

## Development of a real-time PCR assay with an internal amplification control for the screening of Shiga toxin-producing Escherichia coli in foods

F. Auvray, C. Lecureuil, F. Dilasser, J. Taché, Sylviane Derzelle

### ► To cite this version:

F. Auvray, C. Lecureuil, F. Dilasser, J. Taché, Sylviane Derzelle. Development of a real-time PCR assay with an internal amplification control for the screening of Shiga toxin-producing Escherichia coli in foods. Letters in Applied Microbiology, 2009, 48 (5), pp.554-559. 10.1111/j.1472-765X.2009.02561.x . anses-03858315

## HAL Id: anses-03858315 https://anses.hal.science/anses-03858315

Submitted on 17 Nov 2022

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

#### ORIGINAL ARTICLE

## Development of a real-time PCR assay with an internal amplification control for the screening of Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* in foods

F. Auvray, C. Lecureuil, F. Dilasser, J. Taché and S. Derzelle

Agence Française de Sécurité Sanitaire des Aliments, Laboratoire d'Etudes et de Recherches sur la Qualité des Aliments et les Procédés agroalimentaires (AFSSA-LERQAP), Maisons-Alfort, France

#### Keywords

food analysis, internal amplification control, real-time PCR, Shiga toxin, Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli*, *stx*.

#### Correspondence

Frédéric Auvray, AFSSA-LERQAP, 23 avenue du Général de Gaulle, 94706 Maisons-Alfort cedex, France. E-mail: f.auvray@afssa.fr

2008/1110: received 30 June 2008, revised 27 November 2008 and accepted 10 December 2008

doi:10.1111/j.1472-765X.2009.02561.x

#### Abstract

Aims: To develop and evaluate a real-time PCR assay incorporating an internal amplification control (IAC) suitable for the screening of Shiga toxin (Stx)-producing *Escherichia coli* (STEC) in foods.

**Methods and Results:** A competitive IAC was constructed and included in an *stx*-specific real-time PCR assay. Coupled to 18-h enrichment and automated DNA extraction, the assay could reliably detect the presence of STEC in minced meats inoculated at 10 CFU per 25 g. Its performance was evaluated on 415 minced beef and 112 raw milk cheese samples and compared with that of a PCR-ELISA method. Fifty-three minced meats and 31 cheeses were found *stx*-positive, giving 98·3% and 93·75% concordance, respectively, with the PCR-ELISA reference method.

**Conclusions:** A highly sensitive *stx*-specific real-time PCR method including an IAC was developed, facilitating monitoring of false-negative results due to PCR inhibitors.

Significance and Impact of the Study: Combined with automated DNA extraction, the *stx*-IAC real-time PCR assay represents a suitable method for rapid screening of STEC in foods.

#### Introduction

Shiga toxin (Stx)-producing *Escherichia coli* (STEC), also called verotoxin-producing *E. coli*, are a diverse group of food-borne pathogens. They cause a wide spectrum of human diseases, ranging from mild diarrhoea to haemorrhagic colitis and the life-threatening haemolytic-uraemic syndrome (Paton and Paton 1998). Their ability to cause serious illnesses in humans is related in part to their capacity to produce Stx1 and Stx2.

Several real-time PCR methods based on *stx1*- and *stx2*-specific fluorogenic probes have been proposed for STEC detection (Belanger *et al.* 2002; Ibekwe *et al.* 2002; Reischl *et al.* 2002; Jinneman *et al.* 2003; Nielsen and Andersen 2003; Sekse *et al.* 2005; Stefan *et al.* 2007). However, most of these assays are not applicable as diagnostic tools as they lack an internal amplification

control (IAC) to detect false-negative results due to PCRinhibitory compounds (Hoorfar *et al.* 2004a; Anonymous 2005).

In the present work, we developed a competitive IAC to improve a real-time PCR *stx*-typing assay designed previously (Perelle *et al.* 2004). The resulting PCR assay was evaluated on artificially and naturally contaminated food samples in comparison with a PCR-ELISA method used routinely in our laboratory (Fach *et al.* 2001).

#### Materials and methods

#### **Bacterial strains**

STEC strains B2F1 (*stx2d1*, *stx2d2*; Ito *et al.* 1990), PMK5 (*stx1*; Mariani-Kurkdjian *et al.* 1993) and 90.0327 (*stx1*, *stx2*; *E. coli* Reference Center) were grown at 37°C in

tryptic soy broth supplemented with 0.6% yeast extract (TSBYE; AES Laboratoire, Combourg, France).

#### Primers and probes used in real-time PCR

The *stx*-specific primers (*stx*-fwd and *stx*-rev) and hybridization probes (*stx1*-probe and *stx2*-probe) have been described previously (Perelle *et al.* 2004), except that the *stx2*-probe was labelled with fluorescein and BHQ1. The IAC was amplified and detected using *stx*-fwd and *stx*-rev primers and a new specific IAC-probe [5' (Red640)-CA-AGGCGACAAGGTGCTGATGCCG-(BHQ2) 3']. Oligonucleotides were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Evry, France).

#### Construction and preparation of the IAC

The IAC was designed so that its amplification product and those from the stx1 and stx2 targets had similar lengths (96, 131 and 128 bp, respectively). A recombinant 2:786-kb plasmid, designated pIAC-STEC, containing stx-fwd and stx-rev primer binding sites flanking a unique DNA fragment of the chloramphenicol acetyltransferase (cat) gene was constructed as follows. Two complementary 100-mer oligonucleotides (5'-AATTTTTGTTACTGTGACAGCTG **AAGCTTTACGTGAATCGCCAGCGGCATCAGCACCTT GTCGCCTTG**CGTATAGATGTTGATCTTACATTGAAC TGGGG-3' and 5'-AATTCCCCAGTTCAATGTAAGATC AACATCTATACGCAAGGCGACAAGGTGCTGATGCCG CTGGCGATTCACGTAAAGCTTCAGCTGTCACAGTAA CAAA-3'; primers and IAC-probe binding site sequences indicated as underlined and bold letters, respectively) were hybridized and ligated to EcoRI-digested pUC19 (Invitrogen, Cergy-Pontoise, France). Following transformation into E. coli DH5a (Invitrogen) and purification, DNA concentration of pIAC-STEC was spectrometrically determined and its sequence confirmed (Cogenics, Meylan, France). The following equation was used to calculate the number of pIAC-STEC copies per microliter:  $[6.023 \times 10^{23} \times$ weight of pIAC-STEC  $(g \mu l^{-1})]/[660 g \text{ per mol} \times$ 2786 bp]. The plasmid was freshly diluted to the working concentration of 64 copies per microlitre which corresponded to the lowest reproducible IAC concentration that amplified consistently and did not interfere with the amplification of the target sequence.

#### Preparation of template DNA

DNA was released from STEC cultures by heating using an InstaGene<sup>TM</sup> Matrix as described by the supplier (BioRad, Marnes-la-Coquette, France). Bacterial DNA was purified from food suspensions and eluted in 100  $\mu$ l volume using the MagNA Pure LC DNA Isolation Kit III on the MagNA

Pure system (Roche Diagnostics, Meylan, France) according to the manufacturer's recommendations.

#### PCR conditions for food analysis

PCR-ELISA was carried out by using 10  $\mu$ l of template DNA, as described previously (Fach *et al.* 2001). Realtime PCRs were performed with the LightCycler<sup>®</sup> 1·2 instrument (Roche Diagnostics) as described previously (Perelle *et al.* 2004) with the following modifications. Three microlitre of DNA template were subjected to PCR (45 cycles of amplification) in a total reaction volume of 30  $\mu$ l and in the presence of 200 nmol l<sup>-1</sup> of the IACprobe and 64 copies of pIAC-STEC. Each run systematically included four control reactions: one negative, one IAC and two positives containing *stx1* or *stx2* genomic DNA as template. For negative and positive controls, no IAC was included. Fluorescence was recorded at the end of the extension step in channel F1 (530 nm; *stx*) and F2/backF1 (640 nm; IAC).

#### Detection limit of the stx real-time PCR assay

STEC B2F1, PMK5 and 90.0327 were grown until a concentration of 10<sup>9</sup> CFU ml<sup>-1</sup> was reached and 10-fold serially diluted in buffered peptone water. The number of CFU was determined by plating. DNA was extracted from 1-ml aliquots of dilutions  $10^{-2}$  to  $10^{-7}$  and 2  $\mu$ l (1/100th) were analysed by real-time PCR in a total volume of 20  $\mu$ l. Amplifications were performed in triplicate in two independent runs, with or without 64 IAC copies.

# Artificially and naturally contaminated food samples, enrichment procedure and isolation of STEC

#### Artificially contaminated samples

Ten-fold serial dilutions of an *E. coli* B2F1 culture, grown at 37°C until it reached a concentration of 10<sup>9</sup> CFU ml<sup>-1</sup>, were prepared. Aliquots of the dilutions were plated in duplicate on Tryptone Soy Agar plates (TSA; Oxoid, Dardilly, France) for direct enumerations of STEC cells that were introduced into minced beef. Samples (25 g) from a refrigerated minced beef batch were inoculated in triplicate with the *E. coli* B2F1 strain at three contamination levels (0, 10 and 100 CFU), diluted 10-fold (w/v) in modified TSB (mTSB; Oxoid) supplemented with novobiocin (Sigma-Aldrich) at 20 mg l<sup>-1</sup>, and incubated at 37°C for 18 h.

#### Naturally contaminated samples

Four hundred and fifteen minced beef meats and 112 soft cheeses made from raw goat's milk (n = 51) or raw cow's

555

milk (n = 61) were collected in France from 2005 to 2007 and analysed as described above except that 50-g portions of minced beef were tested, and acriflavin (Sigma-Aldrich) at 12 mg l<sup>-1</sup> was used instead of novobiocin for cheeses analyses. STEC strains were isolated from *stx*positive samples using a colony hybridization assay, as described previously (Fach *et al.* 2001).

#### Results

#### Sensitivity of the *stx*-IAC real-time PCR assay

The threshold sensitivity was experimentally assessed with DNA extracted from pure bacterial suspensions of an STEC B2F1 (*stx2d1*, *stx2d2*) strain ranging from  $10^7$  to  $10^2$  CFU ml<sup>-1</sup>. A minimum of 100 CFU ml<sup>-1</sup> corresponding to 200 *stx* copies per millilitre yielded a positive reaction (Table 1). When suspensions of STEC strains PMK5 (*stx1*) and 90.0327 (*stx1*, *stx2*) were tested, a detection limit of 100 CFU ml<sup>-1</sup> was also determined, corresponding to 100 and 200 *stx* copies per millilitre, respectively (data not shown). The *stx* amplification plots obtained in the presence and absence of the IAC were similar, indicating that the IAC had no negative

 Table 1
 Detection of STEC B2F1 in pure culture and in artificially contaminated minced beef samples

Sample type	Concentration (CFU ml <sup>-1</sup> )* or seeding level (CFU 25 g <sup>-1</sup> )†	<i>stx</i> -IAC real- time PCR‡	
		Ct (target)	Ct (IAC)§
Broth	10 <sup>7</sup>	21·52 ± 0·16	_
	10 <sup>6</sup>	25·69 ± 0·15	_
	10 <sup>5</sup>	29·16 ± 0·15	31·50 ± 0·40
	10 <sup>4</sup>	32·33 ± 0·31	33·64 ± 0·46
	10 <sup>3</sup>	33·99 ± 0·22	34·47 ± 0·42
	10 <sup>2</sup>	35·70 ± 0·15	34·48 ± 0·73
	0	_	34·61 ± 0·68
Minced beef	105	24·80 ± 0·21	_
	105	24·06 ± 0·06	_
	105	24·50 ± 0·30	_
	10	22·90 ± 0·06	_
	10	23·93 ± 0·06	_
	10	22·73 ± 0·16	_
	0	_	34·07 ± 1·11
	0	_	34·75 ± 1·13
	0	-	34·72 ± 0·87

STEC, Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli*; IAC, internal amplification control; (–), negative PCR result.

\*STEC concentration in broth.

†STEC seeding level in minced beef.

Ct values are mean  $\pm$  SD for three determinations.

§For STEC levels higher than 10<sup>4</sup> CFU ml<sup>-1</sup>, nonproportional IAC amplification curves were obtained with abnormal low IAC Ct values.

F. Auvray et al.

influence on target amplification and detection (data not shown).

Concerning the detection of the IAC, it occurred efficiently in the absence or presence of low amounts of STEC (i.e.  $<10^5$  CFU ml<sup>-1</sup>; Table 1). For higher STEC cells concentrations, nonproportional fluorescence signals with abnormal low Ct values were observed (Table 1). As the IAC probe sequence is homologous to a portion of the *cat* gene, it was also checked that a high concentration (10<sup>9</sup> copies per PCR) of the *cat* gene (carried on plasmids pLDR10 and pBAD33 for this assay) did not affect the detection of the IAC (data not shown), demonstrating that *cat* sequences which could naturally be present in food samples did not compete for the IAC probe.

The limit of detection of STEC in food was then examined using 25-g portions of refrigerated minced beef artificially contaminated and subjected to 18-h enrichment (Table 1). The noninoculated samples were all negative. Absence of PCR inhibition was confirmed by amplification of the IAC. All samples inoculated with 10 or 100 STEC CFU tested positive for *stx*, with target Ct values ranging from 22:73 to 24:80 cycles.

# Detection of *stx* genes in naturally contaminated samples and isolation of STEC

A comparative study between the stx-IAC real-time PCR assay and a reference PCR-ELISA method was carried out on 415 minced beef and 112 raw-milk cheese samples suspected to be naturally contaminated. Both methods gave identical results for 408 out of the 415 minced meats (98.3% concordance) and for 105 out of the 112 unpasteurized cheeses (93.7% concordance) (Table 2). The target Ct values of the samples found stx-positive by real-time PCR ranged from 16.76 to 36.49 cycles for minced meats and from 16.82 to 38.93 cycles for cheeses (Table 2). Total of 7.5% and 16% of meat and cheese samples, respectively, showed high stx Ct values (>35; data not shown) corresponding to low STEC levels (i.e. <10<sup>3</sup> CFU ml<sup>-1</sup>). Taking into account the 18-h enrichment step, it is uncertain whether those samples contained low amounts of stressed viable STEC or nonenrichable stx sequences. All matrices considered, eight (1.5%) out of the 527 samples were found to be PCR-inhibited and needed to be diluted for analysis (data not shown).

Colony hybridization was used to isolate STEC from the enriched food samples. STEC strains were obtained from 22 (42·3%) out of the 52 *stx*-positive minced meats and from three (10·3%) out of the 29 *stx*-positive cheeses (Table 2). No strains were isolated from the 14 samples that showed inconsistent results between real-time PCR and PCR-ELISA. It is worth noting that the mean target

1472765x, 2009, 5, Downloaded from https://sfamjourn

onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/j.1472-765X.2009.02561.x by Cochrane France, Wiley Online Library on [17/11/2022]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://onlinelibrary.wiley

Food type	PCR-ELISA* (OD <sub>450</sub> target)	Real-time PCR* (Ct target)	No. of samples (%)	No. of samples with isolated STEC
Minced beef	+(0.114-4.000)	+(16·76-36·49)	52 (12·5)	22
	_	_	356 (85.8)	nd
	_	+(34.78)	1 (0·2)	0
	+(0.138-2.861)	_	6 (1.5)	0
Cheese	+(0.190-4.000)	+(16.82-38.93)	29 (25.9)	3
	_	_	76 (67.8)	nd
	_	+(30.14; 33.24)	2 (1.8)	0
	+(0.143–2.575)	_	5 (4.5)	0

Table 2 Detection of *stx* genes in naturally contaminated food samples by *stx*-IAC real-time PCR and PCR-ELISA and STEC isolation by colony hybridization

STEC, Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli*; IAC, internal amplification control; nd, not done.

\*(–), negative result; (+), positive result; the range of  $OD_{450}$  and Ct values obtained for each sample category is indicated, except for categories containing one to two sample(s) for which all values are shown.

Ct value calculated for samples from which strains were isolated was significantly lower than the one found for the other *stx*-positive samples, i.e.  $24.65 \pm 4.47$  compared with  $30.57 \pm 3.64$  for the minced meats, and  $21.55 \pm 5.16$  *vs*  $32.57 \pm 3.16$  for the unpasteurized cheeses (data not shown).

#### Discussion

Until now, STEC screening in food products was performed at the French Food Safety Agency using a stx-specific PCR-ELISA detection system. This method, validated in 2001, has been shown to be specific and sensitive (Fach et al. 2001). Its results are obtained within 48 h. To save further time and labour, we developed a real-time PCR targeting the stx genes that includes a competitive IAC. The use of an IAC has been reported for two other stxspecific real-time PCR assays (Belanger et al. 2002; Stefan et al. 2007). In both cases, amplification of the IAC was performed using a different set of primers than for stx which may not accurately reflects the target amplification. By contrast, the IAC developed here is co-amplified with stx genes using a common set of primers, under the same conditions and in the same PCR tube, as recommended (Hoorfar et al. 2004b). Incorporation of a low number of IAC copies into the assay ensured competition advantages for stx target amplification and no negative effect of the IAC was seen on stx detection, even for low STEC concentrations. By contrast, the presence of high amounts of STEC affected the detection of the IAC, presumably because of nonoptimal amplification of the IAC during competitive PCR, as reported elsewhere (Malorny et al. 2004). Residual crosstalk between the two detection channels might also be suspected when high levels of fluorescence are emitted by the stx probes. This is however not problematic because the efficient production of an IAC fluorescence signal is only required when stx amplification has failed, i.e. in the absence of stx target. The stx-IAC real-time PCR was therefore proved to be highly sensitive and able to detect as few as 100 STEC CFU ml<sup>-1</sup>, which is regarded as essential given the low infectious dose reported for STEC.

The stx-IAC real-time PCR assay was as effective as the PCR-ELISA test for detection of stx genes in contaminated foods. After enrichment, both methods were able to detect STEC in minced beef inoculated with as low as 10 CFU per 25 g. Both assays were also shown to perform equally well when applied on the same 527 naturally contaminated test portions, with 98.3% and 93.7% concordance according to the food products (minced meats and raw milk cheeses, respectively). Only 14 (2.7%) samples gave contradictory results. Differences in the volumes of the DNA samples subjected to PCR-ELISA and real-time PCR (10  $\mu$ l vs 3  $\mu$ l, respectively) might explain in part the discrepancies observed. The use of other real-time PCR instruments allowing the analysis of 10  $\mu$ l DNA samples should contribute to increase the sensitivity of the assay. In addition, it cannot be excluded that some stx gene variants might be differentially amplified and/or detected by both methods. It was also noted that samples from which STEC isolates were recovered displayed a target Ct value significantly lower than that found for the other stx-positive samples. This reflects the presence of STEC at a higher density in the former enriched samples, which facilitated their isolation. The Ct value can therefore also be used for guidance to estimate the probability to recover a STEC strain from an enriched broth. Finally, it is worth mentioning that PCR results with Ct values above 35 should be interpreted with caution for the presence of viable STEC in the enrichment broths because a significant amount of nonenrichable stx templates (i.e. derived from bacteriophages or nonviable cells) might be

557

conditions) on Wiley Online Library for rules of use; OA articles are governed by the applicable Creative Commons

present in the food samples and detected by PCR. More generally, when *stx*-positive PCR results are obtained, the presence of STEC in foods should be considered as presumptive unless confirmation by STEC isolation is achieved. It is however important to keep in mind that STEC isolation is still problematic, as illustrated here by STEC recovery rates of 10.3% and 42.3% from *stx*-positive cheese and meat samples, respectively, and as also reported by others (Pradel *et al.* 2000; Fach *et al.* 2001; Vernozy-Rozand *et al.* 2004, 2005).

In conclusion, the IAC-included real-time PCR assay described here represents a useful method for detection of *stx* genes and STEC screening in foods. Combination of high throughput automation and computer analysis allows to save time and labour and reduces the risks of carryover contamination in the laboratory compared with the previously employed PCR-ELISA method. With the 18-h enrichment step, results could be obtained within a total analytical time of 24 h.

### Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to H. Brugère (INRA-ENVT, Toulouse, France) and P. Fach (AFSSA-LERQAP) for bacterial strains. The authors thank N. Belin (AFSSA-LERQAP) for technical assistance and J. Madic (AFSSA-LERQAP, ACTILAIT) for providing PMK5 and 90.0327 bacterial DNA extracts.

### References

- Anonymous (2005) Microbiology of Food and Animal Feeding Stuffs. Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) for the Detection of Food-Borne Pathogens. General Requirements and Definitions (EN ISO 22174). Geneva, Switzerland: International Organization for Standardisation.
- Belanger, S.D., Boissinot, M., Menard, C., Picard, F.J. and Bergeron, M.G. (2002) Rapid detection of Shiga toxin-producing bacteria in feces by multiplex PCR with molecular beacons on the smart cycler. *J Clin Microbiol* 40, 1436–1440.
- Fach, P., Perelle, S., Dilasser, F. and Grout, J. (2001) Comparison between a PCR-ELISA test and the vero cell assay for detecting Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* in dairy products and characterization of virulence traits of the isolated strains. *J Appl Microbiol* **90**, 809–818.
- Hoorfar, J., Cook, N., Malorny, B., Wagner, M., De Medici,D., Abdulmawjood, A. and Fach, P. (2004a) DiagnosticPCR: making internal amplification control mandatory.*J Appl Microbiol* 96, 221–222.
- Hoorfar, J., Malorny, B., Abdulmawjood, A., Cook, N., Wagner, M. and Fach, P. (2004b) Practical considerations in design of internal amplification controls for diagnostic PCR assays. J Clin Microbiol 42, 1863–1868.

- Ibekwe, A.M., Watt, P.M., Grieve, C.M., Sharma, V.K. and Lyons, S.R. (2002) Multiplex fluorogenic real-time PCR for detection and quantification of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 in dairy wastewater wetlands. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 68, 4853–4862.
- Ito, H., Terai, A., Kurazono, H., Takeda, Y. and Nishibuchi, M. (1990) Cloning and nucleotide sequencing of Vero toxin 2 variant genes from *Escherichia coli* O91:H21 isolated from a patient with the hemolytic uremic syndrome. *Microb Pathog* 8, 47–60.
- Jinneman, K.C., Yoshitomi, K.J. and Weagant, S.D. (2003) Multiplex real-time PCR method to identify Shiga toxin genes stx1 and stx2 and *Escherichia coli* O157:H7/H- serotype. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **69**, 6327–6333.
- Malorny, B., Paccassoni, E., Fach, P., Bunge, C., Martin, A. and Helmuth, R. (2004) Diagnostic real-time PCR for detection of *Salmonella* in food. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 70, 7046–7052.
- Mariani-Kurkdjian, P., Denamur, E., Milon, A., Picard, B., Cave, H., Lambert-Zechovsky, N., Loirat, C., Goullet, P. *et al.* (1993) Identification of a clone of *Escherichia coli* O103:H2 as a potential agent of hemolytic-uremic syndrome in France. J Clin Microbiol **31**, 296–301.
- Nielsen, E.M. and Andersen, M.T. (2003) Detection and characterization of verocytotoxin-producing *Escherichia coli* by automated 5' nuclease PCR assay. *J Clin Microbiol* 41, 2884–2893.
- Paton, J.C. and Paton, A.W. (1998) Pathogenesis and diagnosis of Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* infections. *Clin Microbiol Rev* 11, 450–479.
- Perelle, S., Dilasser, F., Grout, J. and Fach, P. (2004) Detection by 5'-nuclease PCR of Shiga-toxin producing *Escherichia coli* 026, 055, 091, 0103, 0111, 0113, 0145 and 0157:H7, associated with the world's most frequent clinical cases. *Mol Cell Probes* 18, 185–192.
- Pradel, N., Livrelli, V., De Champs, C., Palcoux, J.B., Reynaud, A., Scheutz, F., Sirot, J., Joly, B. *et al.* (2000) Prevalence and characterization of Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* isolated from cattle, food, and children during a one-year prospective study in France. J Clin Microbiol 38, 1023–1031.
- Reischl, U., Youssef, M.T., Kilwinski, J., Lehn, N., Zhang, W.L., Karch, H. and Strockbine, N.A. (2002) Real-time fluorescence PCR assays for detection and characterization of Shiga toxin, intimin, and enterohemolysin genes from Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli*. J Clin Microbiol 40, 2555–2565.
- Sekse, C., Solberg, A., Petersen, A., Rudi, K. and Wasteson, Y. (2005) Detection and quantification of Shiga toxin-encoding genes in sheep faeces by real-time PCR. *Mol Cell Probes* 19, 363–370.
- Stefan, A., Scaramagli, S., Bergami, R., Mazzini, C., Barbanera, M., Perelle, S. and Fach, P. (2007) Real-time PCR and enzyme-linked fluorescent assay methods for detecting

Shiga-toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* in mince meat samples. *Can J Microbiol* **53**, 337–342.

Vernozy-Rozand, C., Montet, M.P., Bertin, Y., Trably, F., Girardeau, J.P., Martin, C., Livrelli, V. and Beutin, L. (2004) Serotyping, stx2 subtyping and characterization of the locus of enterocyte effacement island of Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* and *E. coli* O157:H7 strains isolated from the environment in France. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **70**, 2556–2559.

Vernozy-Rozand, C., Montet, M.P., Berardin, M., Bavai, C. and Beutin, L. (2005) Isolation and characterization of Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* strains from raw milk cheeses in France. *Lett Appl Microbiol* **41**, 235–241.