavedra MJ, Monera A, Martins C, Martinez-Murcia A: **Phylogenetic identification of**
371 ***Aeromonas simiae* from a pig, first isolate since species description.** *Vet Microbiol* 2010,
372 **142**:313-316.
- 373 37. Fontes MC, Saavedra MJ, Martins C, Martinez-Murcia AJ: **Phylogenetic identification of**
374 ***Aeromonas* from pigs slaughtered for consumption in slaughterhouses at the North of**
375 **Portugal.** *Int J Food Microbiol* 2011, **146**:118-122.
- 376 38. Li L, Heidemann Olsen R, Ye L, Yan H, Nie Q, Meng H, Shi L: **Antimicrobial resistance and**
377 **resistance genes in aerobic bacteria isolated from pork at slaughter.** *J Food Prot* 2016,
378 **79**:589-597.
- 379 39. Harnisz M, Korzeniewska E: **The prevalence of multidrug-resistant *Aeromonas* spp. in the**
380 **municipal wastewater system and their dissemination in the environment.** *Sci Total Environ*
381 2018, **626**:377-383.
- 382 40. Latif-Eugenin F, Beaz-Hidalgo R, Silvera-Simon C, Fernandez-Cassi X, Figueras MJ: **Chlorinated and**
383 **ultraviolet radiation -treated reclaimed irrigation water is the source of *Aeromonas* found**
384 **in vegetables used for human consumption.** *Environ Res* 2017, **154**:190-195.
- 385 41. Solaiman S, Allard SM, Callahan MT, Jiang C, Handy E, East C, Haymaker J, Bui A, Craddock H,
386 Murray R, *et al.*: **Longitudinal assessment of the dynamics of *Escherichia coli*, total**
387 **coliforms, *Enterococcus* spp., and *Aeromonas* spp. in alternative irrigation water sources: a**
388 **CONSERVE study.** *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2020, **86**.
- 389 * This 26-month longitudinal study assessed the prevalence of bacteria indicating water quality, fecal
390 contamination, and crop contamination risk. It included *Escherichia coli*, total coliforms,
391 *Enterococcus*, and *Aeromonas*. This study provides comprehensive microbial data on alternative
392 irrigation water and serves as an important resource for food safety planning and policy setting.
393
- 394 42. Kampfer P, Erhart R, Beimfohr C, Bohringer J, Wagner M, Amann R: **Characterization of bacterial**
395 **communities from activated sludge: culture-dependent numerical identification versus in**
396 **situ identification using group- and genus-specific rRNA-targeted oligonucleotide probes.**
397 *Microb Ecol* 1996, **32**:101-121.
- 398 43. Andersson S, Dalhammar G, Kuttuva Rajarao G: **Influence of microbial interactions and**
399 **EPS/polysaccharide composition on nutrient removal activity in biofilms formed by strains**
400 **found in wastewater treatment systems.** *Microbiol Res* 2011, **166**:449-457.
- 401 44. Chong G, Kimyon O, Rice SA, Kjelleberg S, Manefield M: **The presence and role of bacterial**
402 **quorum sensing in activated sludge.** *Microb Biotechnol* 2012, **5**:621-633.
- 403 45. Chen M, Wang W, Feng Y, Zhu X, Zhou H, Tan Z, Li X: **Impact resistance of different factors on**
404 **ammonia removal by heterotrophic nitrification-aerobic denitrification bacterium**
405 ***Aeromonas* sp. HN-02.** *Bioresour Technol* 2014, **167**:456-461.
- 406 46. Lamy B, Kodjo A, col BVHSG, Laurent F: **Prospective nationwide study of *Aeromonas* infections in**
407 **France.** *J Clin Microbiol* 2009, **47**:1234-1237.
- 408 47. Parker JL, Shaw JG: ***Aeromonas* spp. clinical microbiology and disease.** *J Infect* 2011, **62**:109-118.
- 409 48. Bhowmick UD, Bhattacharjee S: **Bacteriological, clinical and virulence aspects of *Aeromonas*-**
410 **associated diseases in humans.** *Pol J Microbiol* 2018, **67**:137-149.

- 411 49. Wu CJ, Chen PL, Tang HJ, Chen HM, Tseng FC, Shih HI, Hung YP, Chung CH, Ko WC: **Incidence of**
412 ***Aeromonas* bacteremia in Southern Taiwan: *Vibrio* and *Salmonella* bacteremia as**
413 **comparators. *J Microbiol Immunol Infect* 2014, **47**:145-148.**
- 414 50. Zimmermann S, Horner S, Altwegg M, Dalpke AH: **Workflow optimization for syndromic diarrhea**
415 **diagnosis using the molecular Seegene Allplex GI-Bacteria(I) assay. *Eur J Clin Microbiol***
416 ***Infect Dis* 2020, **39**:1245-1250.**
- 417 51. Whitaker IS, Oboumarzouk O, Rozen WM, Naderi N, Balasubramanian SP, Azzopardi EA, Kon M:
418 **The efficacy of medicinal leeches in plastic and reconstructive surgery: a systematic review**
419 **of 277 reported clinical cases. *Microsurgery* 2012, **32**:240-250.**
- 420 52. Beka L, Fullmer MS, Colston SM, Nelson MC, Talagrand-Reboul E, Walker P, Ford B, Whitaker IS,
421 Lamy B, Gogarten JP, *et al.*: **Low-level antimicrobials in the medicinal leech select for**
422 **resistant pathogens that spread to patients. *MBio* 2018, **9**.**
- 423 ** Investigating the causes of ciprofloxacin-resistant *Aeromonas* infection following leech therapy,
424 this is the first study to bring some understanding on the recent epidemiological change. This work
425 highlights the role of low-level ciprofloxacin in the leech, and as corollary in the leech environment,
426 and consequences on the leech microbiota.
- 427
- 428 53. Herlin C, Bertheuil N, Bekara F, Boissiere F, Sinna R, Chaput B: **Leech therapy in flap salvage:**
429 **systematic review and practical recommendations. *Ann Chir Plast Esthet* 2017, **62**:e1-e13.**
- 430 54. Patel KM, Svestka M, Sinkin J, Ruff Pt: **Ciprofloxacin-resistant *Aeromonas hydrophila* infection**
431 **following leech therapy: a case report and review of the literature. *J Plast Reconstr Aesthet***
432 ***Surg* 2013, **66**:e20-22.**
- 433 55. Barraud O, Robert A, Laval L, Ruimy R, Morquin D, Boyer L, Lamy B: **It takes two to tango: two**
434 ***Aeromonas* isolates combine virulence and multidrug resistance in flap infection following**
435 **leech therapy. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2020, **26**:793-794.**
- 436 56. Moraru R, Pourcher AM, Jadas-Hecart A, Kempf I, Ziebal C, Kervarrec M, Comunal PY, Mares M,
437 Dabert P: **Changes in concentrations of fluoroquinolones and of ciprofloxacin-resistant**
438 **Enterobacteriaceae in chicken feces and manure stored in a heap. *J Environ Qual* 2012,**
439 ****41**:754-763.**
- 440 57. Rutgersson C, Fick J, Marathe N, Kristiansson E, Janzon A, Angelin M, Johansson A, Shouche Y,
441 Flach CF, Larsson DG: **Fluoroquinolones and *qnr* genes in sediment, water, soil, and human**
442 **fecal flora in an environment polluted by manufacturing discharges. *Environ Sci Technol***
443 **2014, **48**:7825-7832.**
- 444 58. Floug Q, Sinna R, Fillatre A, Plesiat P, Hamdad F: **A case of leech-associated infection involving an**
445 **extended-spectrum beta-lactamase-producing and extensively drug-resistant *Aeromonas***
446 ***hydrophila*. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2019, **25**:394-395.**
- 447 59. Kloub L, Gosselin S, Fullmer M, Graf J, Gogarten JP, Bansal MS: **Systematic detection of large-**
448 **scale multigene horizontal transfer in prokaryotes. *Mol Biol Evol* 2021, **38**:2639-2659.**
- 449 * This study focus on horizontal multigene transfers. It evaluates the characteristics and frequencies of
450 HGT and provides a more complete understanding of HGT and microbial evolution using the
451 genus *Aeromonas* as a test case and 103 genomes as a dataset. Interesting relationships between gene
452 function, phylogenetic distance, and frequency of multigene transfer were identified. This work
453 introduce the first computational framework to further study the scale of HGT events.
- 454
- 455 60. Piotrowska M, Popowska M: **Insight into the mobilome of *Aeromonas* strains. *Front Microbiol***
456 **2015, **6**:494.**
- 457 61. Rasmussen-Ivey CR, Figueras MJ, McGarey D, Liles MR: **Virulence factors of *Aeromonas***
458 ***hydrophila*: in the wake of reclassification. *Front Microbiol* 2016, **7**:1337.**
- 459 62. Bello-Lopez JM, Cabrero-Martinez OA, Ibanez-Cervantes G, Hernandez-Cortez C, Pelcastre-
460 Rodriguez LI, Gonzalez-Avila LU, Castro-Escarpulli G: **Horizontal gene transfer and its**
461 **association with antibiotic resistance in the genus *Aeromonas* spp. *Microorganisms* 2019, **7**.**

- 462 * This review focus on the mechanisms allowing acquisition of antimicrobial resistance genes. This
 463 article extensively reviewed transformation, transduction and conjugation mechanisms within the
 464 genus *Aeromonas* with several examples from different species and several antibiotic resistance genes.
- 465 63. Lorenz MG, Wackernagel W: **Bacterial gene transfer by natural genetic transformation in the**
 466 **environment.** *Microbiol Rev* 1994, **58**:563-602.
- 467 64. Huddleston JR, Brokaw JM, Zak JC, Jeter RM: **Natural transformation as a mechanism of**
 468 **horizontal gene transfer among environmental *Aeromonas* species.** *Syst Appl Microbiol*
 469 2013, **36**:224-234.
- 470 65. Yuan S, Chen L, Liu Q, Zhou Y, Yang J, Deng D, Li H, Ma Y: **Characterization and genomic analyses**
 471 **of *Aeromonas hydrophila* phages AhSzq-1 and AhSzw-1, isolates representing new species**
 472 **within the T5virus genus.** *Arch Virol* 2018, **163**:1985-1988.
- 473 66. Park SY, Han JE, Kwon H, Park SC, Kim JH: **Recent insights into *Aeromonas salmonicida* and its**
 474 **bacteriophages in aquaculture: A comprehensive review.** *J Microbiol Biotechnol* 2020,
 475 **30**:1443-1457.
- 476 67. Vincent AT, Hosseini N, Charette SJ: **The *Aeromonas salmonicida* plasmidome: a model of**
 477 **modular evolution and genetic diversity.** *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 2021, **1488**:16-32.
- 478 * This review focus on the latest knowledge in the field of plasmid studies and in particular on the
 479 knowledge of the *A. salmonicida* plasmidome. The key role of the plasmidome to better understand
 480 the biology, diversity, pathogenicity and the evolution of *A. salmonicida* is highlighted and discussed.
- 481 68. Veening JW, Blokesch M: **Interbacterial predation as a strategy for DNA acquisition in naturally**
 482 **competent bacteria.** *Nat Rev Microbiol* 2017, **15**:621-629.
- 483 69. Jaboulay C, Godeux AS, Doublet P, Vianney A: **Regulatory networks of the T4SS control: from**
 484 **host cell sensing to the biogenesis and the activity during the infection.** *J Mol Biol* 2021,
 485 **433**:166892.
- 486 70. Chourashi R, Das S, Dhar D, Okamoto K, Mukhopadhyay AK, Chatterjee NS: **Chitin-induced T6SS**
 487 **in *Vibrio cholerae* is dependent on ChiS activation.** *Microbiology (Reading)* 2018, **164**:751-
 488 763.
- 489 71. Aujoulat F, Roger F, Bourdier A, Lotthe A, Lamy B, Marchandin H, Jumas-Bilak E: **From**
 490 **environment to man: genome evolution and adaptation of human opportunistic bacterial**
 491 **pathogens.** *Genes (Basel)* 2012, **3**:191-232.
- 492 72. Nwaiwu O, Aduba CC: **An in silico analysis of acquired antimicrobial resistance genes in**
 493 ***Aeromonas* plasmids.** *AIMS Microbiol* 2020, **6**:75-91.
- 494 73. Ayobola ED, Oscar WO, Ejovwokoghene EF: **Occurrence of plasmid mediated fluoroquinolone**
 495 **resistance genes amongst enteric bacteria isolated from human and animal sources in**
 496 **Delta State, Nigeria.** *AIMS Microbiol* 2021, **7**:75-95.
- 497 74. Piotrowska M, Przygodzinska D, Matyjewicz K, Popowska M: **Occurrence and variety of beta-**
 498 **lactamase genes among *Aeromonas* spp. isolated from urban wastewater treatment plant.**
 499 *Front Microbiol* 2017, **8**:863.
- 500 75. Bosch T, Schade R, Landman F, Schouls L, Dijk KV: **A blaVIM-1 positive *Aeromonas hydrophila***
 501 **strain in a near-drowning patient: evidence for interspecies plasmid transfer within the**
 502 **patient.** *Future Microbiol* 2019, **14**:1191-1197.
- 503 76. Hu X, Yu X, Shang Y, Xu H, Guo L, Liang Y, Kang Y, Song L, Sun J, Yue F, *et al.*: **Emergence and**
 504 **characterization of a novel IncP-6 plasmid harboring blaKPC-2 and qnrS2 genes in**
 505 ***Aeromonas taiwanensis* isolates.** *Front Microbiol* 2019, **10**:2132.
- 506 77. Lin X, Lu J, Qian C, Lin H, Li Q, Zhang X, Liu H, Sun Z, Zhou D, Lu W, *et al.*: **Molecular and**
 507 **functional characterization of a novel plasmid-borne blaNDM-like gene, blaAFM-1, in a**
 508 **clinical strain of *Aeromonas hydrophila*.** *Infect Drug Resist* 2021, **14**:1613-1622.

- 509 78. Tuo H, Yang Y, Tao X, Liu D, Li Y, Xie X, Li P, Gu J, Kong L, Xiang R, *et al.*: **The prevalence of colistin**
510 **resistant strains and antibiotic resistance gene profiles in Funan River, China.** *Front*
511 *Microbiol* 2018, **9**:3094.
- 512 79. Barraud O, Baclet MC, Denis F, Ploy MC: **Quantitative multiplex real-time PCR for detecting class**
513 **1, 2 and 3 integrons.** *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2010, **65**:1642-1645.
- 514 80. Gillings MR, Gaze WH, Pruden A, Smalla K, Tiedje JM, Zhu YG: **Using the class 1 integron-**
515 **integrase gene as a proxy for anthropogenic pollution.** *ISME J* 2015, **9**:1269-1279.
- 516 81. Stalder T, Barraud O, Jove T, Casellas M, Gaschet M, Dagot C, Ploy MC: **Quantitative and**
517 **qualitative impact of hospital effluent on dissemination of the integron pool.** *ISME J* 2014,
518 **8**:768-777.
- 519 82. Mazel D: **Integrons: agents of bacterial evolution.** *Nat Rev Microbiol* 2006, **4**:608-620.
- 520 83. Cambray G, Guerout AM, Mazel D: **Integrons.** *Annu Rev Genet* 2010, **44**:141-166.
- 521 84. Deng Y, Wu Y, Jiang L, Tan A, Zhang R, Luo L: **Multi-drug resistance mediated by class 1 integrons**
522 **in *Aeromonas* isolated from farmed freshwater animals.** *Front Microbiol* 2016, **7**:935.
- 523 85. Lee MF, Peng CF, Lin YH, Lin SR, Chen YH: **Molecular diversity of class 1 integrons in human**
524 **isolates of *Aeromonas* spp. from Southern Taiwan.** *Jpn J Infect Dis* 2008, **61**:343-349.
- 525 86. Carnelli A, Mauri F, Demarta A: **Characterization of genetic determinants involved in antibiotic**
526 **resistance in *Aeromonas* spp. and fecal coliforms isolated from different aquatic**
527 **environments.** *Res Microbiol* 2017, **168**:461-471.
- 528 87. Barraud O, Francois B, Chainier D, Vignaud J, Ploy MC: **Value of integron detection for predicting**
529 **antibiotic resistance in patients with Gram-negative septicaemia.** *Int J Antimicrob Agents*
530 2014, **44**:351-353.
- 531 88. Mendes Moreira A, Couvé-Deacon E, Bousquet P, Chainier D, Jové T, Ploy MC, Barraud O:
532 **Proteae: a reservoir of class 2 integrons?** *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2019, **74**:1560-1562.
- 533 89. Moura A, Soares M, Pereira C, Leitao N, Henriques I, Correia A: **INTEGRALL: a database and**
534 **search engine for integrons, integrases and gene cassettes.** *Bioinformatics* 2009, **25**:1096-
535 1098.
- 536 90. Pievani T, Serrelli E: **Exaptation in human evolution: how to test adaptive vs exaptive**
537 **evolutionary hypotheses.** *J Anthropol Sci* 2011, **89**:9-23.
- 538 91. Cabello FC, Godfrey HP: **Aquaculture, exaptation, and the origin of *mcr*-positive colistin**
539 **resistance.** *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2018, **62**.
- 540 92. Sanchez MB, Martinez JL: **Differential epigenetic compatibility of *qnr* antibiotic resistance**
541 **determinants with the chromosome of *Escherichia coli*.** *PLoS One* 2012, **7**:e35149.
- 542 93. Rowe-Magnus DA, Mazel D: **Integrons: natural tools for bacterial genome evolution.** *Curr Opin*
543 *Microbiol* 2001, **4**:565-569.

544

Prevalence of *Aeromonadaceae* among human, animal and environmental metagenomes




Figure 1: Prevalence of *Aeromonadaceae* among human, animal and environmental metagenomes.

Figure 1A presents for the 3 compartments of the *One Health* world the percentage of metagenomes in which *Aeromonadaceae* are detected. This ecological distribution is based on 16S rRNA studies: grey, human metagenomes; yellow, fish and other animal metagenomes; blue, water metagenomes; green, soil and plant metagenomes. These data were obtained using the IMNGS database (<http://imngs.org>) [8] queried in May 2021 using reads matching the *Aeromonadaceae* taxonomy. Figure 1B indicates the relative abundance of *Aeromonadaceae* retrieved for each type of positive metagenome, presented with box plots.










Figure 2: *Aeromonas* in the *One Health* world, a complex network of relationships.

The figure presents the 3 parts of the *One Health* world where aeromonads are present: environment, mainly waters (blue), animals (green), human beings and related activities (light orange) and the compartments to which they are connected are shown with red dotted connectors. The intricate and complex network in which aeromonads interface the different compartments, mostly through waters, and in which they play a role of courier is shown with blue dotted lines. Flashes highlight the compartments where aeromonads contribute to disseminate antimicrobial resistance, dark orange specific host-bacteria interactions; beneficial relationships with host (e.g., human being) are highlighted in purple while detrimental relationships are yellow highlighted. WWTP, Wastewater treatment plant. Some parts of the figure were made with biorender (<https://biorender.com>).



Leech colonized with cipro-R aeromonads



Leech regurgitates (multi-) drug resistant aeromonads




Figure 3: the *One Health* perspective of aeromonad infection following leech therapy, an emblematic health care associated zoonotic infection caused by multi-drug resistant environmental opportunistic bacteria.

A, aeromonads are ubiquitous bacteria present primarily in waters. They usually exhibit very high rate of susceptibility to ciprofloxacin (green circles) [46]. B, Human activities with either misuse or overuse of antimicrobials, including quinolones, lead to antimicrobial selective pressure on aeromonads that can become resistant to ciprofloxacin (red circles). C, during leech colonization by *Aeromonas*, its main symbiont, ciprofloxacin resistant strains outcompete the susceptible strains when some trace of quinolones are present in the leech environment [52]. D, the leech trade is a global trade with diverse distant supplying sources, which allows the dissemination of bacteria from distanced parts of the world. E, patients with cancer are managed in hospital. F, during care pathway, cancer patients may benefit from reconstructive surgery, which may include flap surgery and may be associated with leech therapy to circumvent flap venous congestion. There is a risk of aeromonosis when leech regurgitates bacteria into the flap during bloodletting. Risk of infection is controlled with an antimicrobial prophylactic treatment, mainly ciprofloxacin [53]. G, because leeches are now frequently colonized with ciprofloxacin-resistant aeromonads, infection occurs despite prophylactic treatment and results in serious skin and soft tissue infection with flap loss and sepsis. Such event are posterior to 2010 and are regularly reported [55]. Some parts of the figure were created in biorender.com. Bibliometry histogram in G was obtained from Pubmed with keywords *Aeromonas*, leech therapy, infection and antimicrobial resistance. R: resistant. AMR: antimicrobial resistant. AM: antimicrobial.