

# An inventory of 44 qPCR assays using hydrolysis probes operating with a unique amplification condition for the detection and quantification of antibiotic resistance genes

Tony Rochegüe, Marisa Haenni, Géraldine Cazeau, Véronique Metayer, Jean-Yves Madec, Tristan Ferry, Agnese Lupo

# ▶ To cite this version:

Tony Rochegüe, Marisa Haenni, Géraldine Cazeau, Véronique Metayer, Jean-Yves Madec, et al.. An inventory of 44 qPCR assays using hydrolysis probes operating with a unique amplification condition for the detection and quantification of antibiotic resistance genes. Diagnostic Microbiology and Infectious Disease, 2021, 100 (3), pp.115328. 10.1016/j.diagmicrobio.2021.115328 . anses-04143985

# HAL Id: anses-04143985 https://anses.hal.science/anses-04143985

Submitted on 22 Jul2024

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

amplification condition for the detection and quantification of antibiotic resistance genes

# **Running title:** 44 qPCRs for antibiotic resistance genes

# Abstract words count: 150

Body of the text words count: 2814 (without references)

Authors: Tony Rochegüe<sup>1</sup>, Marisa Haenni<sup>1</sup>, Géraldine Cazeau<sup>2</sup>, Véronique Metayer<sup>1</sup>, Jean-Yves

Madec<sup>1</sup>, Tristan Ferry<sup>3,4</sup>, Agnese Lupo<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> ANSES – Université de Lyon, Unité Antibiorésistance et Virulence Bactériennes (AVB), Lyon, France.

<sup>2</sup> ANSES – Université de Lyon, Unité Epidémiologie et Appui à la Surveillance (EAS), Lyon, France.

<sup>3</sup> Service des maladies infectieuses et tropicales, CHU de Lyon, Hôpital de la Croix-Rousse, Lyon, France.

<sup>4</sup> Centre International de Recherche en Infectiologie, CIRI, Inserm U1111, CNRS UMR5308, ENS de Lyon, UCBL1, Lyon, France.

\*Correspondence to: Agnese Lupo

Address: 31 Avenue Tony Garnier, 69007, Lyon, France

e-mail: agnese.lupo@anses.fr

**Telephone:** +33 4 27180485

**Fax:** +33 4 78619145

Early antibiotic resistance determinants (ARDs) detection in humans or animals is crucial to counteract their propagation. The ARDs quantification is fundamental to understand the perturbation caused by disruptors, such as antibiotics, during therapies.

Forty-three qPCRs on the most diffused ARDs and integrons among human and animal enterobacteria isolates, and one on the 16S rDNA for bacteria quantification, were developed.

The qPCRs, using hydrolysis probes, operated with a unique amplification condition and were tested analytically and diagnostically performing 435 reactions on five positive and negative controls for each qPCR. Diagnostic sensitivity and specificity were confirmed by PCR and genome sequencing of control isolates, demonstrating 100% performance for all qPCRs. An easy and rapid discrimination method for the epidemiologically relevant  $bla_{CTX-Ms}$  is provided.

This large, non-commercial qPCRs inventory could serve for precise quantification of ARDs, but also as a rapid screening tool for surveillance purposes, providing the basis for further highthroughput developments.

Key words: TaqMan, genotyping, bla<sub>CTX-M</sub>, bla<sub>OXA</sub>, mcr1-3, 16S rDNA

Antimicrobial resistance (AMR) is a threat to global health for both humans and animals (Appelbaum PC 2012; O' Neill J 2016). Antibiotics are able to select and amplify antibiotic resistance determinants (ARDs) in the host, favoring their dissemination widely (Casals-Pascual C et al., 2018; Sullivan A et al., 2001). Antibiotics have different selective effects (Ianiro G et al., 2016), thus quantifying ARDs before and after antibiotic therapy usefully reveals which molecules may have limited selective impacts on the resistome. Furthermore, early detection of ARDs in hosts or the environment is crucial to temporally develop hygienic measures avoiding further dissemination, to this end rapid molecular approaches are required.

Polymerase chain reactions (PCR) remain the most propagated methodologies in clinical and research laboratories, especially in low or medium income countries. In particular, qPCR assays, based on hydrolysis probes, commercially known as TaqMan, are rapid closed-tube tools, diagnostically specific, that also allow accurate quantification of the targets of interest. Hydrolysis probes hybridize on the host complementary DNA sequence and emit fluorescence during the amplification process when the Taq polymerase removes, by hydrolysis, the fluorophore at 3'-end, which will emit a fluorescence signal once far from the quencher at the 5'-end of the probe (Lupo A et al., 2013). Quenchers with minor groove binding (MGB) motifs enhance the probe melting temperature, allowing to design short length probes while enhancing specificity. Commercially available qPCRs on ARDs exist, but they are based exclusively on ARDs of human clinical concern and, overall, few developments have included other antibiotic classes than beta-lactams.

In this study, qPCRs using hydrolysis probes for *int11/int12* integron genes together with 42 of the most diffused ARDs in *Enterobacterales* according to the current AMR epidemiology were designed (Poirel L et al., 2018). Integrons have been recurrently proposed as relevant indicators of multidrug resistance (Amos GC et al., 2015; Leverstein-van Hall MA et al., 2003) whereas the 42 ARDs confer resistance to beta-lactams, aminoglycosides, fluoroquinolones, colistin, folic acid

One assay was also designed to possibly quantify ARDs relatively to the bacterial abundance estimated by the amplification of a conserved region of the 16S rDNA.

# 2. Materials and Methods

#### 2.1 Primers and probes design

Primers (Sigma Aldrich, France) and probes (TaqMan, Applied Biosystem, France) were designed using the Primer Express v .3.0.1 software (Applied Biosystem, USA) in order to: i) avoid primers dimers and with a melting temperature (Tm) 10°C lower than the probe (intended at 70°C); ii) obtain the same *in silico* amplification conditions for all qPCRs; iii) allow the possibility of multiplexing; iv) discriminate the variants of family genes (e.g. *bla*<sub>CTX-M</sub> groups and variants) with genotyping assays. For the SNPs visualization, a ClustalW alignment was performed on the nucleotide sequences using BioEdit v. 7.2.5 software. The nucleotide sequences and accession number for each gene were retrieved from the Genbank database (Table 1-3).

Probes were labelled with a fluorophore (JUN, or VIC, or ABY, or FAM) at the 3'-end and a quencher (MGB or QSY) at the 5'-end.

#### 2.2 Reaction conditions of qPCRs

The qPCR reactions were performed in 384-wells plates in 5µL final volume containing 2.5 µL of TaqPath ProAmp Multiplex Master Mix (Applied Biosystem, USA), 0.5µL of forward and reverse primer (1 µM), respectively, 0.25µL of TaqMan probe (50 nM), 1.25µL of sterile H<sub>2</sub>O and 1µL of DNA. For the genotyping assays, primers were used at 200µM final concentration, whereas probes (only with MGB quencher) were used at 100 µM (Malkki M et al., 2012).

For both qPCRs and genotyping assays, amplification was performed in fast mode using QuantStudio 5 Real-Time PCR System (ThermoFisher scientific, USA) (Table S1). Data were

Software v1.4.3. (ThermoFisher scientific, USA).

#### 2.3 Efficiency and limit of quantification

The efficiency of qPCRs was estimated performing a calibration curve. For each gene, a PCR product was obtained using the same primers of the qPCR assays. PCR products were purified (NucleoSpin Gel and PCR Clean-up, Machery-Nagel, France) and quantified by spectrophotometer absorbance and fluorometry (NanoDrop One and Qubit 4 Fluorometer, Thermo Scientific, France) then freshly diluted (1:10 dilutions, n = 12, from  $10ng/\mu L$ ) and used in technical replicates. For each gene assay, three non-template controls were generated, whereas three replicates contained 10ng of DNA from ARD-free *E. coli* CIP 76.24. The mean Cq values were plotted against the respective gene copies number (Lee C et al., 2006), generating a regression curve. The efficiency (E) was calculated using the slope from the regression curve (E=  $10^{(-1/slope)}$ -1) and values between 90% and 110% were defined as acceptable (Bustin SA et al., 2019). The limit of quantification (LoQ) was determined according to CLSI recommendations as the Cq obtained using the highest dilution of target DNA generating values in the linear range of the reaction, with a coefficient variation < 25% (Forootan A et al., 2017).

#### 2.4 Diagnostic sensibility and specificity

Diagnostic sensitivity and specificity were estimated using bacterial isolates available in the laboratory collection. The presence or absence of the target in the positive controls, at least five each except for the *rmtB* gene (n = 3) and the  $bla_{OXA-181}$  gene (n = 2), was validated by PCR or next-generation sequencing (NGS) of the genome and ARDs analysis (ResFinder 3.2.0). The antibiotic susceptibility of control isolates was evaluated by disc diffusion following the veterinary CA-SFM 2019 recommendations (https://www.sfm-microbiologie.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/07/CASFM\_VET2019.pdf).

## 3.1 General features of the qPCR assays

Forty-four qPCRs with common amplification conditions were developed in this study: 42 on the most common ARDs in *Enterobacterales*, two on *int1* integrons genes, and one on the 16rDNA gene for bacteria quantification. Six reactions had 100% efficiency, whereas the remaining ones had acceptable values (110%  $\langle E \rangle$  95%). LoQ varied between one and 5,7 x 10<sup>3</sup> gene copies number (Figure 1). By testing at least five isolates carrying the intended target and five target-free isolates, all qPCRs demonstrated 100% diagnostic sensitivity and specificity considering that all positive control DNAs generated a positive result unlike negative controls, confirmed by previously developed PCR or NGS.

#### 3.2 qPCR on the 16S rRNA encoding gene

Quantification of the ARDs relatively to bacterial abundance is necessary when analyzing the action of disruptors on bacterial communities, such as antibiotics on the intestinal microbiota. To do so, one qPCR targeted a conserved part of the 16S rDNA. A previously published system was adapted reducing the size of the primers to adapt the Tm (Nadkarni MA et al., 2002) (primers: F-TCCTACGGGAGGCAGCA; R-ACTACCAGGGTATCTAATCCTGTT; probe: QSY-CGTATTACCGCGGCTGCTGGCAC-JUN). The assay was optimized using a PCR product generated with the DNA of *E. coli* CIP 74.26 (Figure 1a), as representative of the most abundant cultivable species of mammalian intestinal tract (Conway T et al., 2004), and tested on further 13 species (*Acinetobacter baumannii* 32865, *Proteus mirabilis* NKU, *Enterococcus casseliflavus* CIP 102598, *Enterococcus faecalis* CIP 103214, *Enterococcus faecium* CIP 103226, *Enterococcus gallinarum* CIP 103013, *Klebsiella pneumoniae* CIP 106769, *Streptococcus agalactiae* A909, *Staphylococcus aureus* CIP 7625, *Staphylococcus epidermidis* CIP 106299, *Staphylococcus* 

103219), all generating a positive amplification.

#### 3.3 qPCRs on beta-lactam resistance genes

Beta-lactams are largely used in human and veterinary medicine (ECDC 2019; ESVAC 2019), because of their large spectrum of action and a favorable pharmaco-dynamics (Turck M 1982). Their enzymatic degradation by beta-lactamases has emerged and disseminated dramatically (Seiffert SN et al., 2013). Here, 17 qPCRs were designed on beta-lactams resistance genes.

Penicillins are important in veterinary medicine (Bourély C et al., 2019) but the  $bla_{TEM}$  and  $bla_{SHV}$  are the most worldwide diffused ARDs (Alonso CA et al., 2017; Cloeckaert A et al., 2007; Liakopoulos A et al., 2018; Partridge SR et al., 2005; Zankari E et al., 2012). The *in silico* analysis of previously published qPCRs demonstrated elevated primers Tm (Alfaresi MS et al., 2010; Lachmayr KL et al., 2009; Roschanski N et al., 2014), dimerization in primers (Lachmayr KL et al., 2009; Roschanski N et al., 2014), dimerization in primers (Lachmayr KL et al., 2010), thus qPCRs were designed *de novo* on  $bla_{TEM}$  and  $bla_{SHV}$  genes (Figure 1b). The  $bla_{TEM-52}$  and  $bla_{SHV-5-12}$  alleles encoding ESBLs (Nüesch-Inderbinen MT et al., 1997; Poyart C et al., 1998) were detected (Table 1), however penicillinase and ESBL variants could not be discriminated due to the complexity and variation of the nucleotide sequence in the region pertinent to the discrimination. A previous study discriminated two SNPs in position 164 and 240 for  $bla_{TEM}$  ESBL (Mroczkowska JE et al., 2008). However, this did not include other crucial SNPs of  $bla_{TEM-52}$  (Bradford PA 2001). This intrinsic limitation of the methodology could affect the epidemiological data and infection control, thus other approaches are necessary to distinguish ESBL and penicillinase encoding alleles.

The  $bla_{CTX-Ms}$  are nowadays the most globally expanded genes coding for ESBLs. They include four main groups,  $bla_{CTX-M-1-gr}$ ,  $bla_{CTX-M-2gr}$ ,  $bla_{CTX-M-8-gr}$ , and  $bla_{CTX-M-9-gr}$ , with several alleles varying in prevalence according to the host and the geographical origin (Livermore DM et al., 2007). The association of these genes with insertion sequences and the location on a wide family of and host distribution of  $bla_{\text{CTX-Ms}}$  provides a useful epidemiological indicator to understand the evolution of ARDs dissemination.

qPCRs on *bla*<sub>CTX-Ms</sub> exist already, but in most of the cases the discrimination remained at the group level (Birkett CI et al., 2007; Mangold KA et al., 2013; Roschanski N et al., 2014; Sittová M et al., 2015) or focused on the detection of the *bla*<sub>CTX-M-1-gr</sub> members (Calero-Cáceres W et al., 2014; Quirós P et al., 2014). In this study the qPCRs allowed two levels of discrimination: four qPCRs discriminated the *bla*<sub>CTX-M</sub> groups (Table 1) all with acceptable efficiency (Figure 1, b2); seven assays discriminated the variants *bla*<sub>CTX-M-1-3-15-55</sub> of the *bla*<sub>CTX-M-1-gr</sub> and *bla*<sub>CTX-M-9-14-27</sub> of the *bla*<sub>CTX-</sub>  $_{M-9-gr}$ . For the  $bla_{CTX-M-1-gr}$  two SNPs were discriminative one at position 239 ( $bla_{CTX-M-1-55}$  presented a thymine, whereas *bla*<sub>CTX-M-3-15</sub> presented a cytosine) and one at position 725 (*bla*<sub>CTX-M-1-3</sub> presented an adenine, whereas *bla*<sub>CTX-M-15-55</sub> had a guanine) (Figure S1, a). Similarly, *bla*<sub>CTX-M-9-14-27</sub> presented three discriminative residues at position 701, 702, and 725 (*bla*<sub>CTX-M-9</sub>: 701C702A, 725A; *bla*<sub>CTX-M-9</sub>: 14: 701T702G, 725A; *bla*<sub>CTX-M-27</sub>: 701T702G, 725G) (Figure S1, b). The probe designed around the 725 residue produced amplification exclusively on the 725G variant allowing bla<sub>CTX-M-27</sub> discrimination. For each SNP a probe with a MGB quencher and a different fluorophore was designed and used in the same well with a unique couple of primers (Table 1). The probes enter in competition for the proper variant and the fluorophore emission corresponding to the probe with 100% nucleotide match to the target emits a stronger signal that can be interpreted with the genotyping algorithm. The assays were evaluated using the mixed probes and opportune targets (Figure 1, b3-b4) and were 100% discriminative (Figure 2), as confirmed by PCR (Lupo A et al., 2018b; Shibata N et al., 2006) or NGS on 64 positive and 41 negative controls, respectively (Table 1).

et al., 2014; Hong JS et al., 2019; Martin LC et al., 2011; Mo SS et al., 2019; Pepin-Puget L et al., 2020; van den Bunt G et al., 2020).

For the  $bla_{CMY-2}$  qPCR primers previously published were adopted (Roschanski N et al., 2014). For the  $bla_{DHA}$  qPCR the primers were modified from (Swayne R et al., 2013) to rise the Tm and degenerating one nucleotide to amplify all variants. The probes were designed *de novo* and qPCRs validated on  $bla_{CMY-2}$  and  $bla_{DHA-1}$  (Figure 1, c). Specificity and sensitivity were validated by NGS (n = 16) and PCR (n = 1) (Table 1) (Carattoli A et al., 2002).

Those  $bla_{OXA}$  genes encoding class D beta-lactamases with carbapenemase activity represent a great health problem due to their association with mobile elements facilitating the dissemination and for the non-susceptibility to common beta-lactamases inhibitors (Walther-Rasmussen J et al., 2006). Their detection by molecular methods is of particular interest, considering that in certain circumstances they confer low level resistance to carbapenems rending their phenotypic detection challenging (Thomson KS 2010).

Previous qPCRs on  $bla_{OXA}$  genes have been reported (Brown-Jaque M et al., 2018; Chavda KD et al., 2016; Huang X-Z et al., 2012; Swayne RL et al., 2011). Here, MGB quencher was used for the detection of  $bla_{OXA-48}$  and  $bla_{OXA-181}$  to achieve a better specificity allowing discrimination of  $bla_{OXA}$ . <sub>48</sub> from the  $bla_{OXA-181}$  variant (Table 1, Figure 1, d).

#### 3.4 qPCRs on aminoglycosides resistance genes

Besides their toxicity, and the widely disseminated resistance by enzymatic modifications, aminoglycosides continue to be largely used in animals and humans. Often, a single isolate harbors several aminoglycosides resistance genes located on diverse mobile genetic elements (Bliziotis IA et al., 2005; Gow SP et al., 2008; Ho P-L et al., 2010; Ramirez MS et al., 2010; Sandvang D et al.,

al., 2019). In this study,

nine qPCRs were developed to detect aminoglycosides resistance genes (Figure 1, e1-e2; Table 2). For the *strA-strB* qPCRs the assay of Walsh *et al.* (Walsh F et al., 2011) was adopted modifying the primer sequences to avoid dimerization and using last-generation fluorophores and quenchers for the probe (Table 2), whereas the *ant(3')-Ia* gene qPCR was designed *de novo* as the previously designed probe had a too low Tm (Walsh F et al., 2011). Also the *rmtB* gene qPCR was designed *de novo* to adapt the experimental conditions to the other targets (Guo X et al., 2014).

The isolates used as positive controls displayed a variable resistance phenotype depending on the presence of other ARDs validated by PCR (Fritsche TR et al., 2008; Lupo A et al., 2018b; Park CH et al., 2006) or NGS (Lupo A et al., 2017; Madec JY et al., 2012) (Table 2).

# 3.5 qPCRs on fluoroquinolones resistance genes

Three qPCRs were conceived for detecting For the *qnrS* gene the forward primer and a modified reverse primer, to obtain a proper Tm, and a modified probe, in order to avoid hybridization with the primers, were adapted from Colomer-Lluch *et al.* (Colomer-Lluch M et al., 2014). For the *qnrB1* gene qPCR the assay designed by Dheilly *et al.* (Dheilly A et al., 2012) was modified to adapt the Tm of the forward primer and avoid dimer formation for the reverse primer, whereas the probe was designed *de novo*. The *aac(6')-Ib-cr* gene qPCR was designed *de novo* and it amplifies the *aac(6')-Ib-cr* variant, exclusively, allowing discrimination from *aac(6')-Ib*. The presence or absence of the target was proved by PCR (Lupo A et al., 2018a; Park CH et al., 2006; Robicsek A et al., 2006) or NGS (Dahmen S et al., 2013; Lupo A et al., 2018b; Madec JY et al., 2012) (Table 3, Figure 1, f).

#### 3.6 qPCRs on colistin resistance genes

et al., 2019). Here, the design from Chabou *et al.* (Chabou S et al., 2016) was modified to avoid dimers formation of the primers and using a part of the probe, avoiding the guanine at the 3'-end. A qPCR assays was developed *de novo* for the *mcr-3* allele. All the isolates confirming to harbor the gene by PCR (Yin W et al., 2017) or NGS produced a positive result (Table 3). The negative controls did not produce amplification although some harbored a *mcr* gene different from the intended target (Haenni M et al., 2018; Lupo A et al., 2018b). The qPCRs were analytically highly sensitive (Figure 1, g).

#### 3.7 qPCRs on folic acid synthesis inhibitor resistance genes

For the *sul1* gene qPCR the primers designed by Brown-Jaques *et al.* (Brown-Jaque M et al., 2018) were used, whereas the probe was designed *de novo* similarly to the *sul2/3* alleles qPCRs. The reactions had similar efficiency and analytical sensitivity (Figure 1, h). All positive controls produced an amplification, unlike negative controls although they contained other *sul* alleles than the intended one (Dahmen S et al., 2013; Di Conza J et al., 2002; Lupo A et al., 2017; Nigro SJ et al., 2012) (Table 3).

Among *dfr* genes only the group *dfrA* is also detected in animal isolates (Poirel L et al., 2018) and *dfrA1/12/17* alleles are the most diffused, located on class 1 and 2 integrons (Piccirillo A et al., 2014; Sköld O 2001).

No previous qPCR assays have been designed for these genes. The novel qPCRs had variable analytical sensitivity and efficiency (Figure 1, i) and were diagnostically specific (Table 3).

#### 3.8 qPCRs on tetracyclines resistance genes

Previous design on *tetA*, *tetB* and *tetM* have been published (Guarddon M et al., 2011; Peak N et al., 2007; Walsh F et al., 2011), however due to dimers formation between primers and probes three *de novo* assays were designed, here. The analytical sensitivity was high for all the genes

(Table 3).

## 3.9 qPCRs on phenicols resistance gene

The floR aPCR here developed (Accession no.: AF231986.2: primers: F: AGATCGGATTCAGCTTTGCC; R: CAAAGGACTTCGCGAAACG; **OSY**probe: CGCCACTGTCGCGCTTGTAATGATC-JUN; amplicon size: 75 bases) was validated for analytical features (Figure 1, k) and using five positive controls (20318, Ec39, 27841 (Haenni M et al., 2018), 27845 (Haenni M et al., 2018), 32189 (Lupo A et al., 2018b)). Negative controls were the same than tetracycline resistance genes qPCRs (Table 3).

## 3.10 qPCR assays on intI1 and intI2 genes of class 1 and 2 integrons

Two *intI* alleles are principally reported from animal isolates, *intI1* and *intI2* determining integrons class 1 and 2 (Deng Y et al., 2015; Mazel D 2006).

For this study, primers were adapted from Barraud *et al.* (Barraud O et al., 2010) for the detection of the *intI1* and *intI2* alleles in order to satisfy the Tm requirements and last generation fluorophores and quenchers were used for the probes (Table 3). The assay remained with acceptable efficiency compared to the previous design, where both assays had a 97% efficiency. The analytical sensibility was slightly decreased for *intI1* and increased for *intI2* (Barraud O et al., 2010) (Figure 1, 1).

#### 4. Conclusion

This study provides the largest non-commercial inventory of qPCRs (n = 44) based on hydrolysis probes, operating with the same amplification conditions, including the 16 rDNA, allowing ARDs quantification with accuracy, relatively to the bacterial abundance, avoiding laborious calibration curves during experiments, as required using generic dyes. To ensure the accuracy of the design, 435 alternative methods (PCR and genome sequencing). All qPCRs had 100% diagnostic specificity, confirming the main advantage for using probes. Here, the first qPCR based genotyping is proposed to easily and rapidly differentiate the main epidemiologically relevant  $bla_{CTX-Ms}$  variants in both humans and animals. The turnaround time from plate preparation to analysis of results was 2hrs for seven samples, for which purified DNA is available, making the system suited to both epidemiological or research purposes. The limit for diagnostic application is linked to the articulated ARDs combination that can occur in *Enterobacterales*, rending difficult the phenotype prediction of pathogens. The *in silico* prediction of primers and probes performance corresponded to the real experimental conditions, suggesting that addition of other targets, following the same design, will not necessitate optimization efforts, which is the most expensive step related to qPCR based on probes. The *in silico* design took in account the possibility of multiplexing, putting the basis for further high-throughput developments.

Guidelines for reporting qPCR evaluation are available, however the analytical features of previously published qPCRs are heterogeneously reported, thus a comparison among studies is challenging. Overall, this study with this comprehensive, evolutive and robust qPCR inventory will contribute to

the fight against ARDs and to investigations on ARDs changes in bacterial communities.

Table 1. Primes and probes (TaqMan, Applied Biosystem) sequences of 17 qPCRs on beta-lactams resistance genes and features of positive (n = 63) and negative control isolates (n = 40). Genetic content of the isolates was determined by PCR or genome sequencing (underlined isolates) and ResFinder 3.2 analysis.

Gene, Accession number	Primer F; R; probe sequence (5'→3')	Amplicon size (bp)	Isolate containing the target (variant) and other genes relative to beta-lactam resistance	Isolates target-free and sother genes relative to beta- lactams resistance <sup>a)</sup>
	F: TTGCACAACATGGGGGGATC	153	<u>Ec_58</u> ( <i>bla</i> <sub>TEM-190</sub> ), <i>bla</i> <sub>CTX-M-1</sub>	<u>Ab_96</u> : $bla_{SHV-182}$ , $bla_{DHA-1}$ , $bla_{OXA-1}$
_	<b>R:</b> AGCTAGAGTAAGTAGTTCGCCAGTTAATAGTT		$\frac{20071}{h}^{(Madec JY et al., 2012)} (bla_{TEM-1C}), bla_{CTX}$	<u>Kt_105</u> : $bla_{SHV-11/67}$ , $bla_{DHA-1}$ ,
12.3	Ο SV- ΔΑ CCGG Δ G CTG ΔΑ TG ΔΑ G C C Δ ΤΑ CC ΔΑ- Δ BV		$M_{-15}$ , $bla_{OXA-1}$ Ec. 50 (blamman) blaces a blaces a	Ab 90: blasses
7TEN 36	USI-AACCOORDCIGAAIGAAGCCAIACCAA-ADI		$E_{\rm C}_{\rm SO}$ (bla <sub>TEM-1B</sub> ), bla <sub>CTX-M-14</sub> , bla <sub>OXA-1</sub>	$\underline{\text{AO}}_{\text{OO}}$ . $bla_{\text{OXA-23}}$
IX Jld			Ec 60 $(bla_{\text{TEM-52}})$	<u></u> . buc <sub>1x-M-55</sub>
	F: CGATAACAGCGCCGCC	109	Ec_27 (bla <sub>SHV-5</sub> )	Ec_39: bla <sub>CTX-M-15</sub> , bla <sub>TEM-1B</sub>
69.1	R: TTCCCAGCGGTCAAGGC		<u>Kp_98</u> ( $bla_{SHV-76}$ ), $bla_{TEM-1B}$ , $bla_{CTX-M-15}$	<u>Ec_50</u> : $bla_{\text{CTX-M-14}}, bla_{\text{TEM-1B}}, bla_{\text{OXA-1}}$
HV, 203	QSY-TGACTGCCTTTTTGCGCCAGATCG-JUN		36250 (Diab M et al., 2017) (bla <sub>SHV-12</sub> )	Ec_110: bla <sub>CTX-M-1</sub> , bla <sub>TEM-1A</sub>
la <sub>si</sub> J92			<u>Kp_99</u> ( $bla_{SHV-182}$ ), $bla_{DHA-1}$ , $bla_{OXA-1}$	Ec_04: bla <sub>CMY-2</sub> , bla <sub>TEM-1B</sub>
44	— — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —		<u>Ecl_104</u> ( $bla_{SHV-12}$ ), $bla_{DHA-1}$ , $bla_{OXA-1}$	
	F-CTGGGTGTGGCATTGATTAACA <sup>b</sup>	151	$\underline{\text{Ec}}_{58}$ (bla <sub>CTX-M-1</sub> ), bla <sub>TEM-190</sub>	<u>Ec_04</u> : $bla_{CMY-2}$ , $bla_{TEM-1B}$
	R-CICGCIGATITAACAGATICGGIT <sup>®</sup>		<u>Ec_39</u> ( $bla_{CTX-M-15}$ ), $bla_{TEM-1B}$	Ec_82: $bla_{CTX-M-2}$
6.1 6.1	QSY-IGATGAGCGCTTIGCGATGIGCA-ABY		$\underline{\text{Ec}}_{17}(bla_{\text{CTX-M-32}})$	<u><b>Kp_97</b></u> : $bla_{SHV-182}$ , $bla_{DHA-1}$ , $bla_{OXA-1}$
50°			$27841$ (Haenni M et al., 2018) ( $bla_{CTX-M-55}$ ),	<u>Ec_14</u> : bla <sub>CTX-M-8</sub>
bla <sub>c</sub> X92			$bla_{\text{TEM-1}}$ Ec. 43 ( $bla_{\text{CTV}} = 5$ )	
1	MGB-ATGGCCGTGGCCG-FAM	151	$Ec_61 (bla_{CTX-M-1})$	Ec_71: <i>bla</i> <sub>CTX-M-15</sub>
6-1g			27259 (Lupo A et al., 2018a) (bla <sub>CTX-M-1</sub> )	Ec_16: <i>bla</i> <sub>CTX-M-15</sub>
<sup>actx-h</sup> 1 92506			27732 <sup>(Lupo A et al., 2018b)</sup> ( <i>bla</i> <sub>CTX-M-55</sub> ) 32137 <sup>(Lupo A et al., 2018a)</sup> ( <i>bla</i> <sub>CTX-M-1</sub> )	Ec_20: <i>bla</i> <sub>CTX-M-15</sub> 24424 <sup>(Dahmen S et al., 2013)</sup> ;
535 X			<u>Ec_31</u> ( $bla_{CTX-M-55}$ )	bla <sub>CTX-M-3</sub> , bla <sub>TEM-1B</sub>
4-1gr- 3.1	MGB-CCAGTAAAGTGATGGCCGC-VIC	151	Ec_71 ( $bla_{CTX-M-15}$ ) 20071 (Madec JY et al., 2012) ( $bla_{CTX-M-15}$ ),	Ec_61: <i>bla</i> <sub>CTX-M-1</sub> 27259 <sup>(Lupo A et al., 2018a)</sup> : <i>bla</i> <sub>CTX-</sub>
la <sub>CTX-N</sub> <sup>b)</sup> 90C , 710278			bla <sub>TEM-IC</sub> , bla <sub>OXA-1</sub> Ec_16	<sup>M-1</sup> 27732 <sup>(Lupo A et al., 2018b)</sup> : bla <sub>CTX</sub> -
A 25				M-55

			$24424$ <sup>(Dahmen S et al., 2013)</sup> ( $bla_{CTX-M-3}$ ),	Ec_42: bla <sub>CTX-M-55</sub>
			bla <sub>TEM-1B</sub>	
			$Ec_{20} (bla_{CTX-M-15})$	
	F: AGCGAGCATTCAGGCTGG <sup>(7)</sup>	84	$20071$ (Madec 91 et al., 2012) ( $bla_{\text{CTX-M-15}}$ ),	$Ec_{61}$ : $bla_{CTX-M-1}$
25G,	<b>R:</b> CAGCGGCGCACGATCT <sup>c)</sup>		$bla_{\text{TEM-1C}}$ Ec_16 ( $bla_{\text{CTX-M-15}}$ )	27259 (Lupo A et al., 2018a): bla <sub>CTX-</sub>
- <sup>M-1gr-7</sup>	MGB-TGGCTATGGCACCACCA-FAM		27732 <sup>(Lupo A et al., 2018b)</sup> (bla <sub>CTX-M-55</sub> )	<u>M-1</u> <u>32137</u> (Lupo A et al., 2018а): bla <sub>CTX-</sub>
la <sub>CTX</sub> . V042			<u>Ec_42</u> ( <i>bla</i> <sub>CTX-M-55</sub> )	$\frac{M-1}{24424}$ (Dahmen S et al., 2013):
P P			Ec_20 (bla <sub>CTX-M-15</sub> )	bla <sub>CTX-M-3</sub> , bla <sub>TEM-1B</sub>
ি	MGB-TGACTATGGCACCACCAA-VIC	84	$24424$ (Danmen S et al., 2013) ( $bla_{CTX^-M^-3}$ ),	Ec_71: <i>bla</i> <sub>CTX-M-15</sub>
25C,			bla <sub>TEM-1B</sub>	
L-18			$Ec_{61} (bla_{CTX-M-1})$	Ec_16: <i>bla</i> <sub>CTX-M-15</sub>
-M-1 06.1			$\frac{27259}{(1000 \text{ A et al. 2018a})}$ (bla <sub>CTX-M-1</sub> )	$\underline{\text{Ec}}_{42}$ : $bla_{\text{CTX-M-55}}$
250			32137 (Eaporter al., 2010a) (bla <sub>CTX-M-1</sub> )	27732 (Euportet al., 20100): bla <sub>CTX-</sub>
, bla X9				M-55
		120	$\underline{\text{Ec}}_{/6}$ (bla <sub>CTX-M-1</sub> ), bla <sub>CTX-M-14</sub> , bla <sub>TEM-1B</sub>	F 14.11
		130	$\underline{\text{Ec}}_{49}$ (bla <sub>CTX-M-2</sub> ), bla <sub>TEM-1B</sub>	<u>Ec_14</u> : <i>bla</i> <sub>CTX-M-8</sub> 24417 (Dahmen S et al., 2013).
	<b>K:</b> AGCGGCG1GG1GG1A1CA		$\underline{\text{Ec}}_{03}(bla_{\text{CTX-M-2}})$	2441/
-1 3	MCB COCTOCTTCCCTCAT VIC		$E_{2} = \frac{92}{h}$	Ea 61: bla
-W-3 1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1	MGB-COCICOTIOOOTOAI-VIC		$E_{c_02}(bla_{CTX-M-2})$	Ec_01. Dta <sub>CTX-M-1</sub> Eq. 22 (Casella T et al., 2017),
52(CI)			$Ec_{50}(bla_{CTX-M-2})$	EC_52 :
bld X9			Es 106 (blasmuss) blasmuss blasmuss	DIUCMY-2
	F• CGGCTGTGCTCAAGCAAA	87	$Es_{100}$ ( $bla_{CTX-M-2}$ ), $bla_{CTX-M-15}$ , $bla_{TEM-1B}$	Fc 82: hlacments
	<b>R</b> • ATGGGATTGTAGTTAATCAGGTCT	07	$Ec_{48}(blacry, w_0)$	24417 (Dahmen S et al., 2013).
_	<b>M</b> model in the monorement of the model of		EC_TO (Drac(IX-M-8)	blacty M 22
21.	OSY-CAACCTTCTGACTCAACACCTTCTTTTGCG-		Ec. 57 $(bla_{CTYMS})$	Ec 61: blacty MI
M-X 10	JUN			
E18			Ec 51 $(bla_{CTX,M,8})$	Kp 97: blashy 182. blapha 1
bl A			Ec 70 $(bla_{CTX-M-8})$	<u></u>
	F: AGAGACACCACCACGCCG	219	Ec 09 $(bla_{CTX-M-9})$ , $bla_{SHV-12}$	Ec 14: bla <sub>CTX-M-8</sub>
£ω	R: CCAGATCACCGCAATATCATTG		Ec_21 ( <i>bla</i> <sub>CTX-M-9</sub> ), <i>bla</i> <sub>SHV-12</sub>	Ec_82: <i>bla</i> <sub>CTX-M-2</sub>
1-9-g	QSY-TGGGCGAAACCCAGCGGG-ABY		$Ec_{64}$ ( $bla_{CTX-M-14}$ ), $bla_{TEM-1B}$	24417 (Dahmen S et al., 2013):
741				bla <sub>CTX-M-32</sub>
bla <sub>C</sub> AF1			$\frac{\text{Ec}_{85}}{44557} (bla_{\text{CTX-M-14}}), bla_{\text{TEM-1A}} \\ \frac{1}{44557} (bla_{\text{CTX-M-14}}), bla_{\text{CTX-M-27}}) (bla_{\text{CTX-M-27}})$	Ec_61: <i>bla</i> <sub>CTX-M-1</sub>
	F: AGAGACACCACCACGCCG <sup>d)</sup>	209	$Ec_{09} (bla_{CTX-M-9}), bla_{SHV-12}$	Ec_74: bla <sub>CTX-M-14b</sub> , bla <sub>OXA-1</sub>
$\frac{bl}{ac}$	$\stackrel{\frown}{\simeq} \stackrel{\flat}{\simeq} \mathbf{R}$ : CCAGATCACCGCAATATCATTG <sup>d)</sup>		$Ec_{21}$ ( $bla_{CTX-M-9}$ ), $bla_{SHV-12}$	<u>Ec_75</u> : <i>bla</i> <sub>CTX-M-27</sub>

	MGB-TCGTGGACTGCAGGTG-VIC		<u>Ec_22</u> ( <i>bla</i> <sub>CTX-M-9</sub> )	38632 (Melo LC et al., 2019): bla <sub>CTX-</sub>
			<u>Ec 88</u> ( $bla_{CTX-M-9}$ ), $bla_{SHV-12}$ Ec 66 ( $bla_{CTX-M-9}$ ) $bla_{SHV-12}$	$\underline{\text{Ec}\_11}^{\text{M-27}}: bla_{\text{CTX-M-27}}, bla_{\text{TEM-1B}}$
	MGB-TCGTGGACTGTGGGTG-FAM	209	$Ec_{00}(blac_{TX-M-9}), bla_{SHV-12}$	Ec. 21: hlacry we hlasing in
- <sup>9gr</sup>		207	Ec. 74 ( $bla_{CTY,M,27}$ ), $bla_{OYA,1}$	Ec 22: $blacty M_0$
2 <sup>d</sup> d)			38632 (Melo LC et al., 2019) (blactx M.27)	Ec 88: blacty M9. blashy 12
аст 1770 F25			Ec 41 ( $bla_{CTX,M,14b}$ ) $bla_{TEM,14}$	Ec 66: bla <sub>CTX-M.9</sub> , bla <sub>SHV-12</sub>
19 102 C	1		44557 (Melo LC et al., 2019) (bla <sub>CTX-M-27</sub> )	
4 m	MGB-TGGTGCCGTAGCCG-VIC	209	<u>Ec_75</u> ( <i>bla</i> <sub>CTX-M-27</sub> )	Ec_41: bla <sub>CTX-M-14</sub> , bla <sub>TEM-1B</sub> ,
9- <sup>0</sup>				bla <sub>OXA-1</sub>
17X-1 156			<u>Ec_86</u> ( <i>bla</i> <sub>CTX-M-27</sub> )	<u>Ec_22</u> : bla <sub>CTX-M-9</sub>
Y]			$38632^{(Melo LC et al., 2019)} (bla_{CTX-M-27})$	Ec_88: bla <sub>CTX-M-9</sub> , bla <sub>SHV-12</sub>
9 7 4 -	•		<u>Ec_11</u> ( $bla_{CTX-M-27}$ ), $bla_{TEM-1B}$	Ec_66: bla <sub>CTX-M-9</sub> , bla <sub>SHV-12</sub>
			44557 (Meio LC et al., 2019) (bla <sub>CTX-M-27</sub> )	
	F: GGCAAACAGTGGCAGGGTAT	101	<u>Ec_04, bla<sub>TEM-1B</sub></u>	<u>Ec_50</u> : $bla_{\text{CTX-M-14}}$ , $bla_{\text{TEM-1B}}$ , $bla_{\text{OXA-1}}$
<u>,                                    </u>	R: AATGCGGCTTTATCCCTAACG		Ec_62	Ec_84: bla <sub>CTX-M-1</sub> , bla <sub>TEM-1B</sub>
340-5	QSY-CACTTAGCCACCTATACGGCAGGCGG-ABY		$Ec_{35}, bla_{TEM-1B}$	Ec_53: bla <sub>CTX-M-15</sub> , bla <sub>TEM-1B</sub>
918			Ec_23, bla <sub>TEM-1A</sub> , bla <sub>OXA-1</sub>	Ec_39: bla <sub>CTX-M-15</sub> , bla <sub>TEM-1B</sub>
IA X			<u>Ec_36</u> , <i>bla</i> <sub>TEM-1B</sub>	
	F: AACTTTCACAGGTGTGCTGGG (Swayne R et al., 2013)	219	Kp_100, <i>bla</i> <sub>SHV-182</sub> , <i>bla</i> <sub>OXA-1</sub>	Ec_58: bla <sub>CTX-M-1</sub> , bla <sub>TEM-190</sub>
5.]	R: GGCTGCCACTGCTGATAGAAR (Swayne R et al., 2013)		Kp_103, bla <sub>SHV-182</sub> , bla <sub>OXA-1</sub>	Ec_28: bla <sub>CTX-M-1</sub> , bla <sub>TEM-1A</sub>
<sup>IA-1,</sup> 01431	MGB-AGGCGGRCTGCCGT-FAM		Ec_34, bla <sub>OXA-48</sub> , bla <sub>SHV-182</sub> , bla <sub>OXA-1</sub>	<u>Ec_50</u> : $bla_{\text{CTX-M-14}}, bla_{\text{TEM-1B}},$ $bla_{\text{OXA-1}}$
			Ec 45, $bla_{OXA,48}$ , $bla_{SHV,182}$ , $bla_{OXA,1}$	Ec 110: $bla_{CTX-M-1}$ $bla_{TEM-1A}$
19 Ž			Ec_87, $bla_{OXA-48}$ , $bla_{SHV-182}$ , $bla_{OXA-1}$	
	F: TGTTTATCAAGAATTTGCCCGC <sup>e)</sup>	232	Ec_78, bla <sub>CMY-2</sub> , bla <sub>TEM-1B</sub>	Ec_50: bla <sub>CTX-M-14</sub> bla <sub>TEM-1B</sub> ,
				bla <sub>OXA-1</sub>
	R: TTCGGTCAGCATGGCTTGT <sup>e)</sup>		Ec_34, bla <sub>DHA-1</sub> , bla <sub>SHV-182</sub> , bla <sub>OXA-1</sub>	33904 (Lupo A et al., 2018b): bla <sub>CTX-</sub>
3.2				M-55
<sup>48,</sup> 073	MGB-CGACGGTGGTATTCGA-FAM		Ec_45, bla <sub>DHA-1</sub> , bla <sub>SHV-182</sub> , bla <sub>OXA-1</sub>	24424 (Dahmen S et al., 2013):
36-XA-				bla <sub>CTX-M-3</sub> , bla <sub>TEM-1B</sub>
$_{X2}^{la_0}$			Ec_87, bla <sub>DHA-1</sub> , bla <sub>SHV-182</sub> , bla <sub>OXA-1</sub>	<u>Kt_105</u> : <i>bla</i> <sub>SHV-11</sub> , <i>bla</i> <sub>SHV-67</sub> ,
P P			Kp_101	bla <sub>DHA-1</sub> , bla <sub>OXA-1</sub>
<u>َ</u>	MGB-CGATGGTGGTATTCGCATT-VIC	232	<u>Ec_02</u>	<u>Ec_34</u> : <i>bla</i> <sub>OXA-48</sub> , <i>bla</i> <sub>SHV-182</sub>
800				bla <sub>DHA-1</sub> , bla <sub>OXA-1</sub>
058 058			<u>Ec_15</u>	<u>Ec_45</u> : $bla_{OXA-48}$ , $bla_{SHV-182}$
N2 N2				$bla_{\text{DHA-1}}, bla_{\text{OXA-1}}$
5 1				<u>Ec_87</u> : bla <sub>OXA-48</sub> , bla <sub>SHV-182</sub>

 $\frac{bla_{\text{DHA-1}}}{\text{Kp}} \frac{bla_{\text{OXA-1}}}{100} \cdot bla_{\text{DHA-1}} \cdot bla_{\text{SHV-182}}, \\ \frac{bla_{\text{OXA-1}}}{bla_{\text{OXA-1}}} \cdot bla_{\text{SHV-182}},$ 

Note: a) All qPCRs were tested using the target-free E. coli CIP 74.26

<sup>1</sup> Kote<sup>1</sup> All qPCKs were tested using the target-free *E. coll* CIP 74.26
<sup>b)</sup> For the bla<sub>CTX-M-1gr-2397</sub> and bla<sub>CTX-M-1gr-239C</sub> qPCR assays were used the same primers used for bla<sub>CTX-M-1gr</sub>.
<sup>c)</sup> For the bla<sub>CTX-M-1gr-725C</sub> qPCR assay were used the same primes used for bla<sub>CTX-M-1gr-725G</sub>.
<sup>d)</sup> For the bla<sub>CTX-M-9gr-701T702G</sub> and bla<sub>CTX-M-9gr-725G</sub> qPCR assays were used the same primes used for bla<sub>CTX-M-9gr-701C702A</sub>.
<sup>e)</sup> For the bla<sub>OXA-181</sub> qPCR assay were used the same primes used for bla<sub>OXA-48-like</sub>.
<sup>e)</sup> For the bla<sub>OXA-181</sub> qPCR assay were used the same primes used for bla<sub>OXA-48-like</sub>.
<sup>e)</sup> Ec: Escherichia coli; Ab: Acinetobacter baumannii; Kt: Klebsiella terrigena; Kp: Klebsiella pneumoniae; Ecl: Enterobacter cloacae; Es: Enterobacter sakazakii.

Table 2. Primes and probes (TaqMan, Applied Biosystem) sequences of nine qPCRs on aminoglycosides resistance genes and features of positive (n = 32) and negative control isolates (n = 9). Genetic content of the isolates was determined by PCR or genome sequencing (underlined isolates) and analysis with ResFinder 3.2.

Gene, Accession number	Primer F; R; probe sequence (5'→3')	Amplicon size (bp)	Isolate containing the target and other genes relative to aminoglycosides resistance	Isolates target-free and other genes relative to aminoglycosides resistance a)
aac(3)-IIa, AY333434.1	F: AGGACATCGTGACGTTCGG R: AACCTGAAGGCTCGCAAGAG QSY-CGGAGTGGTTCCGAAATGCTTCTCAAG-ABY	105	Ec_37 <u>32189</u> (Lupo A et al., 2018b), rmtB, aac(6')-Ib <u>Ec_44</u> , strA/B, aph(3')-Ia, ant(2")-Ia <u>Kp</u> 98, strA/B, aac(6')-Ib-cr <u>Ab</u> 94 , ant(3")-IIa, aac(6)-Ian	Ec 12: none
aac(3)-IV, X01385.1	F: ATCGGTCAGCTTCTCAACCT R: TCGTCCAGACCTGACCAC QSY-TCCACAGCTCCTTCCGTAGCGTCC-ABY	173	Ec_01 Ec_80 Ec_84. strA/B, $aph(3')$ -Ia, $aph(4)$ -Ia, ant(3'')-Ia Kp_103, $ant(3'')$ -Ia Ec_54. $aac(3)$ -IId $aph(4)$ -Ia, $aph(3')$ -Ia ant(3'')-Ia	$\frac{\text{Ec} 13: \text{ none}}{\text{Ec} 55: \text{ none}}$ $\frac{\text{Ec} 68: \text{ none}}{\text{Ec} 68: \text{ none}}$
aac(6)-Ib, JQ808129.1	F: AACTTGCGAGCGATCCGA R: TGGCGTGTTTGAACCATGTAC QSY-TACCTTGCTTCTCAAACCCCGCTTTCTC-JUN	101	<u>20071</u> (Madec JY et al., 2012), <i>aac(6')-Ib-cr</i> , <i>aac(3)-IIa</i> , <i>ant(3'')-Ia</i> , <i>ant(2'')-Ia</i> <u>Ec_04</u> , <i>aac(6')-Ib-cr</i> <u>Kp_102</u> , <i>strA/B</i> , <i>aac(6')-Ib-cr</i> , <i>ant(2'')-Ia</i> 33904 (Lupo A et al., 2018b), <i>aac(6')-Ib</i>	

	_		(I	
			<u>32189</u> (Lupo A et al., 2018b), aac(6')-Ib, rmtB	
	F: TCAATCCCGACTTCTTACCGG (Walsh F et al., 2011)	126	<u>34972</u> (Lupo A et al., 2017), strA/B, aac(3)-IIa,	
			aac(6')-Ian	
	R: CACCATGGCAAACAACCATATC		38208 (Lupo A et al., 2017) strA/B, $aac(3)$ -IIa,	
			aac(6')-Ian	
	MGB-TGGCTCGTGTCGAAC-VIC		$Ab_{107}(Lapo A et al., 2017)(Lapo A et al., 2017)(Lapo$	
_			strA/B, $aac(3)-IIa$	
20			$\frac{Ab_{-109}}{Aetal_{-2017}} \rightarrow A(B_{-100}) = (2) H_{-100} = (2)$	
54(			<i>Luc. mat(2'')</i> , <i>strA/B</i> , <i>aac(3)-IIa</i> , <i>aac(0')-</i>	
6M			<i>Ian, ani(2)-Ia</i> AL 100(Lupo A et al., 2017)(Lupo A et al., 2017)(Lupo	
A, I			$\frac{AD_{108}}{A \text{ et al., 2017}} = 4\pi A/B = \pi \pi a(2) \text{ II}_{\pi} = \pi \pi a(6')$	
str			(5), SITA/B, $aac(5)$ -IIa, $aac(6)$ -	
	F. ATCGCTTTGCAGCTTTGTTTC (Walsh Fet al., 2011)	112	34972 (Lupo A et al., 2017) str $4/B$ agc(3)-IIa	
	<b>I</b> . Micdellide/dellidellie	112	$\frac{54772}{aac(6')$ -Ian , $\frac{54772}{aac(6')}$	
	R: TCCGAGGCATT GCTCATCATT		38208 (Lupo A et al., 2017) strA/B. aac(3)-IIa,	
			aac(6')-Ian	
	QSY-CGTCCACGCGGCGATTATAGCC-JUN		Ab_107 <sup>(Lupo A et al., 2017)(Lupo A et al., 2017)(Lupo</sup>	
2.1			A et al., 2017), strA/B, aac(3)-IIa	
400			<u>Ab_109</u> <sup>(Lupo A et al., 2017)</sup> (Lupo A et al., 2017)(Lupo	
195			A et al., 2017), strA/B, aac(3)-IIa, aac(6')-	
2			Ian, ant(2")-Ia	
trB			<u>Ab_108</u> , <i>aac</i> (3)-IIa, <i>aac</i> (6)-Ian,	
~		104	ant(2")-Ia	
	F: ACCGAGGCAGTTCCATAGGA	104	<u>Ab_92</u> , $aac(3)$ -1a, $ant(2^{-})$ -1a, $ant(3^{-})$ -	
ŕ _			$\frac{1a}{2} = \frac{1}{2} \frac$	
.)- <i>lu</i>	N: ACCITATITITOACOAOGOGAAA		$A0_{95}, aac(3)-ia, ant(3)-ia$	
1(3 03:	USI-AICCIOUTAICOUTCIOCOATICCOA-JUN		$\underline{\text{Ec}}_{83}$ , $\underline{\text{surA/B}}$ , $\underline{\text{uni}}(5)$ - $\underline{\text{ui}}$	
da A O			$Ec_{77}$ , $strAB_{aac}(3)$ -IIa	
	F: CGGCGAGCTCGAGGC	135	$20071^{(Madec JY et al., 2012)}$ $ac(3)-IIa$	
-		155	$\frac{20071}{ant(3'')-Ia}$ , $uuc(3)$ $Hu,$	
la, 28.	R: ATTTCATACGCTTCGTCTGCC		Ec 19, $strA/B$ , $aph(3')$ -Ia, $ant(3'')$ -Ia	
-(	<b>OSY</b> -TCATGGAGGAGTTGGACTATGGATTCTTAGCG- <b>ABY</b>		Ab 109, strA/B, aac(3)-IIa, aac(6')-Ian	
12,00			Ec 79, strA/B ant(3")-Ia	
Ε			Ec_89, strA/B, ant(3")-Ia	
	F: ACGTTGTCCCGCATTTGG	53	<u>Ec_17</u> , aph(3')-Ia	
3")- 134	R: TCCTTCGGCGCGATTTT		Ec_64, strA/B, aph(3')-Ia, ant(2")-Ia	
"H3"	MGB-ACAGCGCAGTAACC-FAM		<u>Ec_25</u> , <i>aac</i> (3)-IIa	
I A I			<u>Ab_92</u> , aph(3')-Ia, aac(3)-Ia	

			<u>Ab_93</u> , aph(3')-Ia, aac(3)-Ia	
	F: CTCACCTCCATCCTGGCCT	89	Ec_59	Ec_50: strA/B, aac(3)-IId,
				aph(3')-Ia, ant(3")-Ia
5.1	R: TTATGCCGCCCCCATTC		$32189^{(Lupo A et al., 2018b)} aac(6')-Ib,$	<u>Kt_105</u> : strA/B, aac(3)-IId,
206			aac(3)-IIa	aac(6')-Ib-cr, aph(3')-Ia
33.1	QSY-ACCGCGCCCTTTGCCCG-JUN		34248 (Lupo A et al., 2018b) aac(6')-Ib	Ec_43: strA/B, aac(3)-IId,
mtb B1				ant(3')-Ia
r A				<u>Ab_90</u> : strA/B, aac(3)-IIa

Note: <sup>a)</sup> All qPCRs were tested using the target-free *E. coli* CIP 74.26.

Ec: Escherichia coli; Ab: Acinetobacter baumannii; Kt: Klebsiella terrigena; Kp: Klebsiella pneumoniae.

Table 3. Primes and probes (TaqMan, Applied Biosystem) sequences of qPCRs on fluoroquinolones resistance genes (*qnrB1*, *qnrS*, *aac*(6)-*Ib-cr*), colistin (*mcr1*, *mcr3*), inhibitors of folic acid pathways (*sul1*, *sul2*, *sul3*, *drfA1*, *dfrA12*, *dfrA17*), tetracyclines (*tetA*, *tetB*, *tetM*), and integrons (*int11* and *int12*) and features of positive and negative control isolates. Genetic content of the isolates was determined by PCR or genome sequencing (underlined isolates) and analysis with ResFinder 3.2.

Gene, Accession number	Primer F; R; probe sequence (5'→3')	Amplicon size (bp)	Isolate containing the target and other genes relative to the resistanc conferred by target	Isolates target-free and other egenes relative to the resistance conferred by the target <sup>a</sup>
	F: GTAGCGCATATATCACGAATACCAATC (Dheilly A et al., 2012)	131	Es_106, aac(6')-Ib-cr	<u>Ec_12</u> : none
	R: ATCTGAACCACTGAACGTCGC		Ec_75, oqxAB, aac(6')-Ib-cr	<u>Ec_13</u> : none
1.1	QSY-AAGTCGTGTTGGAAAAGTGTGAGCTGTGG-JUN		Kp_98(Lupo A et al., 2018b)(Lupo A et al., 2018b)(Lupo A et al., 2018b)	
124			20180)(Lupo A ci al., 20180), oqxAB, aac(6')-	<u>Ec_55</u> : none
<i>B1</i> , 351			lb-cr	
N OC			Kp_104, oqxAB, aac(6')-Ib-cr	<u>Ec_68</u> : none
51			Kp_102, oqxAB, aac(6')-Ib-cr	
	F: CGACGWGCTAACTTGCGTGA	118	<u>Ec_84</u>	
5.1	R: GGCATTGTTGGAAACTTGCA (Brown-Jaque M et al., 2018)		34228 (Lupo A et al., 2018b), oqxA, qnrS,	
51:			aac(6')-Ib-cr	
. 87	QSY-TCATTGAACAGGGTGATATCGAAGGCTGC-JUN		<u>Ec_85</u>	
mrS B1			Kt_105, oqxAB, qnrB, aac(6')-Ib-cr	
A A			Ec_33	
<u>.</u> .	F: AACTTGCGAGCGATCCGA	101	Ec_26	<u>Ec_17</u> : <i>aac</i> (6')- <i>Ib</i>
247 247	R: TGGCGTGTTTGAACCATGTAC		Ec_37	32189 (Lupo A et al., 2018b): aac(6')-Ib
38:	MGB-TACGGTACCTTGCCTCT-VIC		20071 (Madec JY et al., 2012)	<u>34248</u> (Lupo A et al., 2018b): aac(6')-Ib
<u>, 5</u>			22611 (Dahmen S et al., 2013)	33058 (Lupo A et al., 2018b): aac(6')-Ib
8 2 U -	4		<u>Kp_99</u> , oqxAB, qnrB4	

<i>mcr-1</i> , KP347127.1	F: TCGGTCAGTCCGTTTGTTCTT R: TGGTGATCAGTAGCATCGCG QSY-TCTTGACCGCGACCGCCAATCT-JUN	166	Ec_05 Ec_10 30271 (Lupo A et al., 2018b) Ec_69 Ec_43, mcr3	Es         106           27841         (Haenni M et al., 2018); mcr-3           27845         (Lupo A et al., 2018b); mcr-3           32524         (Haenni M et al., 2018); mcr-3
mcr-3, KY924928.1	F: CCACGTTGATGTTTCTGGTCG R: ATCATTAAAGGAGATCACGCCAC QSY-TCTCGATGAATGGCTATGAGAAAGACACCA-ABY	122	27841 (Haenni M et al., 2018) 32524 (Haenni M et al., 2018) 33058 (Lupo A et al., 2018b) 33904 (Lupo A et al., 2018b) <u>Ec. 43</u> , mcr1	Es_106 32189 (Lupo A et al., 2018b) Ec_07 Ec_69: mcr-1
<i>sul1</i> , X12868.1	F: CCGTTGGCCTTCCTGTAAAG <sup>(Czekalski N et al., 2012)</sup> R: TTGCCGATCGCGTGAAGT <sup>(Czekalski N et al., 2012)</sup> QSY-CAGCGAGCCTTGCGGCGG-ABY <sup>(Czekalski N et al., 2012)</sup>	67	Ec_26, <i>sul</i> 2 Ec_37, <i>sul</i> 2 Ec_48, <i>sul</i> 2 <u>Ec_43</u> , <i>sul</i> 3 Ab 92	$\begin{array}{l} \text{Ec}\_57: sul2\\ \text{Ec}\_18: sul2\\ \text{Ec}\_41: sul2\\ \text{Kp}\_105: sul2 \end{array}$
<i>sul2</i> , M36657.1	F: CGGCTGCGCTTCGATT <sup>(Czekalski N et al., 2012)</sup> R: CGCGCGCAGAAAGGATT <sup>(Czekalski N et al., 2012)</sup> MGB-TGCTTCTGTCTGTTTCG-FAM	60	Ec_48, sul1 Ec_51 <u>Ab 90</u> <u>Ec_08, sul3</u> Ec_50, sul1	Ec_26: sul1 <u>Ec_04: sul1</u> <u>Ec_17: sul3</u> <u>Kp_97: sul1</u>
<i>sul3</i> , AJ459418. 2	F: TAGGCTGCAAAGATAGGGAAAATG R: CAACGCCCACTTCAGTTGTATC QSY-TGGAGCAGATGTGATTGATTTGGGAGC-JUN	268	Ec_28 Ec_39, sul1, sul2 Ec_46, sul2, sul3 Ec_56, sul2, sul3 Ec_08, sul2	Ec_49: sul1, sul2 Ec_72: sul2 Ec_81: sul2 22611 <sup>(Dahmen S et al., 2013)</sup> : sul1, sul2
dfrAI, X00926.1	F: TCGAAGAATGGAGTTATCGGGA R: TGTCAGATGTAAAACTTGAACGTGTTA QSY-TGGGAGCATTACCCAACCGAAAGTATGC-ABY	184	Ec_38 27259 <sup>(Lupo A et al., 2018a)</sup> , dfrA17 Ec_06 Ec_63 Ab_91	<u>Ec_72</u> : dfrA5, dfrA17 <u>22611</u> <sup>(Dahmen S et al., 2013)</sup> : dfrA12 <u>Kp_105</u> <u>Kp_102</u> : dfrA14
dfrA12, Z21672.1	F: TGCTGCGATGGGAGCC R: GACGGTTCGGTAGAGGCTTG QSY-TTCGCAGACTCACTGAGGGAAAAGTCGT-ABY	158	Ec_17 Ec_47 Ec_08, dfrA17 Ec_54 45833 (Lupo A et al., 2018a)	37698 <sup>(Lupo A et al., 2018a)</sup> : dfrA17 Ab 94 Ab 91: dfrA1 Ab 95: dfrA1
df 17	F: GCGTAATCGGTAGTGGTCCTGA	162	<u>Ec_18</u> , dfrA1	<u>Ab_94</u>

	R: TTCCGTTCTTTGACACTACTGCAT		27259 (Lupo A et al., 2018a)	45833 (Lupo A et al., 2018a): dfrA12
	QSY-TTGACTCTATGGGTGTTCTTCCAAATCGCA-ABY		<u>Ec_08</u> , dfrA12	<u>Ab_91</u> : dfrA1
			37698 (Lupo A et al., 2018a)	<u>Ab_95</u> : dfrA1
			<u>Ec_35</u>	
	F-TCTGAGCACTGTCGCGCTC	156	<u>Ec_52</u>	<u>Ab_94</u> : <i>tetB</i>
5.1	R-ACAGGTGCGCAGGCAAA		<u>Ec_06</u>	<u>Ab_90</u> : <i>tetB</i>
00	QSY-TGGTTCACTCGAACGACGTCACCG-JUN		<u>Ec_05</u> , tetM	<u>Ec_55</u> : none
14. 00			<u>Es_106</u>	<u>Ec_68</u> : none
$\mathbf{x}$			<u>Kp_105</u>	
	F: TGTGGCAGGAAGAATAGCCAC	221	<u>34972</u> (Lupo A et al., 2017)	<u>Ec_13</u> : none
212	R: ATCCCTGTAAAG CACCTTGCTG		<u>Ab_90</u>	<u>Ec_55</u> : none
22	QSY-TTGGCTGGTGGTGGGATCGCTT-ABY		<u>Ab_107</u>	<u>Ec_68</u> : none
$\Gamma_{12}^{tB}$			<u>Ab_92</u> , <i>tetA</i>	<u>Ab_92</u> : tetA
<i>2</i> Ш —			<u>Ab_91</u>	
	<b>F:</b> CGGTTTCTCTTGGATACTTAAATCAATC <sup>(Peak N et al., 2007)</sup>	102	<u>Ec_39</u> , <i>tetA/B</i>	<u>Ec_40</u> : $tetA/B$
5.1	R: AGTCCGTCACATTCCAACCATAC		<u>Ec_51, tetA</u>	<u>Ec_73</u> : <i>tetB</i>
38	QSY-TGTTCACAGCCATAGCGTATCCCCTCC-JUN		<u>Ec_05</u> , <i>tetA</i>	<u>Ec_55</u> : none
104 04			<u>Ec_64</u> , <i>tetB</i>	<u>Ec_68</u> : none
z X			<u>Ec_24</u>	
	F-GCCTTGATGTTACCCGAGAGC (Barraud O et al., 2010)	196	<u>Ec_38</u> , intI2	
	R-GATCGGTCGAATGCGTGTG (Barraud O et al., 2010)			
Ξ.	QSY-ATTCCTGGCCGTGGTTCTGGGTTTT-ABY (Barraud O et al	.,	<u>Kp_105</u>	
597	2010)			
780			27259 (Lupo A et al., 2018a)	Ec. 12: none
ED FO			<u>32137</u> (Lupo A et al., 2018a)	$E_{12}$ . none
μa			<u>Ec_85</u>	$E_{c}$ 55: none
	F-GACGGCTACCCTCTGTTATCTCTG (Barraud O et al., 2010)	194	<u>Ec_38</u>	Ec. 68: none
	R-GCTTTTCCCACCCTTACCGT (Barraud O et al., 2010)		<u>Ec_51</u>	<u></u>
316	QSY-CGCTGCTGTATGGTGCAGGTTTGC-JUN (Barraud O et al.,		<u>Ec_18</u>	
012	2010)			
ut[ J0			<u>Kp_105</u>	
N V			Ec 07	

Note: <sup>a)</sup> All the qPCRs were tested using the target-free *E. coli* CIP 74.26. Ec: *Escherichia coli*; Ab: *Acinetobacter baumannii*; Kt: *Klebsiella terrigena*; Kp: *Klebsiella pneumoniae*; Es: *Enterobacter sakazakii*.







**Figure 1.** Features of qPCRs using hydrolysis probes (TaqMan, Applied Biosystem) on the most common acquired antibiotic resistance genes in enterobacteria in Europe, 16S rDNA, and *int11* and *int12* genes encoding integrases of class 1 and 2 integrons. Standard curves were obtained using serial (10-fold) dilutions of purified PCR products in technical triplicates. Equations were obtained from the mean quantitative cycle (Cq) values plotted against the gene copies number (gcn). E: efficiency; LoQ: limit of quantification.

b)

**Figure 2:** Cluster plot of single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) genotyping using hydrolysis probes (TaqMan, Applied Biosystem) on a)  $bla_{CTX-M-1-group}$  and b)  $bla_{CTX-M-9-group}$  most common variants. RFU: relative fluorescence unit.

- a) The allele 239C, whose probe is VIC labeled, is relative to  $bla_{CTX-M-3/15}$ , whereas the 239T allele, whose probe is marked with FAM, is relative to  $bla_{CTX-M-1/55}$ . The allele 725G, whose probe is labeled with FAM, is relative to  $bla_{CTX-M-1/55}$ , whereas the allele 725C, whose probe is marked with VIC, is relative to  $bla_{CTX-M-1/55}$ , whereas the allele 725C, whose probe is marked with VIC, is relative to  $bla_{CTX-M-1/55}$ .
- **b**) The allele 701C702A, whose probe is labeled with VIC, is relative to  $bla_{\text{CTX-M-9}}$ . The allele 701T702G, whose probe is labeled with FAM, is relative to  $bla_{\text{CTX-M-14/27}}$ . Only isolates harboring the 725G allele whose probe is labeled with VIC and relative to  $bla_{\text{CTX-M-27}}$  produced an amplification.

Tony Rochegüe : Investigation ; data curation; Writing - original draft Marisa Haenni : Funding acquisition ; conceptualization Géraldine Cazeau : Visualization ; validation Véronique Metayer : Investigation Jean-Yves Madec : conceptualization ; Writing - review & editing Tristan Ferry : Funding acquisition ; Writing - review & editing Agnese Lupo : conceptualization; supervision; Writing - review & editing

# Acknowledgments

Authors are grateful to all the research assistants of the AVB unit at the ANSES-Lyon.

This work was supported by the LABEX ECOFECT (ANR-11-LABX-0048) of Université de Lyon, within the program "Investissements d'Avenir" (ANR-11-IDEX-0007) operated by the French National Research Agency (ANR) and proper funding from ANSES.

- 1. Alfaresi MS and Elkoush AA. Real-time polymerase chain reaction for rapid detection of genes encoding SHV extended-spectrum beta-lactamases. Indian journal of medical microbiology. 2010;28:332-336. <u>https://doi.org/10.4103/0255-0857.71827</u>
- 2. Alonso CA, Michael GB, Li J, et al. Analysis of *bla*<sub>SHV-12</sub>-carrying *Escherichia coli* clones and plasmids from human, animal and food sources. Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy. 2017;72:1589-1596. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkx024</u>
- 3. Amos GC, Gozzard E, Carter CE, et al. Validated predictive modelling of the environmental resistome. Isme j. 2015;9:1467-76. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2014.237</u>
- 4. Appelbaum PC. 2012 and beyond: potential for the start of a second pre-antibiotic era? J Antimicrob Chemother. 2012;67:2062-8. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dks213</u>
- 5. Barraud O, Baclet MC, Denis F, et al. Quantitative multiplex real-time PCR for detecting class 1, 2 and 3 integrons. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2010;65:1642-5. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkq167</u>
- 6. Birkett CI, Ludlam HA, Woodford N, et al. Real-time TaqMan PCR for rapid detection and typing of genes encoding CTX-M extended-spectrum beta-lactamases. J Med Microbiol. 2007;56:52-55. https://doi.org/10.1099/jmm.0.46909-0
- 7. Bliziotis IA, Samonis G, Vardakas KZ, et al. Effect of aminoglycoside and beta-lactam combination therapy versus beta-lactam monotherapy on the emergence of antimicrobial resistance: a metaanalysis of randomized, controlled trials. Clinical Infectious Diseases. 2005;41:149-158. https://doi.org/10.1086/430912
- Bourély C, Cazeau G, Jarrige N, et al. Co-resistance to amoxicillin and tetracycline as an indicator of multidrug resistance in *Escherichia coli* isolates from animals. Front Microbiol. 2019;10:2288. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2019.02288</u>
- 9. Bradford PA. Extended-spectrum beta-lactamases in the 21st century: characterization, epidemiology, and detection of this important resistance threat. Clin Microbiol Rev. 2001;14:933-51, table of contents. <u>https://doi.org/10.1128/cmr.14.4.933-951.2001</u>
- 10. Brown-Jaque M, Calero-Cáceres W, Espinal P, et al. Antibiotic resistance genes in phage particles isolated from human faeces and induced from clinical bacterial isolates. International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents. 2018;51:434-442. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2017.11.014
- 11. Bustin SA, Benes V, Garson JA, et al. The MIQE guidelines: Minimum Information for Publication of Quantitative real-time PCR experiments. Clinical Chemistry. 2019;55:611-622. https://doi.org/10.1373/clinchem.2008.112797
- 12. Calero-Cáceres W, Melgarejo A, Colomer-Lluch M, et al. Sludge as a potential important source of antibiotic resistance genes in both the bacterial and bacteriophage fractions. Environ Sci Technol. 2014;48:7602-11. <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/es501851s</u>
- 13. Carattoli A. Plasmids and the spread of resistance. Int J Med Microbiol. 2013;303:298-304. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijmm.2013.02.001
- 14. Carattoli A, Tosini F, Giles WP, et al. Characterization of plasmids carrying *bla*<sub>CMY-2</sub> from expanded-spectrum cephalosporin-resistant *Salmonella* strains isolated in the United States between 1996 and 1998. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2002;46:1269-72. <u>https://doi.org/10.1128/aac.46.5.1269-1272.2002</u>
- 15. Casals-Pascual C, Vergara A, and Vila J. Intestinal microbiota and antibiotic resistance: Perspectives and solutions. Human Microbiome Journal. 2018;9:11-15. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.humic.2018.05.002
- 16. Casella T, Nogueira MCL, Saras E, et al. High prevalence of ESBLs in retail chicken meat despite reduced use of antimicrobials in chicken production, France. International Journal of Food Microbiology. 2017;257:271-275. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2017.07.005
- 17. Chabou S, Leangapichart T, Okdah L, et al. Real-time quantitative PCR assay with Taqman® probe for rapid detection of *mcr-1* plasmid-mediated colistin resistance. New Microbes and New Infections. 2016;13:71-74. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nmni.2016.06.017</u>
- 18. Chavda KD, Satlin MJ, Chen L, et al. Evaluation of a multiplex PCR assay to rapidly detect *Enterobacteriaceae* with a broad range of beta-lactamases directly from perianal swabs. Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy. 2016;60:6957-6961. <u>https://doi.org/10.1128/aac.01458-16</u>

TEM-52

and humans in Belgium and France between 2001 and 2005. Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy. 2007;51:1872-1875. <u>https://doi.org/10.1128/aac.01514-06</u>

- 20. Colomer-Lluch M, Jofre J, and Muniesa M. Quinolone resistance genes (*qnrA* and *qnrS*) in bacteriophage particles from wastewater samples and the effect of inducing agents on packaged antibiotic resistance genes. Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy. 2014;69:1265-1274. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkt528
- 21. Conway T, Krogfelt K, and Cohen P. The life of commensal *Escherichia coli* in the mammalian intestine. EcoSal Plus. 2004;<u>https://doi.org/10.1128/ecosalplus.8.3.1.2</u>
- 22. Czekalski N, Berthold T, Caucci S, et al. Increased levels of multiresistant bacteria and resistance genes after wastewater treatment and their dissemination into lake geneva, Switzerland. Front Microbiol. 2012;3:106. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2012.00106</u>
- Dahmen S, Haenni M, Châtre P, et al. Characterization of *bla*<sub>CTX-M</sub> IncFII plasmids and clones of *Escherichia coli* from pets in France. Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy. 2013;68:2797-2801. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkt291
- 24. Daniels JB, Campbell D, Boyd S, et al. Development and validation of a clinical laboratory improvement amendments-compliant multiplex real-time PCR assay for detection of *mcr* genes. Microb Drug Resist. 2019;25:991-996. <u>https://doi.org/10.1089/mdr.2018.0417</u>
- 25. Deng Y, Bao X, Ji L, et al. Resistance integrons: class 1, 2 and 3 integrons. Ann Clin Microbiol Antimicrob. 2015;14:45. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s12941-015-0100-6</u>
- 26. Dheilly A, Le Devendec L, Mourand G, et al. Resistance gene transfer during treatments for experimental avian colibacillosis. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2012;56:189-96. https://doi.org/10.1128/aac.05617-11
- 27. Di Conza J, Ayala JA, Power P, et al. Novel class 1 integron (*InS21*) carrying *bla*<sub>CTX-M-2</sub> in *Salmonella enterica* serovar *infantis*. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2002;46:2257-61. <u>https://doi.org/10.1128/aac.46.7.2257-2261.2002</u>
- 28. Diab M, Hamze M, Madec J-Y, et al. High prevalence of non-ST131 CTX-M-15-producing *Escherichia coli* in healthy cattle in Lebanon. Microbial drug resistance (Larchmont, N.Y.). 2017;23:261-266. <u>https://doi.org/10.1089/mdr.2016.0019</u>
- 29. ECDC, Antimicrobial consumption in the EU/EEA, annual epidemiological report for 2018. Available from: <u>https://www.ecdc.europa.eu/en/publications-data/surveillance-antimicrobial-consumption-europe-2018</u>, 2019 (accessed 24 april 2020)
- 30. ESVAC, Sales of veterinary antimicrobial agents in 31 European countries in 2017. Available from: <u>https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/veterinary-regulatory/overview/antimicrobial-resistance/european-</u> <u>surveillance-veterinary-antimicrobial-consumption-esvac</u>, 2019 (accessed 28 april 2020)
- 31. Forootan A, Sjöback R, Björkman J, et al. Methods to determine limit of detection and limit of quantification in quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR). Biomol Detect Quantif. 2017;12:1-6. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bdq.2017.04.001
- 32. Fritsche TR, Castanheira M, Miller GH, et al. Detection of methyltransferases conferring high-level resistance to aminoglycosides in *Enterobacteriaceae* from Europe, North America, and Latin America. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2008;52:1843-5. <u>https://doi.org/10.1128/aac.01477-07</u>
- 33. Gow SP, Waldner CL, Harel J, et al. Associations between antimicrobial resistance genes in fecal generic *Escherichia coli* isolates from cow-calf herds in western Canada. Applied and Environmental Microbiology. 2008;74:3658-3666. <u>https://doi.org/10.1128/aem.02505-07</u>
- 34. Guarddon M, Miranda JM, Rodríguez JA, et al. Real-time polymerase chain reaction for the quantitative detection of *tetA* and *tetB* bacterial tetracycline resistance genes in food. International Journal of Food Microbiology. 2011;146:284-289. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2011.02.026
- 35. Guo X, Dillon BB, Ginn AN, et al. Simple multiplex real-time PCR for rapid detection of common 16S rRNA methyltransferase genes. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis. 2014;80:29-31. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diagmicrobio.2014.05.023
- 36. Guo Y-F, Zhang W-H, Ren S-Q, et al. IncA/C plasmid-mediated spread of *bla*<sub>CMY-2</sub> in multidrugresistant *Escherichia coli* from food animals in China. PLOS ONE. 2014;9:e96738. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0096738</u>

CTX-M-55

https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkx418

- 38. Ho P-L, Wong RC, Lo SW, et al. Genetic identity of aminoglycoside-resistance genes in *Escherichia coli* isolates from human and animal sources. Journal of Medical Microbiology. 2010;59:702-707. https://doi.org/10.1099/jmm.0.015032-0
- 39. Hong JS, Song W, Park H-M, et al. Clonal spread of extended-spectrum cephalosporin-resistant *Enterobacteriaceae* between companion animals and humans in South Korea. Frontiers in Microbiology. 2019;10:https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2019.01371
- 40. Huang X-Z, Cash DM, Chahine MA, et al. Development and validation of a multiplex TaqMan realtime PCR for rapid detection of genes encoding four types of class D carbapenemase in *Acinetobacter baumannii*. Journal of Medical Microbiology. 2012;61:1532-1537. https://doi.org/10.1099/jmm.0.045823-0
- 41. Ianiro G, Tilg H, and Gasbarrini A. Antibiotics as deep modulators of gut microbiota: between good and evil. Gut. 2016;65:1906-1915. <u>https://doi.org/10.1136/gutjnl-2016-312297</u>
- 42. Lachmayr KL, Kerkhof LJ, DiRienzo AG, et al. Quantifying nonspecific TEM beta-Lactamase (*bla*<sub>TEM</sub>) genes in a wastewater stream. Applied and Environmental Microbiology. 2009;75:203-211. https://doi.org/10.1128/aem.01254-08
- 43. Lee C, Kim J, Shin SG, et al. Absolute and relative qPCR quantification of plasmid copy number in *Escherichia coli*. Journal of Biotechnology. 2006;123:273-280. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiotec.2005.11.014
- 44. Leverstein-van Hall MA, HE MB, AR TD, et al. Multidrug resistance among *Enterobacteriaceae* is strongly associated with the presence of integrons and is independent of species or isolate origin. J Infect Dis. 2003;187:251-9. https://doi.org/10.1086/345880
- 45. Liakopoulos A, van der Goot J, Bossers A, et al. Genomic and functional characterisation of IncX3 plasmids encoding *bla*<sub>SHV-12</sub> in *Escherichia coli* from human and animal origin. Scientific Reports. 2018;8:7674. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-26073-5</u>
- 46. Livermore DM, Canton R, Gniadkowski M, et al. CTX-M: changing the face of ESBLs in Europe. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2007;59:165-74. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dk1483</u>
- 47. Lupo A, Châtre P, Ponsin C, et al. Clonal spread of *Acinetobacter baumannii* ST25 carrying *bla*<sub>OXA-23</sub> in companion animals in France. Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy. 2017;61:e01881-16. https://doi.org/10.1128/aac.01881-16
- 48. Lupo A, Haenni M, Saras E, et al. Is *bla*<sub>CTX-M-1</sub> riding the same plasmid among horses in Sweden and France? Microb Drug Resist. 2018a;<u>https://doi.org/10.1089/mdr.2017.0412</u>
- 49. Lupo A, Papp-Wallace KM, Sendi P, et al. Non-phenotypic tests to detect and characterize antibiotic resistance mechanisms in *Enterobacteriaceae*. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis. 2013;77:179-94. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diagmicrobio.2013.06.001
- 50. Lupo A, Saras E, Madec JY, et al. Emergence of *bla*<sub>CTX-M-55</sub> associated with *fosA*, *rmtB* and *mcr* gene variants in *Escherichia coli* from various animal species in France. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2018b;73:867-872. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkx489</u>
- 51. Madec JY, Poirel L, Saras E, et al. Non-ST131 *Escherichia coli* from cattle harbouring human-like *bla*<sub>CTX-M-15</sub>-carrying plasmids. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2012;67:578-81. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkr542</u>
- 52. Malkki M and Petersdorf EW. Genotyping of single nucleotide polymorphisms by 5' nuclease allelic discrimination. Methods Mol Biol. 2012;882:173-82. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-61779-842-9\_10</u>
- 53. Mangold KA, Voss BL, Singh K, et al. Multiple broad-spectrum beta-lactamase targets for comprehensive surveillance. J Clin Microbiol. 2013;51:3423-5. <u>https://doi.org/10.1128/jcm.01488-13</u>
- 54. Martin LC, Weir EK, Poppe C, et al. Characterization of *bla*<sub>CMY-2</sub> plasmids in *Salmonella* and *E. coli* from food animals in Canada. Applied and Environmental Microbiology. 2011;AEM.06498-11. https://doi.org/10.1128/aem.06498-11
- 55. Mazel D. Integrons: agents of bacterial evolution. Nature reviews. Microbiology. 2006;4:608-620. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro1462
- 56. Melo LC, Haenni M, Saras E, et al. Emergence of the C1-M27 cluster in ST131 *Escherichia coli* from companion animals in France. Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy. 2019;74:3111-3113. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkz304

during a six month period. PLoS One. 2019;14:e0223074. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0223074

- 58. Mroczkowska JE and Barlow M. Fitness trade-offs in *bla*<sub>TEM</sub> evolution. Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy. 2008;52:2340-2345. <u>https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00018-08</u>
- 59. Nadkarni MA, Martin FE, Jacques NA, et al. Determination of bacterial load by real-time PCR using a broad-range (universal) probe and primers set. Microbiology. 2002;148:257-66. https://doi.org/10.1099/00221287-148-1-257
- 60. Nigro SJ and Hall RM. Tn6167, an antibiotic resistance island in an Australian carbapenem-resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii* GC2, ST92 isolate. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2012;67:1342-6. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dks037
- 61. Nüesch-Inderbinen MT, Kayser FH, and Hächler H. Survey and molecular genetics of *bla*<sub>SHV</sub> in *Enterobacteriaceae* in Switzerland: two novel enzymes, SHV-11 and SHV-12. Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy. 1997;41:943-949. <u>https://doi.org/10.1128/aac.41.5.943</u>
- 62. O' Neill J, Tackling drug-resistant infections globally: final report and recommendations. Available from: <u>https://amr-review.org/sites/default/files/160518\_Final%20paper\_with%20cover.pdf</u>, 2016 (accessed 10 May 2020)
- 63. Park CH, Robicsek A, Jacoby GA, et al. Prevalence in the United States of *aac*(6')-*Ib-cr* encoding a ciprofloxacin-modifying enzyme. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2006;50:3953-5. https://doi.org/10.1128/aac.00915-06
- 64. Partridge SR and Hall RM. Evolution of transposons containing *bla*<sub>TEM</sub> genes. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2005;49:1267-8. https://doi.org/10.1128/aac.49.3.1267-1268.2005
- 65. Peak N, Knapp CW, Yang RK, et al. Abundance of six tetracycline resistance genes in wastewater lagoons at cattle feedlots with different antibiotic use strategies. Environ Microbiol. 2007;9:143-51. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-2920.2006.01123.x
- 66. Pepin-Puget L, El Garch F, Bertrand X, et al. Genome analysis of *Enterobacteriaceae* with non-wild type susceptibility to third-generation cephalosporins recovered from diseased dogs and cats in Europe. Vet Microbiol. 2020;242:108601. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetmic.2020.108601</u>
- 67. Piccirillo A, Giovanardi D, Dotto G, et al. Antimicrobial resistance and class 1 and 2 integrons in *Escherichia coli* from meat turkeys in Northern Italy. Avian Pathol. 2014;43:396-405. https://doi.org/10.1080/03079457.2014.943690
- 68. Poirel L, Madec JY, Lupo A, et al. Antimicrobial resistance in *Escherichia coli*. Microbiol Spectr. 2018;6:<u>https://doi.org/10.1128/microbiolspec.ARBA-0026-2017</u>
- 69. Poyart C, Mugnier P, Quesne G, et al. A novel extended-spectrum TEM-type beta-lactamase (*bla*<sub>TEM-52</sub>) associated with decreased susceptibility to moxalactam in *Klebsiella pneumoniae*. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 1998;42:108-13. <u>https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.42.1.108</u>.
- 70. Quirós P, Colomer-Lluch M, Martínez-Castillo A, et al. Antibiotic resistance genes in the bacteriophage DNA fraction of human fecal samples. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2014;58:606-9. https://doi.org/10.1128/aac.01684-13
- 71. Ramirez MS and Tolmasky ME. Aminoglycoside modifying enzymes. Drug resistance updates : reviews and commentaries in antimicrobial and anticancer chemotherapy. 2010;13:151-171. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drup.2010.08.003
- 72. Robicsek A, Strahilevitz J, Sahm DF, et al. *qnr* prevalence in ceftazidime-resistant *Enterobacteriaceae* isolates from the United States. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2006;50:2872-4. <u>https://doi.org/10.1128/aac.01647-05</u>
- 73. Roschanski N, Fischer J, Guerra B, et al. Development of a multiplex real-time PCR for the rapid detection of the predominant beta-lactamase genes *bla*<sub>CTX-M</sub>, *bla*<sub>SHV</sub>, *bla*<sub>TEM</sub> and *bla*<sub>CIT</sub>-type *amp*Cs in *Enterobacteriaceae*. PLoS One. 2014;9:e100956. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0100956</u>
- 74. Sandvang D and Aarestrup FM. Characterization of aminoglycoside resistance genes and class 1 integrons in porcine and bovine gentamicin-resistant *Escherichia coli*. Microbial Drug Resistance. 2000;6:19-27. <u>https://doi.org/10.1089/mdr.2000.6.19</u>
- 75. Seiffert SN, Hilty M, Perreten V, et al. Extended-spectrum cephalosporin-resistant Gram-negative organisms in livestock: an emerging problem for human health? Drug Resist Updat. 2013;16:22-45. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drup.2012.12.001

https://doi.org/10.1128/mmbr.57.1.138-163.1993

- 77. Shibata N, Kurokawa H, Doi Y, et al. PCR classification of CTX-M-type beta-lactamase genes identified in clinically isolated Gram-negative bacilli in Japan. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2006;50:791-5. <u>https://doi.org/10.1128/aac.50.2.791-795.2006</u>
- 78. Sittová M, Röderová M, Dendis M, et al. Application of molecular diagnostics in primary detection of ESBL directly from clinical specimens. Microb Drug Resist. 2015;21:352-7. https://doi.org/10.1089/mdr.2014.0210
- 79. Sköld O. Resistance to trimethoprim and sulfonamides. Vet Res. 2001;32:261-73. https://doi.org/10.1051/vetres:2001123
- 80. Sullivan A, Edlund C, and Nord CE. Effect of antimicrobial agents on the ecological balance of human microflora. Lancet Infect Dis. 2001;1:101-14. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/s1473-3099(01)00066-4</u>
- 81. Sundin GW and Bender CL. Dissemination of the *strA-strB* streptomycin-resistance genes among commensal and pathogenic bacteria from humans, animals, and plants. Mol Ecol. 1996;5:133-43. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294x.1996.tb00299.x
- 82. Swayne R, Ellington MJ, Curran MD, et al. Utility of a novel multiplex TaqMan PCR assay for metallo-beta-lactamase genes plus other TaqMan assays in detecting genes encoding serine carbapenemases and clinically significant extended-spectrum beta-lactamases. Int J Antimicrob Agents. 2013;42:352-6. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2013.06.018</u>
- 83. Swayne RL, Ludlam HA, Shet VG, et al. Real-time TaqMan PCR for rapid detection of genes encoding five types of non-metallo-(class A and D) carbapenemases in *Enterobacteriaceae*. International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents. 2011;38:35-38. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2011.03.010
- 84. Thomson KS. Extended-spectrum-beta-lactamase, AmpC, and Carbapenemase issues. J Clin Microbiol. 2010;48:1019-25. <u>https://doi.org/10.1128/jcm.00219-10</u>
- 85. Turck M. Cephalosporins and related antibiotics: an overview. Rev Infect Dis. 1982;4 Suppl:S281-7. https://doi.org/10.1093/clinids/4.supplement\_2.s281
- 86. Vakulenko SB and Mobashery S. Versatility of aminoglycosides and prospects for their future. Clinical Microbiology Reviews. 2003;16:430-450. <u>https://doi.org/10.1128/cmr.16.3.430-450.2003</u>
- 87. van den Bunt G, Fluit AC, Spaninks MP, et al. Faecal carriage, risk factors, acquisition and persistence of ESBL-producing *Enterobacteriaceae* in dogs and cats and co-carriage with humans belonging to the same household. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2020;75:342-350. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkz462
- 88. van Duijkeren E, Schwarz C, Bouchard D, et al. The use of aminoglycosides in animals within the EU: development of resistance in animals and possible impact on human and animal health: a review. Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy. 2019;74:2480-2496. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkz161</u>
- 89. Walsh F, Ingenfeld A, Zampicolli M, et al. Real-time PCR methods for quantitative monitoring of streptomycin and tetracycline resistance genes in agricultural ecosystems. J Microbiol Methods. 2011;86:150-5. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mimet.2011.04.011</u>
- 90. Walther-Rasmussen J and Høiby N. *bla*<sub>OXA</sub>-type carbapenemases. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2006;57:373-83. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dki482</u>
- 91. Yin W, Li H, Shen Y, et al. Novel plasmid-mediated colistin resistance gene *mcr-3* in *Escherichia coli*. mBio. 2017;8:<u>https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.00543-17</u>
- 92. Zankari E, Hasman H, Cosentino S, et al. Identification of acquired antimicrobial resistance genes. Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy. 2012;67:2640-2644. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dks261</u>