

Implementation of One Health surveillance system, opportunities, and challenges: Lessons learned from OH EpiCap evaluations

Henok Ayalew Tegegne, Carlijn Bogaardt, Lucie Collineau, Renaud Lailler, Emma L Taylor, Joaquin M Prada, Viviane Hénaux, Frederick Freeth

▶ To cite this version:

Henok Ayalew Tegegne, Carlijn Bogaardt, Lucie Collineau, Renaud Lailler, Emma L Taylor, et al.. Implementation of One Health surveillance system, opportunities, and challenges: Lessons learned from OH EpiCap evaluations. Society for Veterinary Epidemiology and Preventive Medicine, Mar 2023, Toulouse, France. pp.Poster, 2023, 10.1101/2023.01.04.23284159. anses-04412555

HAL Id: anses-04412555 https://anses.hal.science/anses-04412555v1

Submitted on 23 Jan2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.







Implementation of One Health surveillance system, opportunities, and challenges: Lessons learned from OH-EpiCap evaluations

Henok A Tegegne ¹ • Carlijn Bogaardt ² • Lucie Collineau ¹ • Renaud Lailler ³ • Emma Taylor ² • Frederick T. A. Freeth ² • Joaquin M Prada ² • Viviane Hénaux ¹

 University of Lyon - Agence Nationale de Sécurité Sanitaire de L'Alimentation, de L'Environnement et du Travail (ANSES), Laboratory of Lyon, Epidemiology and support to Surveillance Unit, Lyon, France • 2. School of Veterinary Medicine, University of Surrey, GU2 7XH Guildford, Surrey, United Kingdom • 3. University of Paris Est - Agence Nationale de Sécurité Sanitaire de L'Alimentation, de L'Environnement et du Travail (ANSES), Laboratory for Food Safety, Maisons-Alfort, France

As the complexity of health systems has increased over time, there is an urgent need for developing multi-sectoral and multidisciplinary collaborations within the domain of One Health (OH) (1). Despite the efforts to promote such collaborations and break through discipline silos, implementing OH surveillance in practice remains difficult. Thus, it is key to identify the main challenges and barriers for the effective implementation and functioning of an integrated OH surveillance system (2).

OH-EpiCap evaluation tool

We developed a generic, semi-quantitative evaluation tool, called OH-EpiCap, to characterize and assess OH epidemiological surveillance capacities and capabilities (3).

Each evaluation is conducted by a panel of surveillance representatives, using a user-friendly, standalone, online App: <u>https://freddietafreeth.shinyapps.io/OH-EpiCap/</u>

The tool focuses on multiple OH aspects, gathered in three dimensions: the organization, operational activities, and impacts of the OH surveillance system (Fig. 1).

Eleven evaluations of surveillance systems for foodborne pathogens (*Listeria*, *Campylobacter* and *Salmonella*), antimicrobial resistance, and other pathogen (psittacosis) were conducted using this tool, across eight European countries.

Lessons learned and challenges identified




Fig. 1: Structural overview of the OH-EpiCap targets grouped by dimension of integrated surveillance.

Dimensions	Opportunities	Challenges
Organization	 Most sectors, disciplines, and actors are included to the surveillance systems. Most of the evaluated systems are able to adapt to changes and to critical situations within appropriate timelines. 	 Environmental sector remains largely uncovered. Lack of operational and shared leadership was evidenced.
Operation	 Shared data serve their purpose in the context of OH surveillance. Scientific expertise for data interpretation shared between actors across sectors. Joint external communication across systems is established. 	 Poor adherence to the FAIR data principles. Limited statistical analyses and visualization procedures are shared across sectors.
Impact	 Modest preparedness and response capacities are achieved. Implementation of OH surveillance has resulted in some improvement of the knowledge on the epidemiological situation of the hazard. 	 Impact of OH on surveillance effectiveness, operational cost, behavioral changes in the populations at risk, and health outcome are generally not assessed

Fig. 2: Opportunities and challenges towards the implementation of OH surveillance system. Based on the results from the 11 study cases.

The establishment of a formal governance body with

representatives from each sector could assist in overcoming long-standing barriers in OH surveillance. Moreover, demonstrating the impact of OH-ness to policymakers may facilitate the formalization and development of multi-sectoral collaborations.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank the surveillance representatives who participated to the evaluations.

Authors who are attending the SVEPM conference





Carlijn Bogaardt Lucie Collineau Henok Tegegne

REFERENCES

- 1. Johnson, I., et al. (2018). The challenges of implementing an integrated One Health surveillance system in Australia. Zoonoses and public health, 65(1), e229-e236.
- 2. Bordier, M., et al. (2019). One health surveillance: a matrix to evaluate multisectoral collaboration. *Front. Vet. Sci.* 6, 109.
- Tegegne, HA., et al. (2023). OH-EpiCap: a semi-quantitative tool for the evaluation of One Health epidemiological surveillance capacities and capabilities. medRxiv, 2023-01. <u>https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.01.04.23284159</u>

This project received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under Grant Agreement No 773830.

