



**HAL**  
open science

## Four powdered plants for prevention of *Aeromonas hydrophila* disease in Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*)

Domenico Caruso, Laura-Lucia Estevez, Claude Marodon, Samira Sarter

### ► To cite this version:

Domenico Caruso, Laura-Lucia Estevez, Claude Marodon, Samira Sarter. Four powdered plants for prevention of *Aeromonas hydrophila* disease in Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*). Bulletin of the European Association of Fish Pathologists, In press, 10.48045/001c.90008 . anses-04467525

**HAL Id: anses-04467525**

**<https://anses.hal.science/anses-04467525v1>**

Submitted on 20 Feb 2024

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

RESEARCH ARTICLE

# Four powdered plants for prevention of *Aeromonas hydrophila* disease in Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*)

D. Caruso<sup>1</sup>, L.L. Estevez<sup>2</sup>, C. Marodon<sup>3</sup>, S. Sarter<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> ISEM, Université de Montpellier, CNRS, IRD, EPHE, Montpellier, France, <sup>2</sup> UMR BIPAR (Biologie Moléculaire et Immunologie Parasitaire) Laboratoire de Santé Animale de Maisons-Alfort AGENCE NATIONALE DE SÉCURITÉ SANITAIRE de l'alimentation, de l'environnement et du travail Maisons-Alfort, France,

<sup>3</sup> Aplamedom Réunion CYROI – Parc Technor – 2, rue Maxime Rivière – 97490 Sainte Clotilde, France, <sup>4</sup> CIRAD, UMR ISEM, F-34398 Montpellier, France. ISEM, Univ Montpellier, CNRS, EPHE, IRD, Montpellier, France

Keywords: *Aeromonas hydrophila*, tilapia, powdered plants, growth, functional feed supplements

<https://doi.org/10.48045/001c.90008>

---

Bulletin of the European Association of Fish Pathologists

---

As alternatives to antibiotics and growth promoters, herbs and medicinal plants can contribute to new strategies for aquatic health management, and have great potential for more sustainable aquaculture. Four plants, *Pelargonium roseum*, *Schinus terenbinthifolius*, *Murraya koenigii* and *Aphloia theiformis*, widely distributed in tropical countries were studied to assess their efficacy in the prevention and reduction of mortality caused by experimental infection with *Aeromonas hydrophila* on Nile tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus*. Powdered plants were incorporated into fish feed, and fish were fed with an enriched diet for 40 days before a challenge with the pathogen. No negative impact on the condition factor, weight gain or specific growth rate was observed in fish fed with the plant supplements, and the best growth was observed in fish fed with *P. roseum*. Mortality was significantly reduced in fish treated with *A. theiformis* compared to other fish from plant species and control, with a relative survival rate (RPS) of up to 30%.

## Introduction

Currently, aquaculture (cultivation of aquatic organisms), produces more than 53 million tons of seafood, worth US\$155 billion in 2020 (FAO 2022). Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus* L.), is being farmed in more than 135 countries. Major producers are developing countries, including China, Indonesia, Philippines, Thailand, Egypt, Honduras, Ecuador. The global Nile tilapia production increased rapidly, and was 5.6 million tons in 2020, with a market value of US\$11.2 billion (FAO, 2022).

Nonetheless, the intensification in tilapia culture has led to disease outbreaks with significant losses in production (Brum et al. 2017). Haemorrhagic septicaemia or motile *Aeromonas* septicaemia (MAS) is caused by the bacterial species *Aeromonas hydrophila* and is the most common bacterial disease that infects wild and cultured tilapia (Austin and Austin 2007). Massive losses of tilapia due to *Aeromonas* infections have been reported worldwide. *A. hydrophila* is an opportunistic pathogen that results in high economic losses and can infect not only fish, amphibians and reptiles but also mammals, including humans (Plumb and Hanson 2011; Stratev et al. 2015). To avoid economic losses related to chemical and biological hazards, several veterinary drugs are used in aquaculture to prevent or treat disease outbreaks (Rico et al. 2013; Reverter et al. 2014).

However, the use of veterinary drugs is becoming increasingly restricted because they present adverse effects on the environment and both animal and human health. For example, massive use and misuse of antibiotics have resulted in the selection and emergence of resistant bacteria strains (Seyfried et al., 2010, Brunton et al. 2019) or the presence of antibiotic residue in the muscle of commercialized fish, thus having potential consequences on human health (Sarter et al. 2007; Alam and Haque 2021). Antimicrobial resistance (AMR) is recognized as a major global threat to ecosystem health including animal, human, plant and environmental health, And a recent meta-analysis has shown that aquaculture environments are reservoirs of high levels of resistance and multi-resistance throughout the world (Reverter et al. 2020). Several tropical areas, particularly in the Mekong Delta, are strongly exposed to AMR risks in aquatic environments (Schar et al. 2021). Due to the positive correlation between temperature and mortality of infected fish, fish diseases are expected to increase with global warming (Reverter et al. 2020). AMR in aquatic environments is thus considered an urgent issue of high concern.

In this context, preventive and sustainable approaches are recommended for disease management, and the use of plant-based products in fish farming not only as chemotherapeutics but also as feed additives is widely recognized as a valuable resource (Citarasu, 2010; Wang et al. 2015), Many types of biological activity have been recorded for medicinal plants, including growth promotion, appetite stimulation, immune stimulation as well as antimicrobial and antistress properties (Citarasu, 2010; Chakraborty and Hancz 2011). The use of herbs as feed supplements can be very beneficial in improving fish growth and feed efficiency, as well as contributing to better disease prevention (Reverter et al. 2021), thereby enhancing sustainability of the aquaculture value chain.

Aiming to study alternatives to antibiotics, we selected and tested four plants present in the tropical regions — *Schinus terebinthifolius*, *Murraya koenigii*, *Aphloia theiformis* and *Pelargonium roseum* — to prevent or mitigate epizootic diseases in fish caused by *A. hydrophila*. These plants are common in tropical areas, and are not threatened by extinction, but very few studies have reported on their pharmacological activities and, to our knowledge, none have been reported on *O. niloticus* using these dry plants.

Known as pink pepper or Brazilian pepper, *S. terebinthifolius* has potent antioxidant and antibacterial activities against the growth of *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* with minimum inhibitory concentrations (MIC) of 16 µg/mL and 32 µg/mL (Salem et al. 2018). Its essential oil is effective against Gram-positive bacteria however, Gram-negative bacteria are not inhibited, but their growth is significantly reduced by the essential oil (Dannenbergh et al. 2019). To our knowledge, only one article has reported its usage on ornamental fish (Porto et al. 2020)

*M. koenigii*, family Rutaceae, is commonly known as the curry-leaf tree. It is a native of India, Sri Lanka and other South Asian countries. Many ethnobotanical and pharmacological properties have been recognized in this plant along with antimicrobial properties (Handral, Pandith, and Shruthi 2012). Against fish pathogens, Wei et al. (2008) showed that ethanol or methanol extract of *M. koenigii* leaves has antibacterial activity against *A. hydrophila* and other fish bacteria. *In vitro* experiments have shown that two compounds isolated from its ethyl acetate extract, namely isomahanine and mahanine, have antibacterial activity on *Flavobacterium columnare* and *Streptococcus iniae*, both major pathogens for tilapia culture (Meepagala, Schrader, and Burandt 2013).

*A. theiformis* is a tree or shrub belonging to the family Aphloiaceae. It is a widely distributed species occurring in West Africa, South Africa, Madagascar, Comoros, Mascarene Islands and Seychelles. This plant is listed in the French Pharmacopoeia and in the Reunion Island and Mauritius, it is traditionally used against various disorders (diarrhoea, jaundice, fever) and it has antibacterial activity, even against Gram-negative bacteria (Jelager, Gurib-Fakim, and Adsersen 1998).

*P. roseum* is a species belonging to the Geraniaceae family. This species indigenous to southern Africa is now widespread and has also been introduced in Mediterranean Europe. Antibacterial activity of the essential oil of this plant has been demonstrated (Carmen and Hancu 2014), as well as efficacy against veterinarian ectoparasites (Ellse and Wall 2014). Exposure to the extract of *P. roseum* in water can reduce the microbial load of skin and gills in carp (Mohamadi, Zamini, and Vahabzadeh 2013) and it is an effective anaesthetic on two cichlid species (Can et al. 2018). Bioactive plant molecules can also be antinutritional in fish, decreasing the efficient utilization of feed nutrients. Therefore, their effect on fish physiology and the adequate dosage needs to be studied (Krogdahl et al., 2010).

Here, we investigated the effects of dietary supplementation with these four plants on fish (*Oreochromis niloticus*) mortality induced by experimental infection with *A. hydrophila*. We also measured the effects of an enriched diet using raw dry plants on the zootechnical and growth performances of fish (feed conversion ratio, specific growth rate and condition factor).

## Materials and Methods

### *Plant and feed preparation*

Leaves of *P. roseum*, *M. koenigii*, *A. theiformis* and drupes of *S. terenbinthifolius* were obtained from Reunion Island (Aplamedom). Leaves and drupes were dried in an oven at 50°C, ground and sieved at 250 µm. Supplemented feeds were prepared using powdered commercial feed dampened with water, and plant powder was added at a ratio of 4% (40 g/kg of feed). This mixture was re-pelleted into pellets of ≤450 µm diameter for

the first 20 days of feeding and of 725 µm diameter for the remainder of the experiment. Control feed was prepared as above without any plant powder. Fish were fed twice a day at a rate of 5% of their live body weight. During the trial, the amount of feed intake for each treatment was adjusted according to the biomass.

### ***Fish and experimental facilities***

*O. niloticus* juveniles, both male and female ( $2.91 \pm 0.29$ g), were randomly distributed into five glass aquaria of 290 L (n=120 fish) in a recirculating aquaculture system (RAS) with a mechanical and biological filter. Each tank also had an individual mechanical filtration system and an air stone linked to a central air compressor to maintain continuous aeration. To prevent cross-contamination due to feed, each aquarium was isolated from the RAS during feeding time (around 1 h). Each aquarium was cleaned of fish faeces by siphoning and about 20% of the water was renewed daily. Filter foams were cleaned every two days. We used a 12:12 h light-dark cycle. Water parameters during the experiment were as follows: temperature:  $27.48 \pm 0.91^\circ\text{C}$ ; pH:  $7.84 \pm 0.21$ ;  $\text{NO}_2$ : 0.00 – 1.05 ppm;  $\text{NO}_3$ :  $5.02 \pm 2.89$  ppm.

### ***Growth performance and feed utilization***

All procedures involving fish were performed on anaesthetized fish. Fish were anaesthetized using eugenol at 50 µL/L. The weight and length of fish were measured at 0 days, 12 days, 27 days and 40 days of the experiment. Condition factor (CF), feed conversion ratio (FCR) and specific growth rate (SGR) were calculated from the following equations:

$$CF = [\text{Weight}(g) / \text{Length}(cm)^3] \times 100;$$

$$FCR = \text{total feed intake (g)} / \text{total wet weight gain (g)};$$

$$SGR = \frac{\ln \text{ final mean body weight} - \ln \text{ initial mean body weight}}{\text{time interval (days)}} \times 100.$$

### ***Bacteria and median lethal dose (LD<sub>50</sub>)***

A strain of *A. hydrophila*, isolated from a diseased fish (*Clarias gariepinus*) from Indonesia was selected for this study after previous comparative testing with other Aereomonadeceae strains on *O. niloticus* juveniles. This strain preserved in glycerol was revived three times on TSA agar (24 h at 30°C) and then some colonies were picked and incubated for 24 h at 30°C in a TSB liquid broth medium before being used for DL 50 and disease challenge.

Bacterial concentration was verified using direct counts of colony forming units (CFU) of solution cultured for 24 h in Petri plates. To determine the bacterial dose to be injected into fish, we carried out an LD<sub>50</sub> trial. Sixty fish of  $12.1 \pm 0.3$  g were divided into six groups (n=10) and each group was placed in an isolated aquarium (30 L). Anaesthetized fish received an intramuscular injection on the left side of the body. Each group was injected with a serial

dilution of an *A. hydrophila* dose; the dilution fold was 1/3 for each dilution step. Subsequently, mortality was recorded daily until no additional mortality was observed and the median lethal dose (LD<sub>50</sub>) was calculated using the Reed–Muench method as follows:

*Proportionate distance*

$$= \frac{\% \text{ mortality at dose next above } 50\% - 50\%}{\% \text{ mortality next above } 50\% - \% \text{ mortality next below } 50\%}$$

and the concentration  $5.12 \times 10^4$  CFU/mL was determined as LD<sub>50</sub>. However, at the end of the feeding period, the size of fish was expectedly higher than those of fish used for LD<sub>50</sub> determination and that concentration therefore was unable to elicit infection in fish in a preliminary test before the Disease challenge test. Because LD<sub>50</sub> is size-dependent and considering that fish used for the disease challenge were much heavier (+348% in weight) than those used in the LD<sub>50</sub> test, the bacterial concentration for the bacterial challenge was increased to 0.1 mL of  $6.36 \times 10^5$  CFU/mL. This dose was used to infect fish for the experiment.

***Disease challenge test***

After 40 days of feeding, 45-60 fish from each different treatment and control group were randomly sampled, anaesthetized and then injected IM on the left flank with 0.1 mL of *A. hydrophila*. Following injection, 20 fish from each treatment were placed in glass aquaria (30 L) equipped with an individual mechanic filtration system and air bubble stone (Three aquaria per treatment). Fish were no longer fed. Mortality was recorded daily until no additional mortality was observed. The trial was terminated 8 days after the injection.

***Statistical analysis***

Differences between treatments for weight, length and CF were analysed using one-way Kruskal-Wallis tests (one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) on ranks) because assumptions of normality (Shapiro–Wilk test) and variance test (Fisher test) were not met. A chi-square analysis was used to compare the mortality rates among the experimental treatments and control.

The relative per cent of survival (RPS) was determined using the following formula:

$$RPS = \left[ 1 - \frac{\text{mortality in the treated group}}{\text{mortality in the control group}} \right] \times 100$$




Figure 1. Individual Weight of *Oreochromis niloticus* fed with one of the four plant supplements (treatments) and the control. Data are expressed as average  $\pm$  SD with  $\alpha = 0.05$ . (n= 30-40 fish per sample). No significant differences appear between herbal treatments.

Table 1. Feed utilization and growth performance (feed conversion ratio (FCR) and specific growth rate (SGR)) of *Oreochromis niloticus* after 40 days of supplementation with feed containing 4% of powdered plant material for each plant species and the control (no plant supplementation).

	FCR	FCR compared with control	SGR (%)	SGR compared with control
<i>Schinus terenbinthifolius</i>	1.60	16% lower	3.84	16% higher
<i>Aphloia theiformis</i>	1.64	14% lower	3.80	15% higher
<i>Murraya koenigii</i>	2.03	6% higher	3.40	3% higher
<i>Pelargonium roseum</i>	1.40	27% lower	4.02	21% higher
Control	1.91	-	3.31	-

## Results

### *Growth performance and feed utilization*

No significant differences were found in fish weight after 12; 27 and 40 days (one- Kruskal-Wallis;  $p=0.26$ ,  $p=0.14$ ,  $p= 0.15$ , respectively) between plant treatments. The mean weight for each plant treatment and control is shown in [Figure 1](#). There were no differences also in total fish length of fish (Kruskal-Wallis  $p=0.90$ ,  $p= 0.32$ ,  $p=0.2$ , respectively).

The CF observed during the experimentation was not significantly different between plants treatments (Kruskal-Wallis test,  $P=0.058$  Except for *M. koenigii*, the feed efficiency (FCR) observed with the plant treatments was lower than that observed in the control group growth (SGR) which was higher for all plant treatments than in the control group ([Table 1](#)).




Figure 2. Percent survival for each of the four herbal supplement treatments and the control (chi-squared 22.90, d.f. 4,  $P < 0.001$ ) ( $n = 45-60$  fish per treatment).

Table 2. Percent of cumulative mortality for all treatments and the control and relative per cent survival (RPS) of each treatment compared with the control. Data are expressed as mean  $\pm$  confidence interval ( $N=3$ ) with  $\alpha = 0.05$  ( $n=60$  fish)

	<i>S. terenbinthifolius</i>	<i>A. theiformis</i>	<i>M. koenigii</i>	<i>P. roseum</i>	Control
Mortality	89 $\pm$ 8	61 $\pm$ 12	86 $\pm$ 8	94 $\pm$ 6	88 $\pm$ 8
RPS (%)	-1.41	30.01	1.88	-6.79	-

### Experimental infection

Fish infected with *A. hydrophila* started to die 19 h after contamination. 'Fin rot' or 'skin rot' was observed and infected fish usually showed dark pigmentation, exhibited slow movements (lethargy) and developed ulcers and exophthalmia. Other symptoms included the presence of several focal haemorrhagic necroses in skeletal muscles and over the visceral and peritoneal surfaces.

Survival after eight days of infection is shown in [Figure 2](#). Mortality rates and RPS varied with the plant powder in the feed ([Table 2](#)). Mortalities were significantly different between treatments (chi-square, 22.90, d.f. 4,  $p < 0.001$ ). Fish fed with *A. theiformis* showed significantly lower mortality than all other treatments and control (6.84, d.f. X,  $p < 0.009$ ) ([Figure 2](#) and [Table 2](#)).

### Discussion

Carefully applied plant-based methods used for the prevention and treatment of various fish illnesses do not appear to entail any risks of polluting the environment, or damage to fish, other animals, or humans. Although medicinal plants are natural products, they can induce physiological changes in fish, improve their fitness and enhance their resistance to pathogens (Reverter



et al. 2014; Awad and Awaad, 2017). However, careful consideration should be given to the use of some plants on aquatic animals as they can be very active or even toxic for them. The mode of action of the selected plants and their derivatives are attributed to the presence of many active ingredients, such as alkaloids, steroids, phenolics, tannins, terpenoids, saponins, glycosides and flavonoids (Harikrishnan, Balasundaram, and Heo 2011).

Although the concentration of active substances is highest in alcohol extracts or essential oils, the use of powdered plants is the simplest method for administering these plants to fish in feed.

Reverter et al. (2020) demonstrated that the efficiency of herbal medicine depends more on the right dosage than the form of the plant used and that powdered plants, extracts and essential oils are equally effective when used at the appropriate dosage. Oral administration seems to be the most appropriate way to use plants as therapeutic agents for prophylaxis and metaphylaxis in aquaculture. Through feed, handling stress is avoided and reduces workload of fishfarmer. Furthermore, compared to other forms of herbal compounds (extracts, essential oil), powdered plants have several advantages: they require little technical knowledge to be added to fish feed, are more stable, readily available and cost less, generally making them more affordable, particularly for small farmers.

In our study, growth performance (SGR) was higher than the control for all plant treatments, with SGR showing rates 3% to 21% higher than that of the control; likewise, feed utilization (FCR) was better than the control for all plant treatments except *M. koenigii*. Further studies are needed to investigate the effect of these plants as dietary supplements to stimulate tilapia growth, but the results observed here with *P. roseum* are noteworthy

Only one study (Al-Sagheer et al., 2017) has reported positive effects on growth in Nile tilapia for *P. roseum* essential oil extracts. Harikrishnan, Balasundaram, and Heo (2011) suggest that the essential oil extracts affect the development of indigenous intestinal flora, but the exact mechanism of action of plants in the metabolism of fish is still unclear. Our findings showed positive results against *Aeromonas* septicaemia in fish fed with *A. theiformis*. To our knowledge, there are no reports regarding *A. theiformis* used in tilapia or in other fish species. The major compounds contained in the leaves of *A. theiformis* are flavonoids and tannins (Hsoidrou et al., 2014). Numerous studies have reported the role of flavonoids in immunostimulatory and anti-inflammatory activity and the leaves of *A. theiformis* are also known to be rich in mangiferin (Danthu et al. 2010), a xanthone with an immune-stimulant effect (Muruganandan, Lal, and Gupta 2005). These compounds may explain the better survival of fish fed with this plant powder after a challenge with a bacterial infection. The efficiency of plants depends on the dose, duration time and the route of administration. However, the dose is still the most important factor because it varies with the plant species and form used (Harikrishnan, Balasundaram, and Heo 2011).

Based on the scoping review, Reverter et al. (2020) point out that the posology of dry plants in aquaculture is very variable (0.1 - 420 mg/100 g fish\*day). Taking into account the percentage of dried plants and the feeding rate, the posology used in this study, 50 mg/100 g, is well within the range. We suggest that in an exploratory screening such as the present study, a relatively low level of incorporation of dry plants should be maintained in order to avoid any possible adverse effects. Treatment duration is another important factor to consider for economic viability. Four weeks seems to be the most common duration reported in the literature and short periods of between 2 and 4 weeks are as effective as longer ones ( $\geq 8$  weeks) (Reverter et al. 2020). Thus it could be possible shortening time of administration but further research is needed to test different feeding durations and doses to optimize the treatment with *A. theiformis*. Our findings suggest that the addition of 4% of powdered *A. theiformis* leaves in the fish feed may improve the resistance of fish against *A. hydrophila* infection without impairing growth performance, hence, this plant seems to be a promising dietary supplement to prevent diseases in Nile tilapia. Bioactive plants can benefit different farming systems, from small-scale rural farmers to intensive farms, allowing them to explore more sustainable alternatives to chemicals and antibiotics for aquaculture commodities. As *A. theiformis* is a medicinal plant traditionally used on Reunion Island and included in the French pharmacopoeia, we suggest that exploring national or traditional pharmacopoeias (Caruso et al. 2013, 2017) can be a rich source of information for pre-screening plant diversity for an eco-friendly aquatic pharmacopoeia.

---

### ***Acknowledgement***

Authors would acknowledge Prof. Angela Lusiastuti from Research Center for Veterinary Science, National Research and Innovation Agency (Indonesia) to provide us the bacterial strain used in this study. This study is a part of project: "Reproduction and pathogen control by phyto-therapy in tilapia cultures located in the southwest of Indian Ocean" (PHYTO-TIL- OI) funded by FEAMP-EU. This is a publication ISEM 2023-220

Submitted: April 10, 2023 CET, Accepted: October 09, 2023 CET



This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CCBY-4.0). View this license's legal deed at <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0> and legal code at <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/legalcode> for more information.

## REFERENCES

- Alam, M.M., and M.M. Haque. 2021. "Presence of Antibacterial Substances, Nitrofurans Metabolites and Other Chemicals in Farmed Pangasius and Tilapia in Bangladesh: Probabilistic Health Risk Assessment." *Toxicology Reports* 8: 248–57.
- Austin, B., and D.A. Austin. 2007. *Bacterial Fish Pathogens: Disease of Farmed and Wild Fish*. Netherlands: Springer Science and Business Media.
- Brum, Aline, Scheila Anelise Pereira, Marco Shizuo Owatari, Edsandra Campos Chagas, Francisco Célio Maia Chaves, José Luiz Pedreira Mouriño, and Maurício Laterça Martins. 2017. "Effect of Dietary Essential Oils of Clove Basil and Ginger on Nile Tilapia (*Oreochromis Niloticus*) Following Challenge with *Streptococcus Agalactiae*." *Aquaculture* 468 (February): 235–43. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2016.10.020>.
- Brunton, Lucy A., Andrew P. Desbois, Maria Garza, Barbara Wieland, Chadag Vishnumurthy Mohan, Barbara Häslér, Clarence C. Tam, et al. 2019. "Identifying Hotspots for Antibiotic Resistance Emergence and Selection, and Elucidating Pathways to Human Exposure: Application of a Systems-Thinking Approach to Aquaculture Systems." *Science of the Total Environment* 687 (October): 1344–56. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.06.134>.
- Can, E., V. Kizak, Ş.S. Can, and E. Özçiçek. 2018. "Anaesthetic Potential of Geranium (*Pelargonium Graveolens*) Oil for Two Cichlid Species, *Sciaenochromis Fryeri* and *Labidochromis Caeruleus*." *Aquaculture* 491: 59–64.
- Carmen, G., and G. Hancu. 2014. "Antimicrobial and Antifungal Activity of *Pelargonium Roseum* Essential Oils." *Advanced Pharmaceutical Bulletin* 4 (Suppl 2): 51.
- Caruso, D., A.M. Lusiastuti, J. Slembrouck, O. Komarudin, and M. Legendre. 2013. "Traditional Pharmacopeia in Small Scale Freshwater Fish Farms in West Java, Indonesia: An Ethnoveterinary Approach." *Aquaculture* 416: 334–45.
- Caruso, D., A.M. Lusiastuti, T. Tauhid, J.C. Avarre, M. Yuhana, and S. Sarter. 2017. "Ethnobotanical Uses and Antimicrobial Properties of Plants in Small-scale Tropical Fish Farms: The Case of Indonesian Fish Farmers in Java (Indonesia)." *Journal of the World Aquaculture Society* 48 (1): 83–92.
- Chakraborty, Suman Bhusan, and Csaba Hancz. 2011. "Application of Phytochemicals as Immunostimulant, Antipathogenic and Antistress Agents in Finfish Culture." *Reviews in Aquaculture* 3 (3): 103–19. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1753-5131.2011.01048.x>.
- Dannenberg, Guilherme da Silva, Graciele Daiana Funck, Wladimir Padilha da Silva, and Ângela Maria Fiorentini. 2019. "Essential Oil from Pink Pepper (*Schinus Terebinthifolius* Raddi): Chemical Composition, Antibacterial Activity and Mechanism of Action." *Food Control* 95 (January): 115–20. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2018.07.034>.
- Danthu, Pascal, Christian Lubrano, Laurence Flavet, Voninavoko Rahajanirina, Olivier Behra, Claude Fromageot, Raymond Rabevohitra, and Edmond Roger. 2010. "Biological Factors Influencing Production of Xanthones in *Aphloia Theiformis*." *Chemistry & Biodiversity* 7 (1): 140–50. <http://doi.org/10.1002/cbdv.200900199>.
- Ellse, L., and R. Wall. 2014. "The Use of Essential Oils in Veterinary Ectoparasite Control: A Review." *Medical and Veterinary Entomology* 28 (3): 233–43. <https://doi.org/10.1111/mve.12033>.
- Handral, H.K., A. Pandith, and S.D. Shruthi. 2012. "A Review on *Murraya Koenigii*: Multipotential Medicinal Plant." *Asian Journal of Pharmaceutical and Clinical Research* 5: 5–14.
- Harikrishnan, Ramasamy, Chellam Balasundaram, and Moon-Soo Heo. 2011. "Impact of Plant Products on Innate and Adaptive Immune System of Cultured Finfish and Shellfish." *Aquaculture* 317 (1–4): 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2011.03.039>.

- Jelager, Lene, Ameenah Gurib-Fakim, and Anne Adersen. 1998. "Antibacterial and Antifungal Activity of Medicinal Plants of Mauritius." *Pharmaceutical Biology* 36 (3): 153–61. <https://doi.org/10.1076/phbi.36.3.153.6345>.
- Meepagala, K.M., K.K. Schrader, and C.L. Burandt. 2013. "Antibacterial Compounds from Rutaceae with Activities against *Flavobacterium Columnare* and *Streptococcus Iniae*." *Journal of Agricultural Chemistry and Environment* 2013.
- Mohamadi, M., A.A. Zamini, and H. Vahabzadeh. 2013. "Evaluation of Antibacterial Properties of *Eucalyptus* Spp and *Pelargonium Roseum* Extracts in Common Carp, *Cyprinus Carpio* and Their Effects on Blood Indices." *Middle-East Journal of Scientific Research* 15: 723–31.
- Muruganandan, S., J. Lal, and P.K. Gupta. 2005. "Immunotherapeutic Effects of Mangiferin Mediated by the Inhibition of Oxidative Stress to Activated Lymphocytes, Neutrophils and Macrophages." *Toxicology* 215 (1–2): 57–68. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tox.2005.06.008>.
- Plumb, John A., and Larry A. Hanson. 2011. *Health Maintenance and Principal Microbial Diseases of Cultured Fishes*. New Jersey: Blackwell Publishing Ltd. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9780470958353>.
- Porto, E.L.N., F.F. de Lima, R.M. Sousa, D.G. de Almeida Banhara, W.C.B. Mendonça, and C.A. Honorato. 2020. "*Schinus Terebinthifolius* Raddi Pepper Oil Used as an Additive in *Hyphessobrycon Eques* Steindachner Fish Diet." *Research, Society and Development* 9: e192963118–e192963118.
- Reverter, Miriam, N. Bontemps, D. Lecchini, B. Banaigs, and P. Sasal. 2014. "Use of Plant Extracts in Fish Aquaculture as an Alternative to Chemotherapy: Current Status and Future Perspectives." *Aquaculture* 433 (September): 50–61. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2014.05.048>.
- Reverter, Miriam, Samira Sarter, Domenico Caruso, Jean-Christophe Avarre, Marine Combe, Elodie Pepey, Laurent Pouyaud, Sarahi Vega-Heredía, Hugues de Verdal, and Rodolphe E. Gozlan. 2020. "Aquaculture at the Crossroads of Global Warming and Antimicrobial Resistance." *Nature Communications* 11 (1): 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-15735-6>.
- Reverter, Miriam, Nathalie Tapissier-Bontemps, Samira Sarter, Pierre Sasal, and Domenico Caruso. 2021. "Moving towards More Sustainable Aquaculture Practices: A Meta-Analysis on the Potential of Plant-Enriched Diets to Improve Fish Growth, Immunity and Disease Resistance." *Reviews in Aquaculture* 13 (1): 537–55. <https://doi.org/10.1111/raq.12485>.
- Rico, A., T. Phu, K. Satapornvanit, J. Min, A.M. Shahabuddin, P.J.G. Henriksson, and P.J. Van den Brink. 2013. "Use of Veterinary Medicines, Feed Additives and Probiotics in Four Major Internationally Traded Aquaculture Species Farmed in Asia." *Aquaculture* 412: 231–43.
- Salem, M.Z.M., M. El-Hefny, H.M. Ali, H.O. Elansary, R.A. Nasser, A.A.A. El-Settawy, N. El Shanhorey, N.A. Ashmawy, and A.Z.M. Salem. 2018. "Antibacterial Activity of Extracted Bioactive Molecules of *Schinus Terebinthifolius* Ripened Fruits against Some Pathogenic Bacteria." *Microbial Pathogenesis* 120 (July): 119–27. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micpath.2018.04.040>.
- Sarter, Samira, Hoang Nam Kha Nguyen, Le Thanh Hung, Jérôme Lazard, and Didier Montet. 2007. "Antibiotic Resistance in Gram-Negative Bacteria Isolated from Farmed Catfish." *Food Control* 18 (11): 1391–96. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2006.10.003>.
- Schar, Daniel, Cheng Zhao, Yu Wang, D. G. Joakim Larsson, Marius Gilbert, and Thomas P. Van Boeckel. 2021. "Twenty-Year Trends in Antimicrobial Resistance from Aquaculture and Fisheries in Asia." *Nature Communications* 12 (1): 5384. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-25655-8>.
- Stratev, Deyan, Stoycho Stoev, Ivan Vashin, and Hristo Daskalov. 2015. "Some Varieties of Pathological Changes in Experimental per Infection of Carps (*Cyprinus Carpio*) with *Aeromonas Hydrophila*." *Journal of Aquaculture Engineering and Fisheries Research* 1: 191–202. <https://doi.org/10.3153/jaefr15019>.

- Wang, J.L., X. Meng, R.H. Lu, C. Wu, Y.T. Luo, X. Yan, et al. 2015. "Effects *Rebmannia Glutinosa* on Growth Performance, Immunological Parameters and Disease Resistance to *Aeromonas Hydrophila* in Common Carp (*Cyprinus Carpio* L.)." *Aquaculture* 435: 293–300.
- Wei, L.S., N. Musa, C.T. Sengm, W. Wee, and N.A.M. Shazili. 2008. "Antimicrobial Properties of Tropical Plants against 12 Pathogenic Bacteria Isolated from Aquatic Organisms." *African Journal of Biotechnology* 7 (13).