

Ticking off the Tick Vectors: Rhipicephalus microplus Fails to Transmit Theileria orientalis

Abdul Ghafar, Alejandro Cabezas-Cruz

▶ To cite this version:

Abdul Ghafar, Alejandro Cabezas-Cruz. Ticking off the Tick Vectors: Rhipicephalus microplus Fails to Transmit Theileria orientalis. Pathogens, 2023, 12 (11), pp.1311. 10.3390/pathogens12111311. anses-04372958

HAL Id: anses-04372958 https://anses.hal.science/anses-04372958

Submitted on 9 Apr 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.





MDPI

Editorial

Ticking off the Tick Vectors: Rhipicephalus microplus Fails to Transmit Theileria orientalis

Abdul Ghafar 1,* and Alejandro Cabezas-Cruz 2,*

- ¹ Melbourne Veterinary School, The University of Melbourne, Werribee, VIC 3030, Australia
- ANSES, INRAE, Ecole Nationale Vétérinaire d'Alfort, UMR BIPAR, Laboratoire de Santé Animale, F-94700 Maisons-Alfort, France
- * Correspondence: abdul.ghafar@unimelb.edu.au (A.G.); alejandro.cabezas@vet-alfort.fr (A.C.-C.)

Theileria (*T*.) orientalis, a tick-borne haemoparasite of cattle, is an important cause of non-transforming theileriosis in Australasia, southeast Asia, and the United States [1–3]. Clinically affected cattle often display symptoms such as anaemia, hypoxia, weakness, and increased cardio-pulmonary rates [4,5]. The taxonomy of *T. orientalis* has been a subject of debate, with three previously proposed species: *T. buffeli* (Australia), *T. sergenti* (Japan), and *T. orientalis* (Europe and elsewhere) [6]. However, recent evidence from PCR-directed sequencing and phylogenetic analyses of major piroplasm surface protein (*MPSP*) sequences has confirmed that they all belong to the same *T. orientalis* species, comprising 11 distinct allelic types, including the Ikeda type typically associated with clinical disease [1–3].

Recent associations of Ikeda type *T. orientalis* with clinical disease outbreaks in cattle herds in Australia (since 2011), New Zealand (since 2012), and the USA (since 2017) indicate that naïve herds are at increased risk of production losses due to high morbidity and mortality [2,3]. To date, *Haemaphysalis* (*H.*) *longicornis* is the only confirmed tick vector for *T. orientalis*. However, some other tick species, such as *Rhipicephalus* (*R.*) *microplus* [7], and various mechanical vectors, have been suggested to play a role in its transmission [2,8]. Given the invasive spread of *H. longicornis* ticks across more than 18 states in the USA since its first report in 2017 in New Jersey [9,10], naïve cattle herds are at an increased risk of potential pathogens this tick species may carry and transmit. In addition, it is essential to consider that the transmission of tick-borne pathogens is a complex process, and multiple tick species can serve as vectors for the same or different pathogens in the same or different host species. Therefore, it is crucial to investigate whether other native tick species might also play a role in the co-transmission of pathogens like *T. orientalis*?

In a recent study, Onzere et al. [11] investigated the potential role of R. microplus ticks as vectors for T. orientalis Ikeda. Animal transmission experiments in their study demonstrated that R. microplus was not a competent vector for the US isolate of T. orientalis Ikeda [11]. Moreover, this study showed that T. orientalis is not transmitted through transstadial and transovarial routes in R. microplus [11]. These findings are significant, especially considering prior empirical evidence that has confirmed the role of R. microplus as a vector for the closely related apicomplexan pathogens (T. equi, Babesia bovis, and Babesia bigemina) in equine and cattle [12-14]. Furthermore, T. orientalis DNA has been detected in field-collected R. microplus ticks from various countries, and as a member of the Rhipicephalus genus, R. appendiculatus, it is the primary vector for T. parva, the causative agent of East Coast fever in Africa [15]. Despite the differences in biology between R. microplus and H. longicornis, the failure to transmit T. orientalis in this study suggests that R. microplus does not play a role in the field transmission of oriental theileriosis. Nevertheless, these findings should be further investigated as they could be influenced by a number of factors such as lower parasitaemia levels, a potential dilution effect arising from tick pooling, the relatively small sample size in animal experiments, and differences in the genetics and microbiota of the tick colonies used in the study compared to field ticks.



Citation: Ghafar, A.; Cabezas-Cruz, A. Ticking off the Tick Vectors: Rhipicephalus microplus Fails to Transmit Theileria orientalis. Pathogens 2023, 12, 1311. https://doi.org/ 10.3390/pathogens12111311

Received: 2 November 2023 Accepted: 2 November 2023 Published: 3 November 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Pathogens 2023, 12, 1311 2 of 3

The competence of ticks as vectors is influenced by a multitude of factors, including tick and pathogen species and strains, parasitaemia levels, host range and number, a pathogen's capacity to bypass infection barriers within ticks (midgut, salivary glands, and innate immunity), tick microbiome—pathogen interactions, cross-immunity interference, and various abiotic factors [16]. The tick microbiota plays a pivotal role in pathogen acquisition and transmission, and any disruptions in it can significantly alter pathogen transmission [17].

In addition to systemic transmission, co-feeding transmission, where ticks feed closely on the same host, is an important route for pathogen acquisition and transmission [18]. Therefore, the findings presented by Onzere et al. [11] are of profound significance as they advance our understanding of disease transmission mechanisms in the context of invasive tick species and pathogens. They provide a solid foundation for further investigations that should explore the potential role of other native tick species as vectors for *T. orientalis*. Future studies should explore the interactions between the microbiome of various tick species, including *R. microplus* and *T. orientalis*. Moreover, animal transmission experiments should be conducted using potential vector tick species in natural environments and co-feeding as a known route for pathogen transmission from tick to tick.

With increasing global trade and transportation, the risks of biological invasions involving arthropods and pathogens have grown considerably. Therefore, such research investigations will not only enhance our understanding of tick species acting as vectors for pathogens but will also contribute to improving cattle health outcomes in the event of biological invasion incidents caused by ticks and tick-borne pathogens. These investigations will help improve the surveillance of potential spill-over events to and from native tick species, facilitating the design of improved control measures through a deeper understanding of the presence and abundance of other potential tick vectors.

Author Contributions: All authors contributed equally to this work. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: UMR BIPAR is supported by the French Government's Investissement d'Avenir program, Laboratoire d'Excellence "Integrative Biology of Emerging Infectious Diseases" (grant no. ANR-10-LABX-62-IBEID). A.G. is a Melbourne Postdoctoral Fellow funded by the University of Melbourne's Researcher Development Scheme.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Watts, J.G.; Playford, M.C.; Hickey, K.L. Theileria Orientalis: A review. N. Z. Vet. J. 2016, 64, 3–9. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 2. Gebrekidan, H.; Perera, P.K.; Ghafar, A.; Abbas, T.; Gasser, R.B.; Jabbar, A. An appraisal of oriental theileriosis and the *Theileria* orientalis complex, with an emphasis on diagnosis and genetic characterisation. Parasitol. Res. 2020, 119, 11–22. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 3. Biniam, T.L.; Eastwood, S.; Walkden-Brown, S.W. Epidemiology and transmission of *Theileria orientalis* in Australasia. *Pathogens* **2023**, *12*, 1187.
- 4. Lawrence, K.E.; Forsyth, S.F.; Vaatstra, B.L.; McFadden, A.M.J.; Pulford, D.J.; Govindaraju, K.; Pomroy, W.E. Clinical haematology and biochemistry profiles of cattle naturally infected with *Theileria orientalis* Ikeda type in New Zealand. N. Z. Vet. J. 2018, 66, 21–29. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 5. McFadden, A.M.J.; Hart, M.; Bueno, I.M.; Ha, H.J.; Heath, A.C.G.; Pulford, D.J. Monitoring *Theileria orientalis* (Ikeda)-associated bovine anaemia in affected cattle over time. *Vet. Parasitol.* **2017**, 245, 29–33. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 6. Fujisaki, K.; Kawazu, S.; Kamio, T. The taxonomy of the bovine *Theileria* spp. *Parasitol. Today* **1994**, *10*, 31–33. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 7. Parikshit, K.; Sarmah, P.C.; Ray, D.; Bhattacharjee, K.; Sharma, R.K.; Barkalita, L.M.; Sarma, D.K.; Baishya, B.C.; Borah, P.; Stanley, B. Emergence of oriental theileriosis in cattle and its transmission through *Rhipicephalus* (*Boophilus*) *microplus* in Assam, India. *Vet. World* **2015**, *8*, 1099.
- 8. Dominique, M.; Baker, K.; Emery, D.; Rolls, P.; Stutchbury, R. *Haemaphysalis longicornis*: The life-cycle on dogs and cattle, with confirmation of its vector status for *Theileria orientalis* in Australia. *Vet. Parasitol.* **2020**, 277, 100022.
- 9. Tadhgh, R.; Occi, J.L.; Robbins, R.G.; Egizi, A. Discovery of *Haemaphysalis longicornis* (Ixodida: *Ixodidae*) parasitizing a sheep in New Jersey, United States. *J. Med. Entomol.* **2018**, *55*, 757–759.
- 10. Michael, J.Y.; Thompson, A.T. Haemaphysalis longicornis (Asian longhorned tick). Trends Parasitol. 2023, 39, 305–306.

Pathogens **2023**, 12, 1311 3 of 3

11. Cynthia, K.O.; Herndon, D.R.; Hassan, A.; Oyen, K.; Poh, K.C.; Scoles, G.A.; Fry, L.M. A US isolate of *Theileria orientalis* Ikeda is not transstadially transmitted to cattle by *Rhipicephalus microplus*. *Pathogens* **2023**, 12, 559.

- 12. Potgieter, F.T.; Vuuren, A.S.V. The transmission of *Babesia bovis* using frozen infective material obtained from *Boophilus microplus* larvae. *Onderstepoort J. Vet. Res.* **1974**, 41, 79–80. [PubMed]
- 13. Riek, R.F. The life cycle of *Babesia bigemina* (Smith and Kilborne, 1893) in the tick vector *Boophilus microplus* (Canestrini). *Aust. J. Agric. Res.* **1964**, *15*, 802–821. [CrossRef]
- 14. Ueti, M.W.; Palmer, G.H.; Kappmeyer, L.S.; Statdfield, M.; Scoles, G.A.; Knowles, D.P. Ability of the vector tick *Boophilus microplus* to acquire and transmit *Babesia equi* following feeding on chronically infected horses with low-level parasitemia. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **2005**, 43, 3755–3759. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 15. Cassandra, L.O.; Mason, K.L.; Scoles, G.A. *Rhipicephalus appendiculatus* ticks transmit *Theileria parva* from persistently infected cattle in the absence of detectable parasitemia: Implications for East coast fever epidemiology. *Parasit. Vectors* **2018**, *11*, 4128.
- Jose, D.L.F.; Antunes, S.; Bonnet, S.; Cabezas-Cruz, A.; Domingos, A.G.; Estrada-Peña, A.; Johnson, N.; Kocan, K.M.; Mansfield, K.L.; Nijhof, A.M. Tick-pathogen interactions and vector competence: Identification of molecular drivers for tick-borne diseases. Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol. 2017, 7, 114.
- 17. Wei, N.; Cao, J.; Zhang, H.; Zhou, Y.; Zhou, J. The tick microbiota dysbiosis promote tick-borne pathogen transstadial transmission in a *Babesia microti*–infected mouse model. *Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol.* **2021**, *11*, 713466.
- 18. Alessandro, B.; Sarr, A.; Rais, O.; Rego, R.O.M.; Voordouw, M.J. Ticks infected via co-feeding transmission can transmit Lyme borreliosis to vertebrate hosts. *Sci. Rep.* **2017**, *7*, 5006.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.